

## 3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

| SUBMITTED           | NAME                  | EMAIL                 | ELEMENTARY      | MIDDLE     | HIGH     | OPTIONS | COMMENTS   |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|---------|--|
| 03/06/2020 9:36 AM  | Alivia Sil            | alivia2001@gmail.com  | Findley Elem    | Stoller MS | Sunset   |         | Stoller is the neighborhood school for Findley. Hence losing stoller and going to Timberland is not the best solution. Having said that, if at all for the bigger good of the community we need to go to Timberland entire findley should be moved to Timberland. Having said that, some findley parents who are at close proximity to stoller would want there kids to walk to stoller. For them walking is more important than emotional well being of kids. Not that they would want a split, but was fine with split if needed. To respect there need there had been a proposal by committee for such true walking findley parents to be sent to stoller and strategically swapping them with JW kids who cannot walk to stoller. Many true walking findley and JW parents did not like the proposal and are opposing the proposal both here with their comments and at different social networking sites. Being a devil's advocate here, in this crisis situation we cannot win all the battle. If someone's priority is walking and that is given, then they start to fight for emotional well being of the kids, then if they get that, they they will start to fight for all summa... Is this really right???? I leave it up to the BSD/committee and superintendent to decide. In this situation we cannot have it all and our priorities cannot keep changing. Then it becomes 'greed not need'.. This is not personal to anyone but as a community we can handle this in a much better way if all of us focus on our need and not greed.. |
| 03/06/2020 7:32 AM  | Anshul                | Anshul.us@gmail.com   | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS | Westview |         | JACOB WISMER FEEDER PATTERN TO SUNSET IS GOOD EVEN WITHOUT SUMMA AT STOLLER.....Even without SUMMA, Jacob Wismer to Sunset will feed more than 200 kids ..... this is in line with many other school's healthy feeder pattern in BSD.....East Oakhills was only around 20 kids to sunset each year..... JACOB WISMER AND OAK HILLS EAST SITUATION IS TOTALLY UNLIKE EACH OTHER.....Even without SUMMA, stoller to sunset feeder pattern has significant amount of kids.....as such this is NOT A VALID reason to split schools   |
| 03/06/2020 5:42 AM  | Arta Montero          | arta.pecaj@gmail.com  | Rock Creek Elem | Stoller MS | Westview |         | Please keep Rock Creek with two northern schools wherever we go. Willing to go almost anywhere as long as we go with two Northern schools.   |
| 03/06/2020 10:24 PM | arun                  | arun_koroth@yahoo.com | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS | Westview |         | Feb25th MAP A does the following and SHOULD BE SUPPORTED1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls and gets below 1100 in a couple of years again even with aggressive projections.3) MEETS OBJECTIVE 1 Fills Timberland efficiently with all of Findley and most parents don't want to split even most of the walkable areas4) MEETS OBJECTIVE 2- 80% permanent capacity with all of Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville minus SUMMA5) Even the increase in 2025 is only using 1 or max 2 portables, this is even with the over projections.6) Maintains free reduced lunch at Stoller at 10%. Any other config brings it down to less than 7% even further in an area where it is hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty. This combination will not call for another boundary adjustment in 2025. It doesn't make Stoller overcrowded.7) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five Oaks  |
| 03/06/2020 11:35 AM | Bharadwaj Wuppalapati | wbharad@yahoo.com     | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS |          |         | Dear Mr. Sparks and AC, Here is the reminder from Jan 16th meeting: Board Objective #2[?] Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS.[?] The objective is to be met for School Year 2021-22. This was clarified in the packet for Jan 16th meeting. This Objective is met by Map from Feb 25th meeting, with SUMMA from Stoller going to Timberland along with Findley, and Springville remains at Stoller. JW SUMMA kids have a fantastic opportunity to go to the state of the ART school building with great facilities and best teachers. But the parents are hung up on the notion that somehow SUMMA taken away from Stoller would impact school ratings and home values. This is totally misplaced fear and with SPV, SATO and JW MS non SUMMA the ratings will be higher or same. Please give JW SUMMA kids an opportunity for a Modern school bldg and facilities, that unfortunately SPV cannot even dream of at this point. Regards Bharad  |
| 03/06/2020 4:53 PM  | Bharadwaj Wuppalapati | wbharad@yahoo.com     | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS |          |         | Dear Mr Sparks and AC team, Several JW parents have been commenting to move Springville out of Stoller, to make room for SUMMA at Stoller. Their argument is that Springville should not complain about the increase in commute, because the kids are on the BUS anyway. What they don't seem to realize is the after school activities and the sports teams that are formed across the Bethany neighborhood are based on the schools. Moving Summa kids to Timberland has great advantages for the Kids as they get the brand new facilities, best teachers and great student body. Plus their after school activities are still with neighborhood kids. They needlessly assume moving SUMMA out of Stoller would lead to fall in school ratings and consequent fall in property prices. This is unfounded and their stance to push Springville out of Stoller is really unfair to the community. Regards Bharad  |

