

SUBMITTED	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENTS
02/28/2020 1:38 AM	.Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee, Before Taking ActionRemember Springville Have NO Representation We are Hoping All Of You Will SaySpringville To Five Oaks Is Very Far Away.
02/28/2020 7:29 AM	A Richell Chiu-Yap	rchewey88@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please check 2027, the numbers are falling again after hitting a peak in 2025. So, with Springville+Sato+JW in Stoller, without Summa (as per Feb 25 map) are totally sustainable. Overcrowding in Stoller, IF it ever happens again, the problem is still very very temporary. For a very uncertain, temporary problem, please don't take the decision of sending Springville half way across the district to Five Oaks or to split our community again. Please don't do this to our 500 kids. Keep Springville together. The current map proposed by BSD is totally sustainable in the long term. Please be our advocate in this process where we have no committee representation. Thank you!
02/28/2020 4:46 PM	a.sidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SUPPORT MAP A. DONT BREAK COMMUNITIESThere are many options to relocate SUMMA program but THAT IS AN OPTIONAL PROGRAM. First preference should be given to regular program which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL.Le us not lose sight of the top two objectives. Fill Timberland and Reduce Stoller.While reducing stoller, please don't punish Springville, which has only one middle school under4 miles.Jacob wismer has two options Stoller and Five oaks under 4 miles.Findley has five options under 4 miles Stoller, Timberland, Five Oaks, Cedar park and Meadow park.Terra Linda has 4 options under 2.5 miles. Stoller, Timberland, Five oaks, Meadow park.Please maintain proximity and community for regular middle school education.Shipping Springville to Five Oaks will be unprecented and a gross injustice.You would be punishing the only school with no other neighbourhood alternatives.
02/28/2020 4:47 PM	A.SIDHU	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		RECONSIDER MAP A. don't break communitiesTHERE ARE MORE THAN 14 ELEMANTARY SCHOOLS WHICH ARE CLOSER TOFIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE.I will be very strange and UNLAWFUL to expect Springville to travelsuch a huge distance, hop around 14 TRAFFIC SIGNAL STOPS, have leadtime for bus stop pick ups, spend time on 185th road which is designatedas the highest prone accident route in Washingtom county.Even Findley and Jacob Wismer are closer to Five Oaks via Kaiser Road andthey don't have to travel thru 185th.BELOW SHOW THE VARIOUS SCHOOLS TAHT ARE CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS RATHER THAN SPRINGVILLE.SPRINGVILLE IS AT TEHY BOTTOM WITH THE MAXIMUM DISTANCEElmonica ElemMcKinley Elem Beaver Acres ElemOak Hills Elem Rock Creek Elem Terra Linda ElemBethany Elem Barnes Elem Aloha Hubel.C Tobias ElemJACOB WISMER Elem FINDLEY Elem William walker Elem Bonny slope ElemSPRINGVILLE Elem
02/28/2020 4:48 PM	a.sidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAPA SHOULD BE CONSIDERED. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025There was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.SO DOESNT THE VOTE BECOME 9.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.
02/28/2020 5:34 PM	a.varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Do not split elementary schools[?]. Springville, Sato, Findley, Rock Creek and Oak Hills have all been shown split in various maps proposed by the committee or BSD. Studies have consistently shown that minimizing transitions results in better academic performance and emotional health for children. We are strongly supportive of the current Feb 25th working map which does not introduce any additional ES splits. Do not divide communities[?]. Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025. Summa should not split communities[?]. [?]The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go, in order to minimize splits. We across these four schools agree that the entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute ~40% of Summa students in Stoller.
02/28/2020 11:04 AM	aahlad s mallajosyula	aahladm@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I strongly support not creating any split feeder patterns. It was disappointing to see the committee approve splitting multiple Elementary school feeder patterns on February 25th meeting. Please do not split Findley Elementary school. Please also make sure portables in Stoller are not used. Few things that may help committee to make a decision 1) Get a confirmation from BSD teaching and learning department about Summa situation in Stoller so that there is no ambiguity. 2) Consider changing HS boundary if a very minor portion of Stoller ends up in Sunset. Move them to Westview. 3) Get margin of error on PSU enrollment projections. Do not split schools or entertain use of portables.
02/28/2020 5:19 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Pleas do not punish SPV 400+ regular program kids Over 86 JW Summa kids.
