

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

RFP # 21-0025

Architectural/Engineering Services Master Contracts

RFP Closing (Due Date & Time):
April 15, 2022 at 2:00 PM Pacific Time

Issued by:

Beaverton School District 48J 16550 SW Merlo Road Beaverton Oregon 97003 March 11, 2022





Construction Purchasing Department 16550 SW Merlo Road Beaverton OR 97003

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS Public Improvement

Solicitation No: RFP 21-0025
Summary

The purpose of this Solicitation is to establish Master contracts for the provision of Architectural/Engineering Services for the next six (6) years.

A <u>MANDATORY</u> pre-proposal conference will be held on March 17, 2022 at 11:00 AM Pacific Time in Conference rooms 1 and 2 at the District Central Office, 16650 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003.

Interested Firms must submit Proposals and current rate sheets as a separate document pursuant to the provisions of this RFP via email to: Contracts@beaverton.k12.or.us, PRIOR to the Closing:

PROPOSAL DUE DATE & TIME (CLOSING): April 15, 2022 at 2:00 PM Pacific Time LATE SUBMISSIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED

Timely received Proposals will be opened, recorded, and prepared for evaluation. The District will not read Proposals aloud. The number of firms submitting a Proposal and their identities will be available within seven days. The contents of any Submission will not be disclosed to the public until all Proposals have been evaluated and a Notice of Intent to Award (NOI) has been issued.

Proposers are solely responsible for ensuring that the Beaverton School District receives its Proposal.

Firms must familiarize themselves with the entire RFP document.

All questions and comments regarding this Solicitation must be directed <u>ONLY IN WRITING</u> by e-mail to: <u>contracts@beaverton.k12.or.us</u>.

THE DISTRICT MAY REJECT ANY SUBMISSION NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL PRESCRIBED REQUIREMENTS.

SECTION I – INTRODUCTION Solicitation No: RFP 21-0025

1. PROJECT OVERVIEW:

The purpose of this solicitation is to obtain qualified Architectural/Engineering (A&E) firms to enter into Master Contracts with Beaverton School District (BSD) for the next six (6) years to partner with BSD on projects delineated in the 2022 Bond. BSD may choose to partner with the selected firms for some projects remaining from the 2014 Bond.

2. **PROJECT CONSIDERATIONS:**

In this initial Request for Proposal (RFP), proposers are requested to provide their qualifications as outlined below for Substantial Building Renovations and/or Additions with total budget costs approximately ranging from \$1M-\$15M.

3. SOLICITATION AND SELECTION PROCESS SCHEDULE:

| Process Milestones | <u>Dates</u> |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Issue RFP | March 11, 2022 |
| Pre-Proposal Conference | March 17, 2022 |
| Deadline for Questions | April 8, 2022 |
| Issue final Addendum | April 12, 2022 |
| Submit Proposals | April 15, 2022 by 2:00 p.m. |
| Notice of Selected Firms | on or about May 11, 2022 |

SECTION II – STATEMENT OF WORK Solicitation No: RFP 21-0025

1. PURPOSE AND INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this solicitation is to obtain qualified Architectural/Engineering (A&E) firms to enter into Master Contracts with Beaverton School District (BSD) for the next six (6) years to partner on District projects. The initial Master Contracts will be executed for a two-year period and will be considered for an extension annually after that point. The Master Contracts will be for the prime contract only and will be general in Scope of Work and approach. However, specific Project Work Authorizations (PWA's) will be issued as specific projects are identified which may require additional sub-consultants, including but not limited to: architectural, engineering, and related services as needed. The design team will be required to coordinate with District Staff and any other Consultants that the District may separately procure to assist with the specific Projects.

2. SCOPE OF WORK:

- a. **Project Group:** Substantial Building Renovations and/or Additions
 - i. This group of projects are characterized by classroom, office, gymnasium, or cafeteria additions and/or relocations.
 - ii. This group of projects also includes major seismic upgrade projects at six middle schools. These will be complete upgrades to at least Life Safety Standards and require coordination with the Seismic Resiliency Grant Program.
 - iii. Existing conditions and program requirements will need to be fully and completely evaluated to provide maintainable, functional and aesthetically pleasing renovations/ additions.
 - iv. Consultants shall evaluate egress and ADA impacts in order to achieve and maintain compliance with OSSC Chapter 11/Section 3403.4 and ORS 447.241.
 - v. This group of projects are anticipated to have total budget costs ranging from \$1MM-\$15MM.

3. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

The District is seeking the services of design firms, resulting in a roster of qualified consultants to perform Work as directed by individual PWAs on an as-needed basis.

- a. The selected Consultants shall provide all labor, materials, equipment, transportation, licenses/certifications, insurances, expertise, technology, and other facilities and services as necessary and/or required to execute all of the Work.
- b. Design Services may include Program Review, Schematic Design, Design Development, Construction Documents for permitting and bidding, bidding assistance, Construction Administration services, close out documentation, and warranty follow up for one year beyond substantial completion. Engineering sub-consultants are not evaluated as part of this solicitation but may include Civil, Structural, Mechanical, Plumbing, and Electrical, as well as others that may be desirable/necessary to meet the requirements of the specific PWA.
- c. The PWA may require the consultant to secure the services of cost consultants, roof/envelope consultants, kitchen consultants or any other design consultants as required to complete the PWA.
- d. Consultants shall coordinate with all applicable Authorities Having Jurisdiction (AHJ)
 including but not limited to City of Beaverton, Washington County, Clean Water
 Services, and Tualatin Valley Fire & Rescue to confirm improvement requirements.
- e. As required by PWA's, the Consultant shall prepare required documentation, material boards and ensure the requirements of Land Use Conditions are incorporated in the final design of the addition/renovation.