## 3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

|                     |                       |                          |                 |            |          |   |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|---|
| 03/06/2020 5:03 PM  | Bharadwaj Wuppalapati | wbharad@yahoo.com        | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS |          | Dear Mr. Sparks and AC,Please fix the data on student projections for 2025 for Springville. These are misleading, not in line with PSU projections. It almost seems like these were doctored to make a case to push Springville out of Stoller.The projections show absurd increase of 444 students by 2025 and then drops after 2025. Moreover, if you believe these numbers and push SPV out of Stoller, your plan would have Stoller running at 70%, if Findley moves to Timberland. It would be ridiculous to have the only middle school in North to be running at 70% capacity while busing the students from North to South.Please note that Map of Feb 25 meets the goals for 2021 and 2025, if you follow the right projections given by PSU.RegardsBharad   |
| 03/06/2020 11:32 AM | Bharati FNU           | bharatifnu@gmail.com     | Sato Elem       | Stoller MS | Westview | I would like to draw your attention to following facts with regards to Sato (1) ALL of current Sato student body is composed of families who moved to Sato from either Jacob Wismer or Springville or newly moved to this area (2) Meaning if you end up moving subset of these families again to a different Middle school, it would be too much of stress on students mind when they realize they would not be moving to Middle school with same set of friends.. remember, they have already spent lot of time and energy to form their Elementary friendships (3) Sato is a growing neighborhood and they will potentially would be re-boundary again when BSD comes up with another school on north east corner of the county (4) Sato, especially the neighborhoods in Polygon Bethany Creek Falls and right opposite to Sato school.. - these neighborhoods are mixed use.. what it means is it has apartments as well as townhomes and single family homes of different sizes.. this inherently provides balanced demography and income mix .. lets not disturb this In summary - what I would like to express is - Please keep Sato in its entirety feeding into Stoller and Westview. These kids and families have already gone through multiple changes and kids have had hard time stabilizing into both school and community. It would be too much fo put them through this again. |
| 03/06/2020 7:19 PM  | Chieko Yoshihara      | pdxsalecy@gmail.com      | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS |          | Five Oaks is simply too far from Springville. There are many schools closer to Five Oaks from north of US 26. I just heard about the future construction of 185th from Springville to Farmington...That will make the commute longer.   |
| 03/06/2020 10:22 PM | Chung-Han Lin         | chunghan.lin@gmail.com   | Rock Creek Elem |            |          | As a rock creek parent, I support Map C because this map resolve the capacity issue at north. Capacity of most of the middle school in BSD are within tolerance. Map C also save the cost of the transportation which can benefit other kids. Map C also address the academic concerns with Rock Creek community. Although multiple schools splits, kids make new friends in new environment. I also believe it is an important thing for kids to learn how to adopt new environment when they are young. Bring Rock Creek, Bethany, Oak Hill to Meadow Park is also another good comprised plan, though transportation cost and stoller capacity issue needs to be addressed.  |
| 03/06/2020 8:18 PM  | David Nabors          | david.nabors@comcast.net | Findley Elem    | Stoller MS | Sunset   | Moving all of Findley out of Stoller makes absolutely no sense. Who could look at a map with a large neighborhood across the street from a school full of kids who could walk to school and think that busing them is a good idea? I don't live in that neighborhood, and I'm actually not too concerned with busing my kids to Timberland - they bus to Stoller now and while its a little longer, it's not a big deal. I'm not a fan of splitting the school up, but I understand compromises have to be made. But the map as it stands makes no sense at all.  |
| 03/06/2020 7:22 AM  | Douglas Yap           | dougyap1377@gmail.com    | Springville K-8 |            |          | Posted thisEven WITHOUT SUMMA IN STOLLER, there will be around 200 sunset bound kids from Stoller ... This is from the Net resident grid code map that was released. Jacob Wismer[?]'s feeder pattern is like a lot of schools across BSD AND TOTALLY UNLIKE EAST OAKHILLS SUNSET SITUATION WHERE ONLY less than 25 kids go to Sunset each yearStoller to Sunset feeder even without SUMMA looks healthy .... this SHOULD NOT a reason to Split up School nor a REASON TO LOSE SIGNIFICANT FRL RATIO AT STOLLER   |
| 03/06/2020 9:38 AM  | Dwaipayan Sil         | sdwaipayan@gmail.com     | Findley Elem    | Stoller MS | Sunset   | Stoller is the neighbohood school for Findley. Hence loosing stoller and going to Timberland is not the best solution. Having said that, if at all for the bigger good of the community we need to go to Timberland entire findley should be moved to Timberland. If a strategic swap is needed that should be done. Not that we want it but if absolutely needed. We have spent enough volunteer hours on this. So a decision that would be optimal should be made fast by the committe. We cannot have it all, every community should be ready to win some and loose some for the greater good..  |

## 3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

|                     |                    |                            |                      |                |          |  |  |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------|--|--|
| 03/06/2020 12:47 PM | Elizabeth Su       | ywelizasu@gmail.com        | Rock Creek Elem      |                |          |  | I am wondering why Rock Creek has to be the ONLY school leaving Stoller and not getting a fair alternative. As for Findley split or not, it would be at least at a new school. As for Rock Creek, if we say we prefer move with our neighborhood school, we get it push further out to Meadow Park. If we say we don't want to split, we risk moving to Five Oaks by ourselves. None of the optional seems to work unless we split. For a small school, each grade only has less than a hundred kids. It's also sending a message that some gets to be at better school and other don't. While the committee and BSD trying to find an ideal solution, sometimes it seems the focus is not on the whole benefit of the students in the district. I think the growth of North Bethany is inevitable. Just one school leaves Stoller will not be enough room unless there can be another middle school north of highway 26. In fact, Rock Creek is willing to compromise with the reality and just request a fairness treatment. I would prefer to have Rock Creek at Five Oaks with Bethany and Westview-feeding Oak Hills to preserve our northern community, save transportation costs for the district as a whole. There's only one meeting left and I urge the committee and BSD look into all possible ways to give us a EQUITABLE solution.   |
| 03/06/2020 5:43 AM  | Gabe Montero       | Gabe.montero@gmail.com     | Rock Creek Elem      | Stoller MS     | Westview |  | Please keep Rock Creek with two northern schools. Willing to take any split and go anywhere in this case.  |
| 03/06/2020 6:59 PM  | George Yang        | gyanghere@yahoo.com        | Findley Elem         |                |          |  | Don't mind split as long as walkable students stay in Stroller Middle School.  |
| 03/06/2020 7:37 AM  | Haribabu Bommineni | bomminenihari@gmail.com    | Elmonica Elem        |                |          |  | Please keep elmonica elementary school boundaries residents middle school to Five oaks.Meadows park middle school will be very far compared to Five oaks middle school.  |
| 03/06/2020 6:10 AM  | Heather Clement    | heather.mclement@gmail.com | Oak Hills Elem       | Meadow Park MS | Westview |  | Please send Oak Hills (East) to Timberland and keep Oak Hills (West) at Meadow Park.   |
| 03/06/2020 4:42 PM  | Jessica Adsit      | jessicaeadsit@gmail.com    | Cooper Mountain Elem |                |          |  | I would urge the committee members to stick to the initial objectives of this group. Please leave Cooper Mountain attendance boundaries unchanged. It is unfair that the representatives speaking for our elementary school at the last two meetings represented the minority of parent opinion. It is also unfair that such significant changes, potentially impacting our kids and families, are being discussed at the eleventh hour when we have not been adequately involved in or aware of this process from the beginning. A change to Cooper Mountain's attendance boundaries is not necessary to satisfy the objectives of this group--focused on attendance boundaries and overcrowding in the north. Again, please leave Cooper Mountain attendance boundaries unchanged.   |
| 03/06/2020 8:18 PM  | Jian Clay          | Jingwangclay@gmail.com     | Springville K-8      | Stoller MS     | Westview |  | Please don't separate Springville kids from Bethany. We are part of the Bethany community.   |
| 03/06/2020 12:21 PM | Jian Kang          | cnkangj@yahoo.com          | Findley Elem         | Stoller MS     | Sunset   |  | Just want to thank BSD committee members for spending the effort to help resolve our issue, especially at this time of pandemic. Please take healthy measures, stay healthy, and we together make our community better and better.   |
| 03/06/2020 7:00 PM  | Jinmei Wu          | jinmei98@yahoo.com         | Findley Elem         |                |          |  | Don't mind split up as long as walkable students can go to Stroller Middle School.   |
| 03/06/2020 10:13 PM | jithin             | jrathod81@gmail.com        | Springville K-8      | Stoller MS     | Westview |  | There will be lot more students feeding into Sunset from Stoller with 2/25 working map. They will not be alone and the situation is nothing like that of East Oak Hills at Meadow. Springville is built out. you can drive around our community and see. We are not growing that much by 2025. Also there are many houses with more than 3-4 rooms but with single kid. So the estimates for 2025 projections based on house size is incorrect.  |
| 03/06/2020 10:18 PM | jithin             | jrathod81@gmail.com        | Springville K-8      | Stoller MS     | Westview |  | Please do not split Springville based on a few percentage points over in 2025 based on aggressive projections (and FLAT options numbers), based largely on empty lots. We are talking about real children with real relationships here. These are real children that do not have a voice of their own and are looking to this committee to be their voice. Springville children across Arbor Oaks, Abbey Creek and Noyce have real relationships with each other. They play cricket, soccer and baseball with each other at the PCC grounds. They play with each other at the Springville playground. They play with each other at the neighborhood park. Springville and Sato children have real relationships with each other. They play at pirate park. They are neighbors with houses right across from each other. These used to be one school not too long ago! They have only one middle school with proximity given their extreme Northern location. The Feb 25th MAP A preserved human relationships. It kept Rock Creek together with their Bethany neighbors. It kept Springville intact and together with its Sato neighbors. It kept Findley intact with no splits. So it went over in 2025 by a few percentage points, even with aggressive projections. The question is so what? We are meeting the actual board objective of 90% in 2021. We are still going down to 1200 kids in 2025 from 1600 kids in Stoller in 2020, and the population is actually projected to drop after that. Is that not worth preserving communities and relationships over? The children of North Bethany need to have their voices represented and their relationships preserved. Please do not chase arbitrary 2025 targets and punish them. Human relationships matter. |