02/28/2020 5:23 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Stoller[?]S 2021 MS 90% permanent capacity objective accounts for sustainable growth of Sato & Springville Through 2025 and beyond.Please do not punish SPV kids showing over projected inaccurate growth as a reason.Please let Sato, SPV and JW feed Stoller.
02/28/2020 5:26 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			JW has only 86 SUMMA kids which is 18% of their total students. It makes sense to move Summa from Stoller to Timberland as most of the SUMMA kids in North Bethany live close to Findley and Timberland.
02/28/2020 5:28 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			MS boundary objective is [?]90% Permanent capacity Of Stoller in 2021[?].2025 is not OBJECTIVE.
02/28/2020 6:11 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Stoller gets the ECONOMIC DIVERSITY from SPRINGVILLE. Moving Summa from JW to Timberland makes this diversity even better.None of the other maps doesn[?]t provide better economic diversity at Stoller.Moving part or entire Springville to Fiveoaks will drastically reduce economic diversity in Stoller. I hope BSD cares about economic diversity and provide equal opportunity to every kid..
02/28/2020 6:18 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			BSD projections for SPV in 2025 are way beyond than the Sato which has yet to build Lots. Why the summa numbers of SPV and Sato has not increased from 2021-2025. Do not punish SPV kids immediately for 2025 unreal projections specially when BSD has past reputation of Poor growth projections.
02/28/2020 9:11 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Stoller is at 150 % permanent capacity In 2019 using 14 portables. Right [?]150%[?] & [?]14[?]portables. MS boundary objective 90% in 2021 Not 2025.Sato+ Summa+ JW- Summa meets the objective I.e 88% in 2021. Even with aggressive Unrealistic projections In 2025 Stoller will be still at 110-114%. The enrollment goes down after 2025.I request the AC not to take HARD DECISIONS by sending SPV kids immediately from 2021.I pledge AC be stick to the objective for 2021.Please DO NoT PUNISH SPV kids for a non objective 2025 numbers

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 3:19 PM	Abhilash Akula	abakula123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee,Is it possible to re-evaluate on running SPV K-8 MS as is? Create appropriate partitions within school between ES and MS within SPV K-8 so elementary and middle schools can operate simultaneously without any issues.When this committee can make so many changes across southern schools, why don't they propose to BSD board to continue to run SPV K-8 MS? To reduce the load on SPV middle school, a portion of kids that pass Summa test from SPV may still attend Stoller...Currently, SPV community is at loss because neither they have committee members nor access to their own neighborhood school. We expected the Stoller MS two representatives in the committee to raise these issues but it never happened. However, we have full confidence on other committee members to not allow north most school to a far away, southern middle school, which leads to several issues on kids (posted in earlier comments)..We are not making progress -> 2 steps forward and 10 steps backwards. We are back to the same options evaluated several weeks back.Most of the members agreed on Map - A . Let's make incremental changes, one change at a time -> review numbers, if 2021 objective is not met, make next change and so on...Consider the change proposed by Jason, Abhijit - we are almost there. By moving portions of JW to Timberland, we will achieve 2 goals.1. Satisfy SPV 90% enrollment in 2021 (do not consider 2025, its too far, over estimated numbers, 90% in 2025 means 70% in 2021 which is a harsh requirement on Stoller/SPV/Sato communities), 2. Consistency in feeder patterns from JW -> Timberland -> Sunset. Assign the portion of JW that reports into Sunset to Timberland.The other half of JW that reports into WV HS can remain at Stoller...Thanks for reading my comment.
02/28/2020 3:40 PM	Abhilash Akula	abakula123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Mr. Sparks,There was an interesting, funny, sudden comment in the last meeting from a member that "Move entire JW to Timberland". The member clearly knows this is not possible given that Timberland is at 75%+ load already. Everyone started scratching their head on why this comment was made in-spite of knowing that it's not a possible option. If it is possible, we would like to hear from the member on what options he/she has to make this happen (moving some other schools from Timberland to create space for JW + Findley)Going forward as we have only 1 meeting left, I request the member to come up with real, possible scenarios for evaluation that least disrupts existing communities. SPV already lost their school and we were OK, assuming that we have our closest 2nd school. It is not fair to impact the same school again and again with new changes. It's JW's turn to show leadership by moving portions of JW to Timberland that provides consistency in HS feeder patterns. After all, this proposal helps their own community and kids. I strongly suggest to evaluate Abhijit's proposal once again.Many thanks!