SECTION II – STATEMENT OF WORK Solicitation No: RFP 21-0025

- f. Consultants working on specific PWA projects and all of their sub-consultants shall be expected to attend community meetings, design review meetings with district stakeholders and various types of project team meetings as required by project. Meetings may be in-person at the Central Office, at the project site, or virtual.
- g. Projects shall require that the Work be accomplished to implement the following attributes:
 - i. Significant consideration must be given to ease of maintenance and effective maintenance cost management;
 - ii. Efficient utility systems using proven technologies;
 - iii. High degree of centralized control of lighting and environmental controls to allow managing these systems from a base operational schedule with easy temporary central override to account for proper safety and efficient energy management during special events and/or community use;
 - iv. Building as a learning tool, where possible at a reasonable cost;
 - v. Energy features in partnership with Energy Trust of Oregon and the Oregon Department of Energy;
 - vi. All designs shall be in compliance with all applicable Building Code requirements;
 - vii. Oregon Resiliency Plan features considerations as further developed in the Beaverton School District Resilience Planning report located at:

 https://www.beaverton.k12.or.us/departments/facilities-development/2014-bond-construction-projects/seismic-projects;
 - viii. Beaverton School District Technical Standards located at: https://www.beaverton.k12.or.us/departments/facilities-development/technical-standards
 - ix. Beaverton School District Educational Specifications located at: https://app.e-builder.net/public/publicLanding.aspx?QS=1d00428ad39141b38fadf9915951c8f
 - x. It will be expected of selected consultants to provide onboarding for all members of the project team in order for them to become familiar with Beaverton School District standards and procedures.

h. Miscellaneous

- Value Engineering (VE) shall be used to ensure that optimized form and function are implemented for the budget available. VE efforts will conform to ASTM E1699-14.
- ii. There shall be a collaborative work effort between the Consultant, the District, the Constructor, and regulatory oversight agencies leading to an effective implementation of individual project goals.
- iii. The District has implemented the eBuilder Project Management software platform, for coordination of efforts, approvals, and expedited communication. All project team members will be required to utilize this program. Consultants shall be provided seats (licenses) and training as needed.
- iv. All persons involved in the project who will have a physical presence on site at any time during the course of the project will need to clear a background check. The basic criteria of clearance and refusal offenses will be provided by the District Project Representative. Consultants and sub-consultants shall provide a report of who has cleared the background check by an approved agency. The District background check requirements are attached for reference.
- v. Direct Reimbursable expenses shall be limited to: office printing/reproductions, postage, deliveries, travel, and fees and miscellaneous project costs paid

SECTION II – STATEMENT OF WORK Solicitation No: RFP 21-0025

directly by the Consultant. There will be no mark-up allowed on Reimbursable expenses. Travel expenses must be pre-approved at the beginning of the project and will be allowed only at current GSA per diem rates.

4. PROJECT WORK AUTHORIZATION (PWA) PROCEDURES:

- **a. Proposal:** A Proposal including pricing will be requested from at least one of the qualified consultants for each individual project as they occur. Project proposal contents will be based on a specific Scope of Work (SOW).
 - i. The District Representative will provide a project SOW to the Consultant(s).
 - ii. As determined for the specific project, the District Representative may request information such as, but not limited to; Key personnel to be assigned to project by role, Sub-consultants to be obtained, pricing utilizing the current labor rates in proposer's Master Contract including any COI adjustments, and statement of project knowledge and understanding.
 - iii. Prior to submission of the proposal the consultant(s) may request a site visit.
 - iv. Consultant(s) shall submit a proposal as requested.
 - v. After the proposal has been submitted, the District representative may request a virtual, in-person or phone interview to gather additional information about the proposal.
 - vi. The district reserves the right to reject and request a substitution of any proposed sub-consultant.
 - vii. Prime Consultants are allowed no more than a 10% mark-up on sub-consultant fees and no markup on reimbursable expenses.
 - viii. As determined by District Representative at time of proposal receipt, final pricing may be executed for a PWA on a lump sum basis, <u>or</u> based on hourly rates with a not-to-exceed limitation.

b. Issuance of the PWA

- i. The district reserves the right to select from the qualified consultants who submitted a proposal the best fit for the specific project PWA.
- ii. Once the district and consultant have negotiated and agreed to a proposal, a PWA will be issued and work may commence.

1. GENERAL:

The District intends to procure the Services described herein using the Formal Selection Procedure pursuant to OAR 137-048-0220.

2. PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE:

- a. Purpose. The pre-proposal conference is conducted with potential Proposers present to explain and discuss the Solicitation requirements.
- b. Required Attendance. If the District pre-proposal conference is mandatory (as indicated on the Summary Page), a proposal submitted by a Proposer who did not attend the mandatory pre-proposal conference will be rejected.
- c. Statements Not Binding. Statements made by the District's representative at the pre-proposal conference do not change the Solicitation Document unless the District confirms such statements with a written Addendum.

3. ADDENDA:

- a. **Issuance**; **Receipt**. The District may change this Solicitation only by Written Addenda. A Firm shall provide written acknowledgement of receipt of all issued Addenda with their submission on the Proposer Certification (ATTACHMENT A).
- b. **Notice and Distribution**. The District will publish notice of any and all Addenda on the Oregon Buys website (oregonbuys.gov). Addenda may be downloaded from the Oregon Buys website. It is the Applicants responsibility to inquire about Addenda.

4. REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION:

- a. **Clarification**. Prior to the deadline for submitting a written proposal, an Applicant may request that the District clarify any provision of the solicitation document. The District's clarification to an Applicant, whether orally or in writing, does not change the solicitation and is not binding on the District unless the District amends the solicitation by Addendum.
- b. **Extension of Closing**. If the District receives a written request for clarification from an Applicant, the District may extend Closing if the District determines an extension is necessary to consider the request and issue an Addendum to the Solicitation.