## 3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

|                     |                   |                            |                      |            |          |   |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|---|
| 03/06/2020 10:14 PM | jithinr           | jrathod81@gmail.com        | Springville K-8      | Stoller MS | Westview | Some Jacob Wismer folks suggestsing that Springville should go to Five oaks. Its 10 min additional etc etc.THIS IS TOTALLY INCORRECT.If JW can suggest such things why can't they move to Five Oaks?Distance - Jacob Wismer to Five Oaks(via Bethany Blvd) is 3.7 miles.Distance - Springville schoole to Five oaks Via Springville rd and 185th) is 4.3 milesSO JACOB WISMER IS CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS AND THE ROUTE thru Bethany blvd is MUCH MUCH SAFER for them.Also it will decrease the load on Stoller.   |
| 03/06/2020 12:42 PM | Julie Brinkerhoff | juliebrinkerhoff@gmail.com | Elmonica Elem        |            |          | I[?]m grateful to the committee for all the work they[?]re putting into this boundary adjustment process. My children currently attend Elmonica Elementary and we live in the neighborhood adjacent to Five Oaks Middle School. Each of the previous maps put forth by the committee improved Five Oaks, and I was happy with the efforts I was seeing to adjust boundaries to fulfill BSD objectives. I strongly disagree with this most recent map (Feb 25th), and plead with the committee to reconsider the boundaries once again.It is my understanding that in addition to relieving overcrowding at Stoller and filling the new Timberland middle school, the committee[?]'s objectives are to adjust other boundaries as needed, considering the Board[?]'s objectives of student body composition and proximity. This new map considers neither of these objectives for Five Oaks. According to the poverty indicators, Five Oaks has the largest percentage of free and reduced lunch eligible students in the district at 58%...a full 10% higher than the next closest school. This most recent map raises that even one percentage higher, and does nothing to intervene or correct what has become a real problem. A school is not brick and mortar...it is the students, families, teachers, and administrators that are a part of it. I understand that schools north of highway 26 are concerned about being moved to Five Oaks, but if the student body composition is changed, the school is changed. If elementary school areas north of Highway 26 are moved in, I believe fewer students who are currently zoned to attend Five Oaks will seek other magnet school options as well, thus offering a balancing effect and reducing the free/reduced lunch percentage.The most recently proposed map makes no sense regarding proximity either. It continues to bus students past closer middle schools in favor of further ones. (Busing Rock Creek and Bethany past Five Oaks to Meadow Park and busing Aloha-Huber away from Mountain View to Five Oaks). Why spend time and resources by-passing schools when it does nothing to strengthen the school communities in question? If driving students longer distances somehow made the student composition stronger for a school, it might be justified; however, the exact opposite is true in this case. I understand that there has been a lot of resistance north of highway 26 regarding previous maps; perhaps this was what precipitated the most recent map revisions? Failure to use this boundary adjustment opportunity to add balance and a better educational environment for at-risk students would be tragic. I ask the committee to make sure that this boundary adjustment process is not determined by the voices that are the loudest or most numerous, but by the voices that need to be heard, and especially, by the voices that advocate doing the most good for the greatest number of people. |
| 03/06/2020 9:44 AM  | Katie Lang        | kshae8@yahoo.com           | Cooper Mountain Elem |            |          | Please keep the current map going forward for Cooper Mt. The current map moves current Chehalem Highland park students to Cedar Park to create a better HS feeder pattern and increase numbers at Cedar Park. Then swapping Cooper Mt and the remaining Chehalem students results in a change of schools for 100% of the Chehalem population and 70% of the Cooper Mountain students. How does this meet the objective the committee set at the beginning of the process? The current map meets the capacity goals and is least disruptive to the students and their community. I hope if the current map changes significantly in the Southwest for Cooper Mt/Chehalem, that the committee is prepared to answer why changes were made this late in the process and speak how they are in line with the goals set.   |

3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

|                     |        |                      |                 |            |          |  |
|---------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|--|
| 03/06/2020 10:23 PM | kvijay | v_koroth@yahoo.co.in | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS | Westview | Please donot split Springville based on a few percentage points over in 2025 based on aggressive projections (and FLAT options numbers), based largely on empty lots. We are talking about real children with real relationships here. These are real children that do not have a voice of their own and are looking to this committee to be their voice. Springville children across Arbor Oaks, Abbey Creek and Noyce have real relationships with each other. They play cricket, soccer and baseball with each other at the PCC grounds. They play with each other at the Springville playground. They play with each other at the neighborhood park. Springville and Sato children have real relationships with each other. They play at pirate park. They are neighbors with houses right across from each other. These used to be one school not too long ago! They have only one middle school with proximity given their extreme Northern location.The Feb 25th MAP A preserved human relationships. It kept Rock Creek together with their Bethany neighbors. It kept Springville intact and together with its Sato neighbors. It kept Findley intact with no splits. So it went over in 2025 by a few percentage points, even with aggressive projections. The question is so what? We are meeting the actual board objective of 90% in 2021. We are still going down to 1200 kids in 2025 from 1600 kids in Stoller in 2020, and the population is actually projected to drop after that. Is that not worth preserving communities and relationships over? The children of North Bethany need to have their voices represented and their relationships preserved. Please do not chase arbitrary 2025 targets and punish them. Human relationships matter. |
| 03/06/2020 10:41 PM | kvijay | v_koroth@yahoo.co.in | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS | Westview | Please GO forward with the Feb 25th workmap with minimal changes. You will find out very quickly that you can either make a much richer Stoller with ripple effects across the entire district. Or, you can accept the Feb 25th workmap, with a marginally over permanent capacity Stoller in 2025, still under total capacity, still 400 students less than 2020, after which the population drops, even based on the most aggressive projections. I know there are concerns about JW Middle and High School feeders and that is valid. But NOTE here will still be over 220 Sunset kids in Stoller. It is by no means comparable to the OH-E situation and must not be used to unravel the maps.   |
| 03/06/2020 9:46 PM  | lily   | lily09@gmail.com     | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS | Westview | Dear BSD&#xff0c;There is a big geographical divide on the west if Springville with PCC Rockcreek and farmlands .Feb 25th working map achieved both objective 1 and objective 2 without splitting schools or isolating communities。 Please give each child equal time for school and after school activities. Please don't split Springville. Get the most out of Timberland. If you can't bear to have your child commute long distances. Please don't push the children of Springville to adventure. Thank you.   |
| 03/06/2020 1:18 PM  | lin M  | linma188@yahoo.com   | Findley Elem    | Stoller MS | Sunset   | We, Walkable Findley communities should stay in Stoller, if not all FE can stay in Stoller. Kids in Bethany Heights, Banister Creek and Banister Heights neighborhoods at NE side of Stoller have been walking for years to Stoller. It only takes them 10-15 minutes walk to school. Don't bus them 20-25 minutes to another school 4 miles away.   |