02/28/2020 8:43 AM	Adi	kukdeadi@gmail.com					BSD and AC members - People are moving to North Bethany for schools. So folks buying homes today already have kids in school. Almost every home has school going kids. So additional 30 or 40 percent growth in enrollment in addition to kids already in school today is unrealistic. I do not know the modelling or data behind the projections. All I know is that based on current homes built in neighborhood and additional growth, the numbers seem unrealistic. Also, US had almost a decade of continuous growth since financial crisis. Do we really think that this will continue for another 6 years uninterrupted? Just in last week various banks have predicted a recession. How can we split schools today for a shaky projection 6 years down the line?
02/28/2020 11:13 AM	Aishwarya Sreenivasan	aishsreeni@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Today 41 percent of Sunset elementary is Stoller with both Jacob Wismer and Findley Elementary. With all of Findley gone to Timberland in Map A the Stoller to Sunset Feeder pattern is 17 percent which is 80 percent of Jacob Wismer elementary students to Sunset. If Sunset bound Jacob Wismer Summa students are also sent to Timberland the percentage of students from Stoller to Sunset will be in single digit. Kindly retain Jacob Wismer Summa kids at Stoller to ensure we have at least 17 percent of kids are from Stoller to Sunset to prevent academic isolation of these kids.
02/28/2020 9:21 AM	Aishwarya Sreenivasan	aishsreeni@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		As a JW parent living in the Stoller neighborhood current map is very disheartening. Stoller is currently swelling. But whole of SATO and whole of Springville in Stoller will make Overcrowding the only reality. Kids will have to sit on floor to eat lunch. Not every kid's parent will be able to meet teachers during conferences. Main issue with public schools all around US has been Teachers to Student ratio. If the class sizes become bigger and bigger then the interaction between teachers and students is minimised. Teachers may not know certain kids names too. Socially shy kids may be affected the most. Middle school is the time kids grow physically and emotionally the most. The hormonal changes also affects them. This is when we need more embracing from their teachers to help them with this personal transition. Please prevent overcrowding to help us kids . They need more personal interaction with their teachers. Springville elementary has to move to prevent overcrowding. Two heavily growing communities will make Stoller overcrowded by 2023 again.
02/28/2020 1:03 AM	Akhil Sharma	sharma.akhil@yahoo.com					Ok, understood that the committee is worried about future more than 2021. 2025? What about 2027 and further? There is a fear that in 2025 we will face overcrowding and will undergo boundary adjustment again. It is not true. Current overcrowding is pushing Stoller to its limits, including portables. What about 2027? The population is projected to decline and by 2027 we will be fitting all the kids back in permanent structure. But what about 2025? Only a handful of kids will be over permanent capacity if the projections come true. Only for a couple of years, we may need to use 1 or 2 portable. Please do remember that portables cost a lot of money and it is our tax payers dollars which we are choosing to not use even for a short period of time. And as a consequence, we are ready to split communities, and ready to send 500+ kids, more than 5 mile away from their home every day. Please think about it. And as Abdul said, it we can forgive this 114% in 2025 we are good with current map. Look beyond 2025. We can back to normal in 2027. Please look at these numbers and choose 500+ kids over a silly 2025 projection number. You really need to understand this that this overcrowding, if it ever happens is temporary. Some testimonies and comments have continuously pushed this notion that Springville and Sato will grow so huge that they will overflow Stoller (to push the agenda to not touching Summa in Stoller). Not true. Nothing compared to today. And by 2027, all under permanent capacity again. Please see numbers beyond 2025 if you are so concerned about future, and you will feel relaxed.
02/28/2020 10:21 AM	Alivia Sil	alivia2001@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		United Findley at Stoller is the best for findley. But if that is not possible united findley at Timberland is the next option. We not not want to Split a small population and stable growth area like findley. It does not help the kids or the community in anyway.
02/28/2020 10:24 AM	Alivia Sil	alivia2001@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We want united findley. If split is absolutely needed it should not be a split but a strategic swap with Jacob wisemer per need. In that case both the neighborhood school kids will be together. It serves the emotional well being of the kids, stoller over population issue and budget
02/28/2020 9:34 PM	Aminath Nazhath	nazu03@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 10:06 AM	Amy	yue0921@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Findley walkers should stay in Stoller.
02/28/2020 5:19 PM	Amy	tomhappy@gmail.com	Findley Elem		Sunset		Oakridge students should stay with Stoller. Sacrificing walk-able distance residents to favor far west people is not fair.