5. SUBMISSION:

- a. **Applicant's Acknowledgement**. By submitting a Proposal, the Applicant acknowledges they have read and understand the terms and conditions contained in the Solicitation and that they accept and agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of the Solicitation.
- b. Instructions. Applicant shall submit their Proposal in accordance with Section IV.
- c. **Forms.** Applicants shall submit the form(s) required under Section VI.
- d. **Documents.** Applicants shall provide the District with all documents and descriptive literature requested.
- e. Facsimile. The District will not accept hand delivered or facsimile delivered Proposals.

f. Identification of Proposals.

i. The District is not responsible for Proposal's submitted in any manner, format or to any delivery point other than as required in the Solicitation.

g. Receipt of Proposal's.

i. The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that the District receives their Proposal at the required delivery point prior to the closing due date and time. Proposal's shall be **emailed**

to: <u>Contracts@beaverton.k12.or.us</u> Hand delivered or facsimile documents will not be accepted.

- ii. Timely received Proposal's will be opened, recorded and prepared for evaluation pursuant to Section III. There will not be a public opening of Proposal's **received and** the District will not read Proposals aloud.
- h. Failure to submit a Proposal in accordance with the provisions of this RFP shall be grounds to declare the Applicant non-responsive.
- i. Certification. Applicants shall (on the Proposer Certification form enclosed ATTACHMENT A):
 - i. Identify that the Applicant is/or is not a "resident Applicant," as defined in ORS 279A.120(1);
 - ii. Indicate that the Applicant will comply with Prevailing Wage Laws ORS 279C.840 if applicable;
 - iii. Provide certification of nondiscrimination in obtaining any required subcontractors in accordance with ORS 279A.110(4); and
 - iv. Provide written acknowledgment of receipt of all Addenda.

6. MODIFICATION OR WITHDRAWAL:

- a. **Modifications**. An Applicant may modify their Proposal in writing prior to the Closing. An Applicant shall prepare and submit any modification to its Proposal to the District in accordance with OAR 137-49-0280. Any modification shall include the Applicant's statement that the modification amends and supersedes the prior Proposal. The Applicant shall email its modification and mark as follows:
 - i. "Proposal Modification"; and
 - ii. Solicitation No.

b. Withdrawals.

- i. An Applicant may withdraw its Proposal by Written notice submitted on the Applicants letterhead, signed by an authorized representative of the Applicant, received by the District prior to the Closing. The Applicant or authorized representative of the Applicant may also withdraw its Proposal in Person prior to the Closing, upon presentation of appropriate identification and satisfactory evidence of authority;
- ii. The District may release an unopened withdrawn Proposal to the Applicant or its authorized representative and will confirm such release by email to the same address which originally submitted the Proposal.
- iii. The Applicant shall mark the Written request to withdraw a Proposal as follows:
 - A. Proposal Withdrawal; and
 - B. Solicitation No.
- c. **Documentation**. The District shall include all documents relating to the modification or withdrawal of a Proposal in the Solicitation file.

7. LATE RESPONSES, WITHDRAWALS, AND MODIFICATIONS:

Any Proposal received after the Closing date and time is late. An Applicant's request for withdrawal or modification of a Proposal received after Closing is late and will not be considered (OAR 137-049-0350 or OAR 137-049-0390).

8. MISTAKES:

a. **Generally.** To protect the integrity of the competitive Procurement process and to assure fair treatment of Applicants, the District will carefully consider whether to permit waiver,

- correction or withdrawal of Proposal for certain mistakes.
- b. **District Treatment of Mistakes.** The District shall not allow an Applicant to correct or withdraw a Proposal for an error in judgment. If the District discovers certain mistakes in a Proposal after Opening, but before Award of the Contract, the District may take the following action:
 - i. The District may waive, or permit an Applicant to correct, a minor informality. A minor informality is a matter of form rather than substance that is evident on the face of the Proposal, or an insignificant mistake that can be waived or corrected without prejudice to other Applicants. Examples of minor informalities include an Applicant's failure to:
 - A. Return the correct number of Proposal copies or the correct number of other documents required by the Solicitation Document.
 - B. Sign the Proposal in the designated block, provided a Signature appears elsewhere in the Proposal, evidencing an intent to be bound; and
 - C. Acknowledge receipt of an Addendum to the Solicitation Document, provided that it is clear on the face of the Proposal that the Applicant received the Addendum and intended to be bound by its terms; or the Addendum involved did not affect price, quality or delivery.
 - ii. The District may correct a clerical error if the error is evident on the face of the Proposal or other documents submitted with the Proposal, and the Applicant confirms the District's correction in Writing. A clerical error is an Applicant's error in transcribing a portion of its Proposal. Examples include typographical mistakes, errors in extending unit prices, transposition errors, and math errors, instances in which the intended correct unit or amount is evident by simple arithmetic calculations. In the event of a discrepancy, unit prices shall prevail over extended prices.
 - iii. The District may permit an Applicant to withdraw a Proposal based on one or more clerical errors in the Proposal only if the Applicant shows with objective proof and by clear and convincing evidence:
 - A. The nature of the error;
 - B. That the error is not a minor informality under this subsection or an error in judgment;
 - C. That the error cannot be corrected or waived under subsection (ii) of this section;
 - D. That the Applicant acted in good faith in submitting a Proposal that contained the claimed error and in claiming that the alleged error in the Proposal exists;
 - E. That the Applicant acted without gross negligence in submitting a Proposal that contained a claimed error;
 - F. That the Applicant will suffer substantial detriment if the District does not grant the Applicant permission to withdraw the Proposal;
 - G. That the District's or the public's status has not changed so significantly that relief from the forfeiture will create a substantial hardship on the District or the public it represents; and
 - H. That the Applicant promptly gave notice of the claimed error to the District.
- c. Rejection for Mistakes. The District shall reject any Proposal in which a mistake is evident on the face of the Proposal and the intended correction to the Proposal is not evident or cannot be substantiated from documents submitted with the Proposal.