## 3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

|                     |                  |                            |                   |            |          |     |  |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|-----|--|
| 03/06/2020 9:36 AM  | Liping Lian      | lianliping@gmail.com       | Jacob Wismer Elem | Stoller MS |          |     | At the beginning of the boundary change, it clearly said the following (from the Q&A).8. Will this change where Summa will be offered? There are no plans to change Summa.9. Will Summa be offered at Timberland? There is no plan to expand the Summa program to include the new middle school.This is very misleading. It directly caused the Stoller Summa families (especially Jacob Wismer and Sato families) NOT heavily involving in the process.I strongly ask the committee to keep Summa program in Stoller, at least to keep it in Stoller to accommodate all Stoller neighborhood kids who are qualified for Summa. When the boundary change process listens to the voice from Springville families and keep Springville in Stoller, it just kicks out the Stoller Summa kids, and sacrifices the Stoller Summa program by hurting these students in the following ways (1) Students who can walk to Stoller Summa will have to be bused to long distance. For students who bus to Stoller Summar, they need much longer transportation time.(2) Students who are not qualified for Summa, but qualified for Summa Math, cannot share the resources with Summa and take higher level Summa math classes any more. They lose the chance to take such classes after Stoller loses Summa program. (3) This setting sets up a very bad feeding model for Jacob/Sato/Springville Summa kids from elementary to high school. Think about Jacob/Sato/Springville Summa kids, they attend their elementary schools, then separate with their elementary non-Summa friends (who will go to Stoller) and they will attend Summa program in other middle school, then they are separated from their middle school friends when going to high schools (because they belong to different neighborhood high schools). This is a very weird design, and we should avoid such a design for our kids. I strongly urge the committee to consider to give the Stoller Summa program back to the Jacob/Sato/Springville Summa kids. Stoller's over-crowded situation is caused by the north Bethany development. It is not caused by the Jacob Summa kids. Why does the boundary change cause such significant hurt to these Stoller Summa kids? Why don't we look at an option of splitting Springville school to feed half into Stoller, and half into other middle school? We should look at where to cause the overflow, and then should take the responsibility/burden to solve it, without causing significant hurt to others. |
| 03/06/2020 7:57 AM  | Mahesh mudigonda | mahesh.mudigonda@gmail.com | Springville K-8   | Stoller MS | Westview |     | Dear Committee and BSD,In past meetings there was a concern raised about the population of sunset students in Stoller. From what I see approximately 200 sunset kids in middle school is not so low that they will be seeing unknown faces in HS. Please do not split ES boundaries to fix a non existent problem. If it is a problem the same problem needs to addressed in multiple middle schools, not just Stoller.  |
| 03/06/2020 11:10 PM | Min Pan-Lee      | minni_pan@hotmail.com      | Springville K-8   | Stoller MS | Westview |     | Dear members, thank you for still hanging there. I just want to clear one thing today: There are still more than 200 JW kids go to Sunset without counting Summary kids. please look at your data to check. Data won't lie!!!  |
| 03/06/2020 10:42 PM | Pik Yip          | kateyip2009@gmail.com      | Rock Creek Elem   |            |          |     | During boundary adjustment process, RC has been assigned to many places. As a Rock creek parent, I support Map C because it does address the capacity issue and transportation cost. Capacity issue at stoller resolved in Map C and we don't need another boundary adjustment meeting at 2025. Overall transportation cost is less thus other kids in BSD can benefit from this. Equity and student demographics has been improved. Although splits, most of the school migrate to new places with their neighbors. However, Oak Hill need to be fine tuned. I also believe have kids making new friends in new environment is a good preparation and practice for the future. Alternative working map at 2/25 with Rock Creek, Bethany, Oak Hill go to Meadow Park together is acceptable however Stoller capacity issue need to be addressed. Sending Rock Creek to Five Oak along is NOT ACCEPTABLE and shouldn't be considered after worth.   |
| 03/06/2020 11:20 AM | Priya Mani       | heypriya@gmail.com         | Jacob Wismer Elem | Stoller MS |          |     | BSD aims for 90%ish utilization at Stoller. No one wants Stoller overpopulated (>100%), other than SPV who are single minded in their pursuit of Stoller. The only way to achieve both of the above is indeed very obvious and the solution lies in choosing stable communities like JW and Findley to continue to feed into Stoller. Not only are these 2 school communities walk-able to Stoller,both these schools show stable growth all the way to 2025. After this, bring in Sato or SPV, basically what fits, but do not overpopulate Stoller.  |
| 03/06/2020 10:17 AM | Rashmi Mudiyanur | Rashmi.mudiyanur@gmail.com | Findley Elem      | Stoller MS | Sunset   | ISB | Dear Committee, Members and BSD Staff,I am not sure if you guys have noticed but a certain elementary school has been pretty much repeating the same concerns several times by different people just to increase the sheer number of comments. I was under the assumption that you guys need to hear out our real genuine concerns, and didnt see this as a race to meet the max number of comments from any particular school.I AM WRITING TO HIGHLIGHT THAT YOU GUYS NEED TO HEAR US OUT TOO! Please don't be deafened by the LOUD NOISE. I am sure you guys are smart enough to see this but please note that just because of the sheer number of comments doesnt make it any bigger problem for them than others who have fewer comments here.   |