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 10:52 PM	Anshul	Anshul.us@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: If the committee is okay with having portables at some schools, then Stoller clearly can hit capacity specially when Stoller has some neighborhoods which have ONLY ONE PROXIMAL SCHOOL.In 2021, Jw and Sato and Springville together is 88% in 2021 when SUMMA moves to a brand new school Timberland..... in 2025, in the worst case scenario it will be tad bit over PERMANENT CAPACITY MAYBE.... Maybe is because of uncertain over projections....This is all about kids, please do not put kids in unnecessary issues by isolating them from North Bethany communities and sending them more than 5 miles away
02/28/2020 10:56 PM	Anshul	Anshul.us@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SUMMA SHOULD BE MOVED OUT OF STOLLER. From all the Variants of Maps that have been released till date , One thing is CRYSTAL CLEAR that SUMMA cannot stay in Stoller . It has to be moved out to Timberland probably . Any map with SUMMA in Stoller , wrecks havoc on utilizations . It does not even come near to 90% permanent capacity of Stoller . Moving out SUMMA from Stoller was considered in the past as well as a great way to alleviate overcrowding with minimum impact but at that time Timberland was not available . Now it makes complete sense to move SUMMA out to Timberland and accommodate more regular middle schoolers who do not have another choice nearby . SUMMA is an option program and it is a choice we make knowing the pros and cons of it . Please do not consider an Options program in an area school that has high demand and scarcity of nearby Middle Schools .
02/28/2020 11:41 PM	Anshul	Anshul.us@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Even WITHOUT SUMMA IN STOLLER, there will be 222 sunset bound kids from Stoller from Jacob Wismer... This is from the Net resident grid code map that was released. Jacob Wismer[?]'s feeder pattern is like a lit of schools across BSD AND TOTALLY UNLIKE EAST OAKHILLS SUNSET SITUATION WHERE ONLY less than 25 kids go to Sunset each year
02/28/2020 8:29 AM	Arucha	rucha23@gmail.com				Number on KG, 1st Grade and 2nd Grade students in 2019 will be 6th,7th and 8th graders in Stoller in 2025. If you subtract the Summa and other options kids, this should be Springville contribution to Stoller. You will need to add additional growth for new developments. The number today in KG, 1st grade and 2nd grade (without Summa and options) is 336. So how is BSD assuming more than 400 students from Springville in Stoller - do we expect additional hundred kids even AFTER SUMMA and options? How is that possible?
02/28/2020 5:47 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Looking closer at the 2025 projections by BSD for Springville, you will notice that BSD projections says that Springville has more growth than Sato... which is TOTALLY INCORRECT..... springville is already BUILT OUT our elementary school current enrollments say a totally different story than what BSD has projected. Lately BSD projected that Scholls heights elementary school will be overcrowded with all the development in that area but in their own words later nothing like that ever happened. With the history of over projection and clear errors in this process too, springville at Stoller with all of Jacob Wismer and Sato MINUS the summa, will in REALITY be TOTALLY SUSTAINABLE IN 2025.Some Jacob Wismer parents complaining about walkability to schools but they have no concerns about Springville kids crossing 185th passing 14 STOP SIGNALS, WITH MULTIPLE BUS STOPS IN BETWEEN, IN AN AREA PRONE TO TRAFFIC-ACCIDENT SPENDING MORE THAN 40 MINUTES EACH WAY.IS THIS WHAT EQUALITY STANDS FOR?IN FACT Jacob Wismer is VERY MUCH CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS(3.7 miles) RATHER THAN SPRINGVILLE and the route using Kaiser/Bethany Blvd is much safer for Jacob Wismer to reach Five Oaks.
02/28/2020 4:54 PM	ARUNV	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAP A WAY TO GO FORWARDa) It avoids splitting of communities.b) It avoids Springville having to travel the maximum distance along the unsafest route to Five Oaksc) BSD will also gain in transportation costs by keeping Springville at Stoller.d) It will further help to move the entire SUMMA from Stoller to Timberland.e) Rockcreek will need to travel to Meadow park but they will have Bethany Elem with them. Also SUMMAis also there in Meadow park.f)JW , SATO and Springville minus SUMMA meets thE objective in 2025 and is totally sustainable in 2025.g) The 2025 projections doesnt seem accurate. There is no further development in SpringvilleThe estimate FOR 2025 NEED FURTHER CLARIFICATION. IN LAST BUDGET REVIEW MEETING BSD INDICATED THAT NUMBER OF STUDENTS ACROSS BSD HAVE BEEN STABLE LAST YEAR AND TEND TO DROP IN FUTURE DUE TO SMALLER MEMBERS PER HOUSEHOLD IN NEW GEN.So it doesnt clarify how does the Stoller increase drastically in 2025. PLEASE DONT GIVE PRIORITY TO SUMMA SOVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. The entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller.