9. NOTICE OF PRE-QUALIFICATION:

a. **Notice**. After all timely received Proposals have been evaluated, the District shall issue a notice to each Proposer of their qualification status.

- b. **Form and Manner of Notice**. The form and manner of notice shall conform to customary practices within the District's procurement system, and may be made electronically.
- c. **Finalizing Award**. The District's Award of a contract shall not be final until the Notice of Intent to Award a contract has been publicly posted and any/all timely filed Protests have been adjudicated.

10. REJECTION OF PROPOSALS:

- a. Rejection of Proposal.
 - The District may reject any Proposal upon finding that to accept the Proposal may impair the integrity of the Procurement process, or that rejecting the Proposal is in the public interest.
 - ii. The District shall reject a Proposal upon the District's finding that the Proposal:
 - A. Is contingent upon the District's acceptance of terms and conditions (including Specifications) that differ from the Solicitation Document;
 - B. Takes exception to terms and conditions (including Specifications);
 - C. Attempts to prevent public disclosure of matters in contravention of the terms and conditions of the Solicitation Document or in contravention of applicable law;
 - D. Offers Work that fails to meet the Specifications of the Solicitation Document;
 - E. Is late;
 - F. Is not in substantial compliance with the Solicitation Documents;
 - G. Is not in substantial compliance with all prescribed public Solicitation procedures.
 - iii. The District shall reject a Proposal upon the District's finding that the Applicant:
 - A. Has been Disqualified;
 - B. Has been declared ineligible under ORS 279C.860 by the Commissioner of Bureau of Labor and Industries and the Contract is for a Public Work;
 - C. Is listed as not qualified by the Construction Contractors Board, if the Contract is for a Public Improvement;
 - D. Has not met the requirements of ORS 279A.105 if required by the Solicitation Document;
 - E. Has failed to provide the certification required under Section 13. Paragraph c. (Certification of Non-Discrimination)
 - F. Is not Responsible. See OAR 137-049-0390(2) regarding District determination that the Applicant has met statutory standards of responsibility.
- b. **Form of Business**. For purposes of this rule, the District may investigate any Person submitting a Proposal. The investigation may include that Person's officers, directors, owners, affiliates, or any other Person to determine application of this rule or to apply the Disqualification provisions of ORS 279C.440 to 279C.450 and OAR 137-049-0370.
- c. Certification of Non-Discrimination. The Applicant shall certify on the Qualification Certification that the Applicant has not discriminated and will not discriminate against disadvantaged, minority, women, emerging small business enterprises, or service disabled veteran owned business enterprises in obtaining any required subcontracts. Failure to do so shall be grounds for disqualification.
- d. Rejection of all Proposal's. The District may reject all Proposal's for good cause upon the
 District's Written finding it is in the public interest to do so. The District shall notify all
 Applicants of the rejection of all Proposal's, along with the good cause justification and finding.
- e. **Criteria for Rejection of All Proposal's**. The District may reject all Proposal's upon a Written finding that:

- i. The content of or an error in the Solicitation Document, or the Solicitation process unnecessarily restricted competition for the Contract;
- ii. The price, quality or performance presented by the Proposer is too costly or of insufficient quality to justify acceptance of the Proposal;
- iii. Misconduct, error, or ambiguous or misleading provisions in the Solicitation Document threaten the fairness and integrity of the competitive process;
- iv. Causes other than legitimate market forces threaten the integrity of the competitive Procurement process. These causes include, but are not limited to, those that tend to limit competition such as restrictions on competition, collusion, corruption, unlawful anti-competitive conduct and inadvertent or intentional errors in the Solicitation Document;
- v. The District cancels the Solicitation in accordance with OAR 137-049-0270; or
- vi. Any other circumstance indicating that awarding the Contract would not be in the public interest.

11. PROTEST OF CONTRACTOR DENIAL OF PRE-QUALIFICATION: Pursuant to OAR 137-049-0450

- a. **Purpose**. An adversely affected or aggrieved Proposer shall exhaust all avenues of administrative review and relief before seeking judicial review of the District's Contractor Pre-Qualification decision.
- b. Notice of Pre-Qualification. The District shall provide written notice, via email, to all Proposers of the status of their submissions for the associated RFP, and will publicly post notice of all prequalified Proposers on OregonBuys.
- c. **Right to Protest Denial of Pre-Qualification**. Firms found not Qualified have the right to appeal the decision. Procedures will be provided to any firm found to be not qualified.
- **12. COSTS**: The District is not liable for any costs incurred by the Applicant in its proposal preparation.

13. BUSINESS EQUITY:

The Applicant understands that the District maintains a goal of engaging qualified disadvantaged, minority, women, emerging, service-disabled veteran businesses enterprises (D/M/W/ESB/SDVBE) as service providers in delivering services necessary to promote/provide business equity in our community. The District aspires to a goal of ten (10) percent D/M/W/ESB/SDVBE content, by contract value, in completing the work for this project, and the Contractor shall expend reasonable efforts to reach this content in the total value of their contracts with the District.

Additionally, the District will continue to partner with its contractors to provide career learning opportunities for students, providing them exposure to various potential career paths, including, but not limited to, construction, architecture, engineering and related services, legal and accounting services through programmatic involvement with the District's Career Pathways Program and Career Related Learning Experiences.

SECTION IV – PROPOSAL CONTENT REQUIREMENTS Solicitation No: RFP 21-0025

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

This section prescribes the mandatory submission format for Proposals submitted in response to this Solicitation. The purpose of the submission format is to ensure uniformity of the information from each Applicant and to aid in clear understanding and evaluation of all Proposals submitted.