## 3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

|                     |              |                        |                      |            |          |  |   |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|--|---|
| 03/06/2020 10:47 AM | S            | Srib467@gmail.com      | Springville K-8      |            |          |  | I really hope the committee is not in the process of creating a STOLLER PRIVATE SCHOOL by feeding JW, Findley and Sato into Stoller with a backyard summa program. We are in a public school system, DIVERSITY and FRL should be balanced out evenly amongst schools. That[?]s when we can stay true our motto of WE EMBRACE EQUITY.  |
| 03/06/2020 4:24 PM  | Sally Badawi | sally.badawi@gmail.com | Cooper Mountain Elem |            |          |  | Since the February 25th many of us Cooper Mountain families have really invested a lot of time into reviewing the committee's objectives and seeing what that means for Cooper Mountain Elementary. At the last meeting the one comments to request that all Cooper Mountain feed into Mountain View was based on equity. While this is an important factor, it is not the only factor to consider. The other factors are walkability/transportation, cleaner feeder patterns, and easing over-crowdedness. Specific to Cooper Mountain families: Most of us currently feeding into Highland live on the south side of cooper mountain. Almost none of us are within walkable distance to Mountain View or Highland. Those of us on Bus 332 are not even within walking distance to Cooper Mountain Elementary, which is much closer than Mountain View. Therefore, moving us to Mountain View vs. Highland does not solve any transportation issues. As for cleaner feeder patterns, many us are neighbors with Nancy Ryles and Sexton Mountain families who feed into Highland. Over 50% of Highland, mainly these neighbors, feed into Mountainside High School, which is our high school as well. Keeping us at Highland is absolutely the better feeder pattern into high school. The majority of Mountain View goes to Aloha High School. Currently it is projected that less than 18% of Mountain View will to Mountainside. Therefore, if we are using the argument of keeping peers together, I would argue that it makes more sense for Cooper Mountain Elementary students to go to Highland so that they start middle school with their future high school peers. Finally, the issue of over-crowdedness is really important because in the three maps prior to February 25th there was a significant INCREASE in student population by keeping all of Cooper Mountain together. Currently, with the Highland/Mountain View split there is no over-crowdedness and in fact the current numbers Mr. Sparks showed us at our Cooper Mountain PTO meeting showed that this is a non-issue compared to the situation in the North. Therefore, by moving all of Cooper Mountain Elementary to Mountain View we are not solving any transportation issues, we are creating disruptive feeder patterns and we are potentially creating an over-population situation. |
| 03/06/2020 11:18 AM | Satoko Watt  | satoko.watt@gmail.com  | Springville K-8      |            |          |  | 1.Springville is an INTEGRAL PART OF NORTH BETHANY along with SATO.... afterschool, sports etc2. EVEN WITHOUT SUMMA, STOLLER TO SUNSET WILL BE MORE THAN 200 kids..... Kids will not be isolated AND IT IS UNLIKE OAKHILLS SITUATION3.There is a big geographical divide on the west if Springville with PCC Rockcreek and farmlands 4.Feb 25th working map achieved both objective 1 and objective 2 without splitting schools or isolating communities5.Springville contributes to making Stoller FRL to be higher than any other combination. ...  |
| 03/06/2020 8:27 PM  | Sheng        | lishenggz@gmail.com    | Springville K-8      | Stoller MS | Westview |  | Feb 25th working map achieved both objective 1 and objective 2 without splitting schools or isolating neighborhoods 2025 numbers are CERTAINLY OVER ESTIMATE.   |
| 03/06/2020 10:26 PM | sidhu        | sidhu.arun@comcast.net | Springville K-8      | Stoller MS | Westview |  | MAP-A SHOULD BE REVISTED. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.I know there are concerns about JW High school feeders but please note thee will beover 220 sunset kids in stoller after SUMMA Moves out.e) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five OaksSo better to consider MAP A to avoid all cascading affects.SO PLEASE AVOID UNNECESSARY SPLITS.IF NEEDED ALONG WITH SUMMA, ALSO MOVE A PORTION OF JACOB WISMER TO TIMBERLAND. THAT WILLREDUCE THE STOLLER EVEN MORE.IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.   |

## 3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

|                     |                     |                          |                 |                |          |  |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|--|
| 03/06/2020 10:28 PM | sidhu               | sidhu.arun@comcast.net   | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS     | Westview | Looking closer at the 2025 projections by BSD for Springville, you will notice that BSD projections says that Springville has more growth than Sato... which is TOTALLY INCORRECT. Springville is already BUILT OUT in with new further development. Our elementary school current enrollments say a totally different story than what BSD has projected. Lately BSD projected that Scholls heights elementary school will be overcrowded with all the development in that area but in their own words later nothing like that ever happened. With the history of over projection and clear errors in this process too, springville at Stoller with all of Jacob Wismer and Sato MINUS the summa, will in REALITY be TOTALLY SUSTAINABLE IN 2025. Some Jacob Wismer parents complaining about walkability to schools but they have no concerns about Springville kids crossing 185th passing 14 STOP SIGNALS, WITH MULTIPLE BUS STOPS IN BETWEEN, IN AN AREA PRONE TO TRAFFIC-ACCIDENT SPENDING MORE THAN 40 MINUTES EACH WAY. IS THIS WHAT EQUALITY STANDS FOR? IN FACT Jacob Wismer is VERY MUCH CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS (3.7 miles) RATHER THAN SPRINGVILLE and the route using Kaiser/Bethany Blvd is much safer for Jacob Wismer to reach Five Oaks.  |
| 03/06/2020 6:09 PM  | SUBRAMANYAM SRIPADA | ssubra_99@yahoo.com      | Findley Elem    | Stoller MS     | Sunset   | Thanks for the opportunity to represent the [?]Walking Findley[?] community, a voice that has not been heard clearly until now. 1)Walkability is important and NOT a luxury. The Transportation administrator repeatedly stressed here that transportation and programs come from the same pot of money. Today[?]s map spends taxpayer money polluting the environment instead of putting it in the classrooms. a.We made huge progress in terms of walkability with the introduction of Map C.b.Mr Sparks started last meeting stating that it costs greater than \$10000 to bus Walkable-Findley kids to Timberlandi.Walkability is good for environment, kids[?] health, transportation costs, yet, we are favoring a variation of MapA2)Walking Findley should go to Stoller because it:a.Supports walkabilityb.Supports healthy feeder pattern by increasing Jacob Wismer to Sunset percentagec.The foundation of a neighborhood school is that it caters to the neighborhood it sits in! Stoller is ON OUR BACKYARD! Number clearly show that Stoller can accommodate both the growing north Bethany schools, Sato and Springville, in their entirety, and yet, the proposed map puts them in Stoller, AT THE EXPENSE OF bus[?]ing OUR COMMUNITY that can walk to Stoller! Please arrive at a Stoller solution that is sustainable long term. Please keep all walking findley with Stoller Thank you.  |
| 03/06/2020 1:25 PM  | Sunita Pai          | spai28@gmail.com         | Oak Hills Elem  | Meadow Park MS | Sunset   | Dear Boundary Committee, Thank you for voting to move Oak Hills East (Sunset-bound) to Timberland at the last meeting! This change will keep both Westview-bound Oak Hills and Sunset-bound Oak Hills with schools that also feed to the respective high schools. It also ends the isolation currently being experienced by the very few Sunset students from Oak Hills Elementary at Meadow Park. Again, thank you for listening and acting on our concerns.  |
| 03/06/2020 11:28 PM | Suya Zhang          | aiyaan@126.com           | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS     | Westview | All members, thank for all these hard work, including the one who worked for her own! Someone during the testimony said: in 2021, 85 summa kids is 50% of JW regular students. Members can you please check how many regular students in JW? Well, 395!!! Someone lied during the testimony. Someone also said Sato and Springville can't stay in Stoller together. Why 88% of Stoller pementant capacity in 2021 is not good for you? In 2025, at the high peak, 114% of pementant capacity is really acceptable because Mrs. Sparks said portables are not going to removed anytime soon. In 2027, the number goes to 100%!!! No more new construction in this area anymore because no more empty land. You can check with the city for the construction information!!!  |
| 03/06/2020 1:28 PM  | Tara                | torandpowers@hotmail.com | Rock Creek Elem |                |          | Steven Sparks & the MSBAC, Because BSD is implementing a new middle school curriculum, it would seem prudent to start talking about BDS's priorities when it comes to the heart of middle school redistricting. What an opportunity to talk about why student population/capacity for each school SHOULD be a top priority for the committee. If we all keep in mind that equity in education is the top priority—that all kids in BSD deserve the same curriculum and electives, i.e. education, no matter what middle school you attend—any map that doesn't start with balanced middle school populations shouldn't be considered. The committee has lost its way, and as a consequence, we have wasted valuable time, distracted by ONE school. If the over-arching goal IS to create new boundaries for the entire school district to balance over and under-crowding, then why then are we still focused on the remaining elementary schools fighting tooth and nail to stay within Stoller's boundaries. What about the rest of the district? How can BSD in such short time do adequate justice to ALL the schools that have been left out of the conversation? As a Rock Creek parent, I'm asking the committee to stop focusing on self-interests and get moving on doing the job of a BSD committee member. Yes, get the numbers down for Stoller, but also think outside of that insular, myoptic &#34;box&#34; and take a look at the district as a whole. What new boundaries can we draw to create more great schools? View this as an opportunity to co-create with your committee members and the public a better, more equitable middle school experience for ALL kids. Thank you, Torand Powers |