02/28/2020 5:50 PM	asidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	PLEASE FOCUS ON MAP A.Looking closer at the 2025 projections by BSD for Springville, you will notice that BSD projections says that Springville has more growth than Sato... which is TOTALLY INCORRECT..... springville is already BUILT OUT our elementary school current enrollments say a totally different story than what BSD has projected. Lately BSD projected that Scholls heights elementary school will be overcrowded with all the development in that area but in their own words later nothing like that ever happened. With the history of over projection and clear errors in this process too, springville at Stoller with all of Jacob Wismer and Sato MINUS the summa, will in REALITY be TOTALLY SUSTAINABLE IN 2025.Some Jacob Wismer parents complaining about walkability to schools but they have no concerns about Springville kids crossing 185th passing 14 STOP SIGNALS, WITH MULTIPLE BUS STOPS IN BETWEEN, IN AN AREA PRONE TO TRAFFIC-ACCIDENT SPENDING MORE THAN 40 MINUTES EACH WAY.IS THIS WHAT EQUALITY STANDS FOR?IN FACT Jacob Wismer is VERY MUCH CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS(3.7 miles) RATHER THAN SPRINGVILLE and the route using Kaiser/Bethany Blvd is much safer for Jacob Wismer to reach Five Oaks.Do not divide communities[?]. Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025. Summa should not split communities[?]. [?]The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go, in order to minimize splits. We across these four schools agree that the entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller.

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 5:36 PM	avarsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAP A WAY TO GO FORWARDa) It avoids splitting of communities.b) It avoids Springville having to travel the maximum distance along the unsafest route to Five Oaks.c) BSD will also gain in transportation costs by keeping Springville at Stoller.d) It will further help to move the entire SUMMA from Stoller to Timberland.e) Rockcreek will need to travel to Meadow park but they will have Bethany Elem with them. Also SUMMA is also there in Meadow park.f) JW , SATO and Springville minus SUMMA meets the objective in 2025 and is totally sustainable in 2025.g) The 2025 projections don't seem accurate. There is no further development in Springville. The estimate FOR 2025 NEED FURTHER CLARIFICATION. IN LAST BUDGET REVIEW MEETING BSD INDICATED THAT NUMBER OF STUDENTS ACROSS BSD HAVE BEEN STABLE LAST YEAR AND TEND TO DROP IN FUTURE DUE TO SMALLER MEMBERS PER HOUSEHOLD IN NEW GEN. So it doesn't clarify how does the Stoller increase drastically in 2025. PLEASE DON'T GIVE PRIORITY TO SUMMA SOVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. The entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller. Do not divide communities[?]. Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025.
02/28/2020 3:48 PM	avijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SUPPORT MAP A KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHER. - Sato and Springville students and families make up the North Bethany community. Splitting some of Springville to go to Five Oaks disrupts this community as we currently share the same parks, trails, and local activities. Stoller is the only neighborhood area middle school for North Bethany students. There are 14 OTHER Elementary schools which are closer to Five Oaks compared to Springville. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. PLEASE DON'T GIVE PRIORITY TO SUMMA SOVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. The entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller.
02/28/2020 3:50 PM	avijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	BRING ON MAP A. KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHERThere are many options to relocate SUMMA program but THAT IS AN OPTIONAL PROGRAM. First preference should be given to regular program which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL. Let us not lose sight of the top two objectives. Fill Timberland and Reduce Stoller. While reducing Stoller, please don't punish Springville, which has only one middle school under 4 miles. Jacob Wismer has two options Stoller and Five Oaks under 4 miles. Findley has five options under 4 miles Stoller, Timberland, Five Oaks, Cedar park and Meadow park. Terra Linda has 4 options under 2.5 miles. Stoller, Timberland, Five Oaks, Meadow park. Please maintain proximity and community for regular middle school education. Shipping Springville to Five Oaks will be unprecedented and a gross injustice. You would be punishing the only school with no other neighbourhood alternatives.