2. PROPOSAL FORMAT

- a. Applicants must submit an electronic copy of its Qualifications.
 - i. Electronic copy shall be in a searchable PDF or MSWord format.
 - ii. Brochures or other promotional presentations beyond those sufficient to present a complete and effective submission of responses related to the evaluation criteria cited below are not desired. Elaborate artwork and expensive visuals are not necessary.
- b. Concise and direct answers are encouraged. There is a page limitation described below in 3b.
- c. Failure to submit the Proposal in accordance with the provisions of the Solicitation document shall be grounds to declare the submission nonresponsive. Failure to provide any information requested in the Solicitation may result in rejection of the submission.

3. PROPOSAL CONTENT

Applicants shall submit Proposals containing all the information as required, and in the order listed.

- a. **REQUIRED FORMS**. Applicants shall return the forms listed below completed and signed as necessary. Failure to submit any of these forms or if the information is found unacceptable, the Proposal may be rejected.
 - i. Proposer Certification. The Proposer Certification (ATTACHMENT A) must be completed and signed and accompany each Proposal. Failure to submit a signed Proposer Certification form may result in rejection of the submission.
 - ii. Proproser Responsibility Form (ATTACHMENT B).
- b. DETAILED REQUIREMENTS & EVALUATION. Consultants must provide a response packet, including responses to each of the items listed below (a e). The Proposer Certification form (see attachments) shall be completed and submitted as the cover of the Proposer's response. Provide a concise but complete response to each of the following criteria areas. Do not assume the District has any prior knowledge of the Proposer. Proposal responses must be in the same order as presented below and each Proposal may not exceed 10 pages excluding the Proposer Certification form and any personnel resumes submitted as Supplemental Information. The District may choose to but is not required to evaluate Supplemental Information. Points will be awarded per section as noted below:
 - i. Process (25 points): Please describe your approach and process to guiding the District through the design process. How do you keep the project management team informed of progress, provide design recommendations, and how/when do you seek input? What is your internal process for determining that staff are making appropriate and considered progress on the project? Do not simply define design milestones such as Schematic Design, Design Development and Construction Documents, etc...
 - ii. **Experience (40 points)**: Please provide at least 3 examples of projects your firm has completed that are comparable to the projects described in the Project Group. The best responses will include K-12 work and be specific to the project group, but other projects will be evaluated based on the similarity of the attributes.

SECTION IV – PROPOSAL CONTENT REQUIREMENTS Solicitation No: RFP 21-0025

- iii. **Personnel (25 points)**: Please provide an overview of your firm including its size, office location(s), and your approach to teaming and project assignment. Can the District expect to work with the same team on comparable projects over the full term of the contract? Please identify who will be our primary Account Representative and their qualifications. You may submit individual resumes as Supplemental Information.
- iv. **References (10 points)**: Please provide at least three professional references. The best references will be from the projects referenced in the experience section. If your firm has done any work with BSD, they must be provided as a reference for that work. References will be evaluated based on how the information concerning the projects and/or persons listed relate to the Project Group work delineated in this solicitation.
- v. **Hourly Rates**: Please provide hourly rates for all of your firm's staff as well as known rates for assumed sub-consultants. Although this information will not be evaluated or scored, it is required to establish the Master Contract. Annually, when/if the contract is renewed, these rates may be adjusted by mutual agreement.

4. SCORING

a.

| Evaluation Criteria: | Points Possible |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Process | 25 |
| Experience | 40 |
| Personnel | 25 |
| References | 10 |
| Total Possible Points: | 100 |
| Interviews (if needed) | 30 |
| Total Possible Combined Points: | 130 |



SECTION V Solicitation No: RFP 21-0025 EVALUATION

1. EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS.

The District will form an evaluation committee, made up of not less than three members, representing the District, to evaluate and measure the merit of each Statement of Qualifications received on a points-based system. Firms that receive a "Pass" grade for both Required Forms and score a minimum of 80% of the points available for the written proposal portion of this solicitation in the Evaluation Criteria as delineated in Section IV Proposal Content Requirements will be deemed to have available the appropriate qualifications, personnel resources, and expertise, or can obtain the resources and expertise necessary to meet all contractual responsibilities. Eligible firms based on criteria stated above will be eligible to be awarded a Master Contract with the District. It is the intent of the District to award up to five (5) prime Master Contracts within the Project Group. The recommendations of this committee will be final.

Forms (each will receive points-assigned grading)
 Proposer Certification
 Proposer Responsibility Form

b. Scoring

Pre-Qualified 80-100 points: Proposal meets RFP requirements and offers an acceptable level of competency. The firm proposing has available the appropriate personnel, resources, and expertise, or has the ability to obtain the resources and expertise necessary to meet all the contractual responsibilities and may be awarded a Master Agreement.

Not Pre-Qualified 0-79 points: Proposal is considered to contain insufficient qualifications. The Firm has not demonstrated they have the does not have available the appropriate personnel, resources, and expertise, or has the ability to obtain the resources and expertise necessary to meet all the contractual responsibilities to the Owner's satisfaction. This firm will not be eligible to be awarded a Master Agreement.

2. EVALUATION OF INTERVIEWS (IF NEEDED)

The District may choose to interview the top scoring proposers with a score meeting the minimum average score of 80, and may award up to five (5) master contracts following the interviews. Interviewees will be provided with questions and format prior to the interview taking place. Interviewees scoring a minimum of 80% of interview scoring will be eligible to be awarded a master contract. If interviews are conducted, the scores from the interviews will be the sole determinant for the award of the contract.