## 3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

|                     |               |                         |                 |            |          |   |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|---|
| 03/06/2020 10:24 PM | varsha        | a.varsha26@yahoo.com    | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS | Westview | At the end of Feb25th meeting, did committe in search of spaceat Five Oaks for Springville, unintentionally overfill Mountain view?By adding Cooper Mountain and Aloha Huber to Mountain view, it would be around 1100&#43; students even if Chahalem west is moved to Highland park. That is 200 morre than the 900&#43; capacity at Mountain view.THIS IS NOT EQUITABLE.Please restore the Feb25 working map. It is ths best, most equitable map so far.  |
| 03/06/2020 10:29 PM | varsha        | a.varsha26@yahoo.com    | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS | Westview | Do not split elementary schools[?]. Springville, Sato, Findley, Rock Creek and Oak Hills have all been shown split in various maps proposed by the committee or BSD. Studies have consistently shown that minimizing transitions results in better academic performance and emotional health for children. We are strongly supportive of the current Feb 25th working map which does not introduce any additional ES splits. Do not divide communities[?]. Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025. Summa should not split communities[?]. [?]The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go, in order to minimize splits. We across these four schools agree that the entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller.  |
| 03/06/2020 10:30 PM | varsha        | a.varsha26@yahoo.com    | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS | Westview | MAP A WAY TO GO FORWARDa) It avoids splitting of communities.b) It avoids Springville having to travel the maximum distance along the unsafest route to Five Oaksc) BSD will also gain in transportation costs by keeping Springville at Stoller.d) It will further help to move the entire SUMMA from Stoller to Timberland.e) Rockcreek will need to travel to Meadow park but they will have Bethany Elem with them. Also SUMMAis also there in Meadow park.f)JW , SATO and Springville minus SUMMA meets thE objective in 2025 and is totally sustainable in 2025.g) The 2025 projections doesnt seem accurate. There is no further development in SpringvilleThe estimate FOR 2025 NEED FURTHER CLARIFICATION. IN LAST BUDGET REVIEW MEETING BSD INDICATED THAT NUMBER OF STUDENTS ACROSS BSD HAVE BEEN STABLE LAST YEAR AND TEND TO DROP IN FUTURE DUE TO SMALLER MEMBERS PER HOUSEHOLD IN NEW GEN.So it doesnt clarify how does the Stoller increase drastically in 2025. There is no new growth in Springville. Also there are houses with more than 3-4 rooms but with single kid.PLEASE DONT GIVE PRIORITY TO SUMMA SOVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. The entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller.H) The Jacob wismer to Sunset feeder at Stoller is about 18% or over 200 kids in the Feb25th MAP A. Its not a single digit percentage as MISREPRESENTED by one of the Jacob Wismer testimonies. Its well in line with high school feeders across the district. |
| 03/06/2020 4:24 PM  | Vasu Akkineni | vasu.akkineni@gmail.com | Springville K-8 |            |          | Springville is fighting hard against getting pushed out of North Bethany. The schools currently feeding to Five Oaks are not our neighbors. Sato is our only neighbor. To keep SUMMA at Stoller and push out elementary communities is absurd. Whether SUMMA is an Option or NOT an Option, if we have to go by the arguments of the communities who are asking for SUMMA to be kept at Stoller, then it should be a program offered at every middle school. Springville SUMMA families are ready to travel the extra distance wherever SUMMA will be housed or they will choose to opt out. Springville is asking for just one thing, general education at the closest proximity neighborhood school and unlike a lot of other ES schools there is no choice there, there is ONLY Stoller. The rationale for Springville moved to FO breaks down right there.  |

## 3.6.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

|                     |               |                         |                 |                |          |  |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|--|
| 03/06/2020 10:26 PM | vijay         | vijayanarun@hotmail.com | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS     | Westview | Feb25th MAP A does the following. SO IT SHOULD BE SUPPORTED1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls and gets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) Maintains free lunch at Stoller at 10%. There is no further growth in Springville. Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 well under total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.Moving Springville and Rock creek to FO has ramifications that affect multiple schools.To reduce load on Five oaks, It likely splits McKinley and Elmonica. It pushes out Beaver Acres and Aloha Huber to Meadow park. It can overcrowd Meadow park,make it feed 3 high schools and causes the free lunch to skyrocket in Meadow park especially if Oak Hills is moved out as well.4) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five OaksSo better to consider MAP A to avoid all cascading affects.IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committee to focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage. |
| 03/06/2020 10:40 PM | vijay         | vijayanarun@hotmail.com | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS     | Westview | a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach for Stoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainable manner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support across a large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 well under total capacity which then declines and will get below permanent capacity.serves the objectivesa) Reduce Stoller to 90% of permanent capacity in 2021b) Fill Timberland  |
| 03/06/2020 8:44 PM  | Wei wei       | Weiwei40@hotmail.com    |                 |                |          | Dear committee members,1. Springville is an INTEGRAL PART OF NORTH BETHANY along with SATO.Jacob Wismer! kids play sports together and attend the Same after school activities2. EVEN WITHOUT SUMMA, STOLLER TO SUNSET WILL BE MORE THAN 200 kids..... Kids will not be isolated AND IT IS UNLIKE OAKHILLS SITUATION3. There is a big geographical divider on the west of Springville (with PCC Rockcreek and farmlands )4. Feb 25th working map achieved both objective 1 and objective 2 without splitting schools or isolating communities5. With COVID-19 going on , it is the best for entire BSD to move forward with Feb 25 map. We should avoid more unnecessary big meetings  |
| 03/06/2020 6:37 AM  | Wendy Bennion | wendybennion@gmail.com  | Bethany Elem    | Meadow Park MS | Westview | Hello and thank you for your work on middle school boundaries. What an enormous task! Thank you for your efforts to end the isolation of Oak Hills elementary school. I[?]d like to suggest that the committee send Oak Hills (East) to Timberland with Terra Linda and Oak Hills (West) to Meadow Park with Bethany. Thank you!   |
| 03/06/2020 11:46 AM | Yan Mei       | Cheyf172@hotmail.com    | Findley Elem    |                |          | We are in the Bethany Height community which is in the walkable area to Stoller. Our middle school kids are currently walking to school today. I support the walkable communities continue walking to their closest school even that means splitting from others from the same elementary school. Please keep our community continue feeding to Stoller.   |

**FW: Question about potential email spoofs**

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Fri 3/6/2020 1:53 PM

To: Douglas Yap <dougyap1377@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby\_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 2 attachments (559 KB)

IMG-20200304-WA0001.jpg; IMG-20200306-WA0002.jpg;

I have entered your email into the public record.

**Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District**  
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

---

**From:** Douglas Yap <dougyap1377@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, March 6, 2020 10:45 AM

**To:** Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

**Subject:** Question about potential email spoofs

**⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

---

Hi Mr Sparks,

My Springville neighbors are all worried about the neighborhood reputation due to the comments attached. Nobody in the neighborhood acknowledged writing those comments.

Their current theory is that someone from outside the community could be posing as one of their own in order to damage their reputation. Based on current conversation, this isn't the first time it happened. The current form is on a honor-based system where people select their own school and state their real names. How will this prevent bad actors, from Springville or anywhere else, from abusing this?

I know that it is hard to police this, but any member of the Adjustment Committee might see these comments and think of Springville with a bad perception.

In any case, please include this email as my public comments. I want to make people aware that most Springville residents do not want this process to devolve into this kind of foul play.

Regards,  
Douglas



| NAME | EMAIL                 | ELEMENTARY        |
|------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| A    | vimalan.pdx@gmail.com | Jacob Wismer Elem |
| A    | vimalan.pdx@gmail.com | Jacob Wismer Elem |
| a    | vimalan.pdx@gmail.com | Springville K-8   |
| Aa   | vimalan.pdx@gmail.com | Springville K-8   |
| AA   | vimalan.pdx@gmail.com | Jacob Wismer Elem |
| AAa  | vimalan.pdx@gmail.com | Jacob Wismer Elem |



|                    |                |                               |                 |            |          |   |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|---|
| 03/01/2020 5:53 PM | Eric Creatives | Eric.CreativesLL889@yahoo.com | Springville K-8 | Stoller MS | Westview | <p>Mr Sparks &amp; Committee MembersI would like to bring this to your attention that Shackelford Rd is planned to be connected to NV 185th road in near future. This will provide excellent access for transportation buses to neighborhoods in North and East of Springville ES. These neighborhoods are new unlike Arbor Oaks, there are still more hoses being built. I have closed a deal to buy a home in Noyes homes and would be happy to have my kids being bused through VERY SAFE NW 185h across US 26. No I did not wear red t-shirt nor did I approached TV channels.. I have been employee of a school district elsewhere in Oregon and I understand and appreciate how difficult it is for the district to balance all these parameters. All the fuss that my future community has created is overpowering, over the top and borderline selfish. Have you ever thought of this? What does each Elementary school parents are stressing? Answer lies there how selfish SPV has been.. Lets try.. (1) Jacob Wismer - they want their kids to stay together in feeder pattern.. avoid splits (2) Findley - they want their kids to be able to walk to school (3) Rock Creek - probably the most matured display.. they want to stay together with their neighbors irrespective of which school they fed into (4) Oak Hills - they want to resolve the abrupt and abnormal split (5) Bethany - They want to stay together with their neighbors (6) Sato - they want clear feeder pattern up to HS without split (7) Springville - they are fighting for extra 10 mins ride to school.. YES ONLY 10 MINS EXTRA.. I DRIVE THROUGH EXACT SAME ROAD EVERY DAY ... Please think clearly, please do not be threatened or bullied by a community or news channels or attorneys or any sort of power shows .. and take right decision for students, neighborhoods at large and tax payers .. Thank you</p> |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|---|

**RE: Committee February 25th Workmap clarification and what Feb 25th meeting was trying to achieve.**

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Sat 3/7/2020 11:36 AM

To: Paul Baker <paul.baker@yahoo.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby\_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Your file has been entered into the public record.

**Steven A. Sparks, AICP** | **Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning** | Beaverton School District  
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

---


**From:** Paul Baker <paul.baker@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Friday, March 6, 2020 2:28 PM

**To:** Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

**Cc:** deborah wohlmutter <Debby\_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>; robert mccracken  
<Robert\_Mccracken@beaverton.k12.or.us>

**Subject:** Committee February 25th Workmap clarification and what Feb 25th meeting was trying to achieve.

 **This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

---

Mr. Sparks,

Please share this pdf and post on comment board. "one more chance for Stoller MS" this document helps clarify to the committee who otherwise didn't understand on Feb 25th. I hope this is hopeful and addresses some misinformation and concerns the area committee had that night.

Thanks,  
Paul Baker

# **One more chance for Stoller MS**

**Help our community, help Beaverton School District  
do the right thing and Incorporate**



**in all schools**



MARCH 05 2020

## JC policy- we have not met neighborhood proximity and accessibility

- ☐ Based on JC policy, we have not met neighborhood proximity and accessibility, **only issue, Oakridge estates is located across the road from Stoller MS**
- ☒ Based on JC policy, we have met feeder patterns from elementary to middle to high school
- ☒ Based on JC policy, we have met Student body composition
- ☒ Based on JC policy, we have met current and future availability of space at a school

Rezone Oakridge estates  
from Findley to JW


12 Students in  
this area in 2025

Add 12 Students in this area to  
Stoller (1231+12)=1243

Stoller Permanent Capacity  
with Oakridge estates 115%

\* Using 2025 Net map numbers

- ☒ Based on JC policy, we have met neighborhood proximity and accessibility





## With proposed boundary we have met neighborhood proximity and accessibility

- ✓ **Based on JC policy, we have met neighborhood proximity and accessibility**
- ✓ **Based on JC policy, we have met feeder patterns from elementary to middle to high school**
- ✓ **Based on JC policy, we have met Student body composition**
- ✓ **Based on JC policy, we have met current and future availability of space at a school**




## Stoller is **fine** in 2025 at 114%-115% temporary permanent capacity peek

- ★ Looking over workmap\_Feb\_25\_45999542 map, Stoller MS area was discussed for the majority of the meeting. **Eight** members agreed with this map and because of **one** missing vote, Stoller MS area failed.
- ★ Stoller was built in 1999, this school has never been under permanent capacity since 2010, for **10** years this school has been operating over permanent capacity.
- ★ Stoller has been over permanent and portable capacity since 2014, for **6** years this school has been operating over permanent and portable capacity.
- ★ We have already removed **2** elementary schools (Rock Creek and Findley) and summa equating to another elementary school. **Is this not enough disturbance at Stoller?**

### CONCLUSION

Stoller has survived over capacity for **10** years, the school can handle a temporary student prediction peek in **2025** of being over 14% of permanent capacity.