PROPOSAL SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

ALL CERTIFICATIONS, FORMS AND PROPOSAL CONTENT REQUIREMENTS AS SPECIFIED IN SECTION IV MUST BE INCLUDED IN PROPOSALS.

| REQUII | RED AFFIDAVIT, CERTIFICATIONS AND FORMS |
|------------------------------|---|
| the Proposer reagreement. Fa | completed certifications and forms must be signed by the person authorized to represent egarding all matters related to the Proposal and authorized to bind the Proposer to the ilure to submit any of the required, completed and signed certifications/forms shall result on of the proposing firm. |
| | PROPOSER CERTIFICATION - This serves as the cover sheet for your Proposal. (Attachment A) |
| | AFFIDAVIT OF NON-COLLUSION / COMPLIANCE WITH TAX LAWS. (Attachment B) |
| | NON-CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION. (Attachment C) |
| | PROPOSER RESPONSIBILITY FORM – All Pages. (Attachment D) |
| | PROPOSER REFERENCE FORMS – Include the # specified on the form. See SECTION IV. (Attachment E) |
| RESPO | NSES TO DETAILED PROPOSAL CONTENT REQUIREMENTS |
| Detailed Propo | sal Content Requirements are specified in SECTION IV. |
| _ | ittachment(s) are NOT to be returned with the Proposal. The content of these must be reviewed by the Proposer. The terms and conditions will apply to the Contract to r the work. |
| ATTACHMENT | ' |
| ATTACHMENT | G Covid Attestation Form (Must be returned with Signed Contract) |
| This checklist is | s provided for the Proposer's convenience in assembling your proposal and is NOT |

This checklist is provided for the Proposer's convenience in assembling your proposal and is NOT required to be returned with the proposal.



PROPOSER CERTIFICATION

| | | Respectfully submitted | thisc | lay of | , 20 |
|------|---|--|--------------------|-------------------|---|
| | | | | | |
| Sign | Signature: | | | _ | |
| | Name: | (Please type or print) | | | Phone: |
| | | (Please type or print) | | | |
| | Title: | | | _ | |
| | Email Address: _ | | | | |
| Firr | n/Company Name: | | | | |
| Phy | rsical Address: | | | | |
| City | , State, Zip: | | | | |
| 1. | The Proposer certifies | that he or she has read a | nd understands a | I terms and cond | litions of this solicitation. |
| 2. | | edges that the person tha d to fully bind the Propose | - | • | thorized to sign on behalf of thereof. |
| 3. | | that Proposer has compli no legal requirement ha | | • | |
| 4. | | ertificate from the Orego pard, or the Oregon State | | | _ |
| | Registration #: | · | | | |
| 5. | The Proposer, pursuan | t to ORS 279A.120 (1), (cl | neck one) is | /is not | a resident Bidder. |
| | If not, indicate State of | f residency | · | | |
| 6. | against any disadvanta business that a service | that it has not discrimina ged business enterprise, -disabled veteran owns o ny required subcontract. | a minority-owned | l business, a won | nan-owned business, a |
| 7. | The Proposer agrees to | comply with Oregon tax | laws in accordan | ce with ORS 305. | 385. |
| 8. | The Proposer acknowle | edges receipt of the follow | wing addenda: (lis | t by number and | date appearing on |
| | Addendum Numbe | er Date | Addend | lum Number | Date |
| | | | | | |



AFFIDAVIT OF NON-COLLUSION / COMPLIANCE WITH TAX LAWS

| Propo | oser: | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| I state | e that: | | | |
| (1) | The correct taxpayer identification numbe | ers are: | | |
| | A. Federal Employer ID Number (EIN): Number: | | B. Employer's Ore | gon ID |
| (2) | Proposer is not subject to backup withhold (ii) Proposer has not been notified by the la failure to report all interest or dividends subject to backup withholding; | IRS that Proposer | is subject to back | up withholding as a result of |
| (3) | The price(s) and amount of this proposal s communication or agreement with any oth disclosed on the attached appendix. | | • | |
| (4) | That neither the price(s) nor the amount or approximate amount of this proposal, sha potential proposer, and they will not be dis | ll be disclosed to | any other firm or i | |
| (5) | No attempt has been made or will be mad contract, or to submit any noncompetiti | - | - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| (6) | The proposal of my firm is made in good for inducement from, any firm or person to su | | , - | |
| (7) | | (name o | of firm), its affiliat | es, subsidiaries, officers, |
| | in the last four years been convicted of or jurisdiction, involving conspiracy or collusi described in the attached appendix. | | | - |
| I state | e that | | (name of firm) | understands and |
| School under Beave author and to those | owledges that the above representations are read District in awarding the contract(s) for which restands that any misstatement in this affidaverton School District of the true facts relating to be recommended by the best of my knowledge, Proposer, and have a tax laws listed in ORS 305.380(4); the elderly ocal taxes administered by the Oregon Depart | h this proposal is rit is and shall be to the submission authority and knon violation of any rental assistance | s submitted. I und treated as fraudul n of proposals for owledge regarding or Oregon tax laws, e program under C | lerstand and my firm lent concealment from the this contract. I am Proposer's payment of taxes including, without limitation, PRS 310.630 to 310.706; and |
| (Affia | nt's Signature) | | | |
| STATE | E OF OREGON | | | |
| Count | ty of | | | |
| Signe | d and sworn to before me on | | by | |
| | (d | ate) | | (Affiant's name) |
| | | Notary: _ | | |
| | | | | |
| | | My Comm | iission Expires: | |