PREDICTION =



=




## If Area Committee members still insist on 100% PC in 2025

☐ Based on JC policy, we have not met current and future availability of space at a school

| In order for Stoller to stay at 100% in 2025. Recommend following boundary adjustment. For best feeder pattern to MS and HS, following areas should also be rezoned. | Students reassigned | Stoller student count after Oakridge addition 1243 | Stoller Permanent Capacity with Oakridge estates 115% |
|--|---------------------|--|---|
| Rezone East JW to Findley  | (33)                | 1210   | 112%  |
| Rezone South JW to Findley   | (67)                | 1143   | 106%  |
| South Sato to FO or MP *keep 60 students from the income restricted apartment and rezone to JW, compensate the loss of apartment in South JW.                        | (98)                | 1045   | 96%   |

\* Using 2025 Net map numbers

☒ Based on JC policy, we have met current and future availability of space at Stoller, **96%** in 2025



With proposed boundary we have met **96% PC** in 2025

- ✓ **Based on JC policy, we have met neighborhood proximity and accessibility**
- ✓ **Based on JC policy, we have met feeder patterns from elementary to middle to high school**
- ✓ **Based on JC policy, we have met Student body composition**
- ✓ **Based on JC policy, we have met current and future availability of space at a school**



## Why choose JW to split for 100% PC in 2025

- ★ We need better student body composition at JW (diversity, FRL, social and income equity). Stoller is seriously lacking in this policy. Sato and Springville bring better student diversity and FRL to Stoller.
- ★ Sato and Springville have income restricted apartments and more affordable housing.
- ★ We will have to give up some proximity and accessibility to gain better diversity, FRL, better social and income equity.



## Why choose Sato to split for 100% PC in 2025

- ★ Located closer to Five Oaks MS and Meadows Park MS
- ★ Safer route through Bethany Blvd to Five Oaks MS and Meadows Park MS
- ★ Income restricted apartment at south Sato can be easily rezoned to JW

## Why choose not to split Springville for 100% PC in 2025

- ★ Creates an island effect
- ★ Provides the bridge for the income restricted apartments on the westside to be unified with Springville and Findley boundary. We together make Springville.
- ★ Northernmost school boundary in Beaverton School District

# 2025 Comparison of proposed boundary and poverty heat map




Move the income restricted apartment in sato and rezone to JW, compensate loss of apartment in South JW

## Capacity of Findley and feeder percentage of JW to Stoller

| Findley<br>703 Permanent Capacity<br>855 Permanent & Portable Cap | Findley student count<br>SY 2019 | Findley available student<br>SY 2019         | Findley utilization %<br>SY 2019             |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|
| SY2021  | 594                              | 109 Permanent Capacity<br>261 Total Capacity | 84% Permanent Capacity<br>69% Total Capacity |
| SY2025  | 586                              | 117 Permanent Capacity<br>269 Total Capacity | 83% Permanent Capacity<br>68% Total Capacity |

| 1081 JW Permanent Capacity of Stoller MS | JW % feeder in Stoller | JW student count feeder in Stoller |
|--|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| SY2021 with proposed boundary            | 16.5%                  | 179                                |
| SY2025 with proposed boundary            | 14.9%                  | 162                                |
| SY2021 with proposed boundary + Sato apt | 20.9%                  | 227                                |
| SY2025 with proposed boundary + Sato apt | 20.5%                  | 222                                |


\* Using Net map numbers





## 2021 JW feeder percentage to Middle School with proposed boundary


- ★ Comparatively **JW** without summa is better than other elementary schools that feed into the middle schools. In this proposed boundary we are adding Oakridge +24 students and rezoning to **JW**. Adding Sato apt +48 students and rezoning to **JW**. Another benefit of adding Sato apt to **JW** is the much needed diversity and FRL at **JW**. East OH is very low, there should be some concerns of the East OH students being alienated at Timberland.





## 2021 JW feeder percentage to High School with proposed boundary


- ★ Comparatively **JW** without summa is better than other elementary schools that feed into the high schools. In this proposed boundary we are adding Oakridge +24 students and rezoning to **JW**. Adding Sato apt +48 students and rezoning to **JW**, rezoning Westview **JW** +78 students to Sunset. This will make **JW** better than other elementary schools that feed into the high schools. Another benefit of adding Sato apt to **JW** is the much needed diversity and FRL at **JW**.



## Transportation cost is only 2.1% of total budget, this is not where to cut cost

- ★ 💰 Oak Ridge Estates students will be zoned into JW and will be able to walk to JW ES and Stoller MS.
- ★ 💰 South Sato income restricted apt students will be zoned into JW and will be able to walk to JW ES and Stoller.
- ★ 🚶 South JW apartment will need transportation to Findley ES and Timberland MS.
- ★ 🚶 South Sato minus (income restricted apt students) will need transportation to FO or MP.
- ★ 🚶 East JW neighborhood will need transportation to Findley ES and Timberland MS. Individuals living in this area like Findley parent **Erica Frankel** commented on the BSD website that she preferred to have her kids go to Timberland MS than trying to walk dangerously in the steep grade roads of East JW to Stoller MS.

### SY2019 Adopted Budget flow to Transportation



Aloha-Huber Park  
Barnes  
Beaver Acres  
Bethany  
Cedar Mill  
Chehalem  
Cooper Mountain  
Elmonica  
Errol Hassell  
Fir Grove  
Greenway  
Hazeldale  
Hiteon  
Kinnaman  
McKay  
McKinley

*All of Findley &  
All of Jacob Wismer*

Montclair  
Nancy Ryles  
Oak Hills  
Raleigh Hills  
Raleigh Park  
Ridgewood  
Rock Creek  
Sato  
Scholls Heights  
Sexton Mountain  
Springville  
Terra Linda  
Vose  
West TV  
William Walker

***= Non-Inclusive &  
Economically Segregated  
middle school  
STOLLER***

**Some people would like this to happen, if this does, Stoller will**

Be 4 times economically wealthier than the next wealthiest MS (Cedar Park)

Have 1/3 as many special needs children than the next lowest special needs population MS

Have 1/2 as many African Americans than the next lowest African American population MS

Have 1/5 as many Latinos than the next lowest Latino population MS

**Help our community help Beaverton School District do the right thing and**

Incorporate **WE** in all schools



**FW: Middle School Process - Attachment**

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Sat 3/7/2020 11:36 AM

**To:** Sandeep Kumar <sandysrivastava@gmail.com>

**Cc:** deborah wohlmutter <Debby\_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 2 attachments (724 KB)

JW\_SunsetFeederIsFine.jpg; ThankYouToBSDandCommittee.jpg;

These files has been entered into the public record.

**Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District**  
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

---

**From:** Sandeep Kumar <sandysrivastava@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Friday, March 6, 2020 11:51 AM

**To:** Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

**Subject:** Middle School Process - Attachment

**⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

---

Dear Sparks,

I am attaching document for Public Record/Comments

Thanks in advance.

Regards,  
Sandeep Kumar







# Dear Sparks and Committee Members,

Being a *Springville parent*, I am concerned, worried and uncertain. Between Every meeting we dig Data, ask Questions/Clarifications, work on Suggestions/Solutions and post them as Comments. You patiently read them all.

It just shows how big the impact of this Boundary process on Springville Community has been? With NO direct representation in the Committee we have to put those extra efforts in posting Public Comments to represent ourselves. We are passionate, involved and active participants in this process.

I also feel with so many voices and methods from such a big and diverse Community it is quiet possible that at times there could be few instances where things from our side would've been not as expected. But believe me, as a Community we are aware and be very proactive on it. We also follow the instructions during the meeting as set by BSD.

In all these proceedings, I really like to thank you, all BSD Staffs and Committee Members. We understand that you all are working hard and it is tough job. I also hope you all also understand the same about our community.

*We all know Intentions are always right from all sides.*

**Thanks for your time.**