NON-CONFLICT OF INTEREST CERTIFICATION

| Issuing Ager | ıcy: | Beaverton School District | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | hereby certify I have read |
| no conflict of be submitte | of interest exected by myself a conflict she | xists as therein defined, which pred or the entity/company for which ould arise, I will immediately notify | at I understand the statement; that cludes an impartial Bid/Proposal to the Bid/Proposal is submitted, and the Beaverton School District and |
| FINANCIAL DISTRICT OF | INTEREST, D R WITH AN | IRECT OR INDIRECT, IN THE OPERA | PROPOSER HAS ANY PERSONAL TION OF THE BEAVERTON SCHOOL OREGON SCHOOL AND DISTRICT |
| Signature: _ | | | |
| Name: _ | | (Please type or print) | |
| Title: _ | | | |
| Firm/Compa | ny Name: _ | (Please type or print) | |
| Date: _ | | (i lease type of print) | |



PROPOSER RESPONSIBILITY FORM

DECLARATION AND SIGNATURES

The undersigned hereby declares that the he or she is duly authorized to complete and submit this Responsibility Form and that the statements contained herein are true and correct as of the date set forth below. Incomplete, incorrect or misleading information will be reason for the District to reject this Proposal.

| Date: | |
|--------|--------------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| Ву: | |
| | (Signature of authorized official) |
| | |
| | |
| Name: | |
| | (Please type or print) |
| | |
| | |
| Title: | |
| | (Please type or print) |
| | |
| | |
| For: | |
| | (Firm's name) (Please type or print) |
| CCDII | |
| CCB#: | |

Instructions

- 1. The information provided in this form is part of the District's inquiry concerning responsibility. Please print clearly or type.
- 2. If you need more space, use plain paper. Submit completed form with Bid response.
- 3. Answer all questions. Submission of a form with unanswered questions, incomplete or illegible answers may result in a finding of Disqualified.



CURRENT CONTRACTS IN FORCE

| ITEM | CONTRACT 1 | | CONTRACT 2 | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| A. Work Location | | | | |
| B. Scope of Work; | | | | |
| Check box: | ☐ New Construction | ☐ Re-Construction | ☐ New Construction | ☐Re-Construction |
| C. Contract Amount | \$ | | \$ | |
| D. Change Order Amount | \$ | | \$ | |
| E. % Completed | | % | | % |
| F. Est. Completion Date | | | | |
| G. Owner's Name | | | | |
| H. Owner Contact | | | | |
| I. Telephone | () | | () | |
| J. E-Mail Address | | | | |
| ITEM | CONTRA | ACT 3 | CONTR | ACT 4 |
| A. Work Location | | | | |
| B. Scope of Work; | | | | |
| Check box: | ☐ New Construction | ☐ Re-Construction | ☐ New Construction | ☐ Re-Construction |
| C. Contract Amount | \$ | | \$ | |
| D. Change Order Amount | \$ | | \$ | |
| E. % Completed | | % | | % |
| F. Est. Completion Date | | | | |
| G. Owner's Name | | | | |
| H. Owner Contact | | | | |
| I. Telephone | () | | () | |
| J. E-Mail Address | | | | |



LARGEST SIMILAR JOBS YOU HAVE COMPLETED IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS

| ITEM | CONTRA | ACT 1 | | CONTRA | ACT 2 |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----|------------------|-------------------|
| A. Work Location | | | | | |
| B. Scope of Work; | | | | | |
| Check box: | ☐ New Construction | ☐ Re-Construction | | New Construction | ☐ Re-Construction |
| C. Contract Amount | \$ | | \$ | | |
| D. Change Order Amount | \$ | | \$ | | |
| E. % Completed | | % | | | % |
| F. Completion Date | | | | | |
| G. Owner's Name | | | | | |
| H. Owner Contact | | | | | |
| I. Telephone | () | | (|) | |
| J. E-Mail Address | | | | | |



RELIABILITY

| Has your company ever been declared in breach of any contract for unperformed or defective work? \Box Yes. \Box No. |
|---|
| If "yes", explain. |
| |
| Has any employee or agent of your company ever been convicted of a criminal offense arising out of obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public or private contract or subcontract? Yes. No. |
| If "yes," explain. |
| |
| Has any employee or agent of your company been convicted under state or federal law of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, receiving stolen property or any other offense indicating a lack of business integrity or business honesty? Yes. No. |
| If "yes," explain. |
| |
| Has your company or any employee or agent of your company been convicted under state or federal antitrust laws? ☐ Yes. ☐ No. |
| If "yes," explain. |
| |
| Has any Officer or Partner of your organization ever been an Officer or Partner of another Organization that failed to complete a construction contract? |
| If "yes," explain. |
| |
| |



FINANCIAL RESOURCES

| Has your firm ever been at any time in the last ten years the debtor in a bankruptcy case? ☐ Yes. ☐ No. |
|---|
| If "yes," explain. |
| |
| Does your firm have any outstanding judgments pending against it? ☐ Yes. ☐ No. |
| If "yes," explain. |
| |
| In the past ten years, has your firm been a party to litigation, arbitration or mediation where the amount in dispute exceeded \$10,000? Yes. No. |
| If "yes," explain. |
| (Include court, case number and party names.) |
| |
| In the past ten years, has your firm been a party to litigation, arbitration or mediation on a matter related to payment to subcontractors or work performance on a contract? Check "yes" even if the matter proceeded to arbitration or mediation without court litigation. Yes. |
| If "yes," explain. (Include court, case number and party names.) |
| |
| Have you or any of your affiliates discontinued business operation with outstanding debts? ☐ Yes. ☐ No. |
| If "yes," explain. |
| |



KEY PERSONNEL

List the principal individuals of your company, their current job title, the total years of experience they have in the industry and their current primary responsibility for your company. Corporations list current officers and those who own 5% or more of the corporation's stock. Limited liability companies list members who own 5% or more of company. Partnerships list all partners. Joint ventures list each firm that is a member of the joint venture and the percentage of ownership the firm has in the joint venture.

| ITEM | Principal Individual |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| A. Name | |
| B. Position | |
| C. Years in Construction | |
| D. Current Primary Responsibility | |
| ITEM | Principal Individual |
| A. Name | |
| B. Position | |
| C. Years in Construction | |
| D. Current Primary Responsibility | |
| ITEM | Principal Individual |
| A. Name | |
| B. Position | |
| C. Years in Construction | |
| D. Current Primary Responsibility | |
| ITEM | Principal Individual |
| A. Name | |
| B. Position | |
| C. Years in Construction | |
| D. Current Primary Responsibility | |

PROPOSER REFERENCE FORM

| PROPOSER REFERENCE FORM FOR | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| (Insert Name of Consultant) | | | | | | |
| Provide five (3) references and use a separate copy of this form for each reference. | | | | | | |
| Date(s) Work Performed: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Name(s) of Project(s): | | | | | | |
| Value of Project(s): \$ | | | | | | |
| Name of Company: | | | | | | |
| Address: | | | | | | |
| Contact Name: | | | | | | |
| Telephone: | | | | | | |
| Email: | | | | | | |
| Method: Subjective Evaluation | | | | | | |

Each reference may be checked for, but not limited to, overall customer service, projects being of similar

size, scope and complexity.



PROJECT WORK AUTHORIZATION

| | | PWA No: |
|--|---|--|
| This Project Work Authorization is mad | le by and between the | e following Parties: |
| | | Beaverton School District |
| | | 16550 SW Merlo Road |
| | | Beaverton, Oregon 97003 |
| | | Complete Demokration |
| | | Attention: Business Services Purchasing |
| PROJECT NAME / SCOPE OF WORK: as des | <mark>scribed in</mark> | |
| and its Terms and Conditions supersede hereto (listed in order of precedence); 1) | any terms or conditions Master Contract (inclu |) is issued pursuant to Master Contract XX-XXXX. The Master Contract s stipulated by Consultant in any offer or proposal. All attachments uded by reference); 2) Exhibit A Statement of Work; and 3) Exhibit B between the Parties with respect to the Work to be performed under |
| is consistent with the terms of the Solicitat Consultants' scope of services that is consistated in this Agreement. No other provi limitation on liability. To the extent that a agreement, such proposed terms are void a | ion, this Agreement and stent with the remainde sions of any proposal at proposal term otherwiand are expressly and who will be proposals and the | are incorporated solely for: (i) any statement of fees and schedule that the Master Contract and (ii) any statement of Consultant's and its subtract of this Agreement, or that provides basic services in addition to those are part of this Agreement, including without limitation any purported ise conflicts with the terms of this Agreement or is not included in this sholly subject to the terms of this Agreement. In the event of overlap or to other terms of this Agreement, the provision that provides a better |
| on a time and materials basis. The Consulta performed at the specific intervals agreed address above. All invoice(s) and correspor | ant must submit one inv d upon by the District. Indence shall include the | |
| | RT DATE: Upon Full PW | |
| SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION DATE: | | CT COMPLETION DATE: |
| | Representative shall be | at@beaverton.k12.or.us, (503)356-, is authorized as the the initial point of contact for all matters related to performance, District. |
| disabled veteran and socially or economica necessary to implement our bond program | Illy disadvantaged busing . The District aspires to | naintains a goal of engaging minority, women, emerging, service- esses (DMWESBSDVBE) as service providers in delivering services a goal of ten (10) percent DMWESBSDVE content, by contract value, in d reasonable efforts to reach this content in the total value of their |
| | stipulations and agreem | ents, the Parties hereto do agree and acknowledge that they have read |
| and understand this Contract and agree to | | |
| Beaverton School District | | Consultant/Company Name |
| District Representative | Date | (typed or printed name of officer) |
| Cost Center Authority | Date | - Signature Date |
| | | |
| Business Services Purchasing | Date | Title: |
| | | Phone/Fax: |
| Not a valid Contract until all reg'd signa | tories are complete | |



PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, OR 97003-5152

Phone: 503-356-4379

contracts@beaverton.k12.or.us
Larry Pelatt - Purchasing Manager





| | | | N FOR BEAVERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT (BSD) & SERVICE PROVIDERS. |
|--|--|---|--|
| BSD | PROJECT NAM | 1E | BSD CONTRACT NUMBER |
| or scho 19 or h person studen exemp indirec | ool-based programave a documented nel coming to the ts in the course of tions may still be to contact with students. | m which takes place and medical or religion to site are required to a performing their was to refused access to s | I persons engaged to provide goods and/or services at a BSD school eat or in school facilities, must be fully vaccinated against COVIDous exemption. Contractors, subcontractors, and any associated be fully vaccinated if they will have direct or indirect contact with work. Unvaccinated persons with documented medical or religious chool sites if the anticipated work would/could put them in direct or |
| Contra employ Contra and do Contra | thorized Representes, subcontractor agrees that in yees, associates, a ctor further agreed cumentation of n | t is their obligation agents, or subcontracts that it will maintanedical or religious | attests that all of their employees, compliance with this rule. to obtain documentation of compliance with this rule from each of it actors. An example of such documentation, including vaccination verification exemptions, for at least two years from the completion of the project of compliance with this rule to the District at their request and in their |
| assesse Contra subcon associa | ed as a result of C ctor also agrees t tractors pursue a | Contractor failing to hat Contractor will ny action against B bcontractors contra | d hold harmless the District from any violations or civil penalties comply with this rule. not pursue, nor will any of their employees, associates, agents, or eaverton School District in the event any of their employees, ects COVID as a result of the provision of goods or services to |
| Agreed | d to this | Day of | 20 |
| (Contr | actor) | | Beaverton School District |
| By: | | | By: |
| Title:_ | | | Title: |
| Date:_ | | | Date: |