02/28/2020 3:51 PM	AVIJAY	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	RECONSIDER MAP A. KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHERTHERE ARE MORE THAN 14 ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WHICH ARE CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE. I will be very strange and UNLAWFUL to expect Springville to travel such a huge distance, hop around 14 TRAFFIC SIGNAL STOPS, have leadtime for bus stop pick ups, spend time on 185th road which is designated as the highest prone accident route in Washington county. Even Findley and Jacob Wismer are closer to Five Oaks via Kaiser Road and they don't have to travel thru 185th. BELOW SHOW THE VARIOUS SCHOOLS THAT ARE CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS RATHER THAN SPRINGVILLE. SPRINGVILLE IS AT THE BOTTOM WITH THE MAXIMUM DISTANCE. Elmonica Elem, McKinley Elem, Beaver Acres Elem, Oak Hills Elem, Rock Creek Elem, Terra Linda Elem, Bethany Elem, Barnes Elem, Aloha Hubel, C Tobias Elem, JACOB WISMER Elem, FINDLEY Elem, William Walker Elem, Bonny Slope Elem, SPRINGVILLE Elem
02/28/2020 9:43 PM	Baoyan Liang	liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please ensure that Rock Creek gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 7:19 PM	Bart Lemmen	barterna@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear boundary committee, Moving only Rock Creek from North to South not OK. Keep us with Bethany and Oak Hills. Stop sharing new maps that only increase academic inequality. Surprised by your new map, which wasn't discussed, which wasn't requested. We should move forward from the map shown in the meeting with marginal modifications. That would be a fair weighting of ALL voices. Last Tuesday, March 25, you showed a map that pleased most people, and finally seemed to be a compromise that shares impact across all. I'm thankful you allowed me to speak on behalf of Rock Creek Elementary (RC). Today, I saw a map on your site in the material that wasn't discussed at all. A map called "Febr 25th Map: Rock Creek to Five Oaks & Aloha Huber Park to Mountain View"; As an RC community this is an extremely upsetting map. It also shows that our input and positive voice on the map shown is not taken into account. I've elaborated that RC understand it has to move, yet for the interest of both RC and the receiving school, it should not move as a small community by itself, but jointly with others like Bethany and West Oak Hills. Such a move would make a positive impact on the receiving school as demonstrated by your data. The modified map as referred to is not acceptable by RC and back to zero, in order to favor others, and further increased inequality, and shows listening to a specific voice instead of a majority. Best regards and wishing the committee much wisdom to revert and come to internal consensus that is an EQUAL impact to all. Bart
02/28/2020 10:41 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	EVERY MAP WE HAVE SEEN SHOWS THE NEED TO MOVE SUMMA FROM STOLLER: The Teaching & Learning department can decide where it will ultimately land. But we need to have a plan to get Stoller at 90% permanent capacity in 2021 without Summa. The 2/25 working map did this, and this is the first time we have seen a plan that would work. Keep the 2/25 map for Stoller.
02/28/2020 10:42 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: If the committee is okay with having portables at some schools, then Stoller clearly can hit capacity in 2025. Stoller isn't special.
02/28/2020 9:23 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	We have seen with many maps that if Summa stays in Stoller, we can NEVER MEET OBJECTIVE 2 of 90% . Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded school is essential. Findley has the most Summa students, so it makes sense to move it to Timberland. The concentration of Jacob Wismer Summa students is also in the east, which is also considerably close to Timberland.

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 4:52 PM	Beth Ann Rear	bethr@amaa.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		There are no words to express my disappointment with the Feb. 25th map put out by the BSD. This map has everyone else is going to quality schools with others in their communities. Rock Creek kids, in contrast, look forward to a long commute ALONE to a terrible school. This is a terrible injustice to these kids. We are a small school but we have fought to make our voices heard to the committee. So much time and effort was spent appealing Springville and some of the other communities around Stoller. However, at the last meeting I thought our message was FINALLY getting through - that our kids deserve a decent chance too. Don't throw our kids' educations away. IS THERE ANY EQUITY IN YOUR DECISION MAKING PROCESS AT ALL???? Do you not see a problem with creating an elite super school and dumping lower income kids into an already suffering school? Rock Creek has accepted longer commutes and a lower quality school - we have given up more than ANYONE ELSE. At least have the courtesy of sending another northern school with us so our kids have a fighting chance!
02/28/2020 8:26 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			The map presented on 2/25 accomplished one of the most crucial objectives while not splitting communities. JW and SATO AND SPRINGVILLE MINUS SUMMA is 88% in 2021- objective 2 met right there. Without Springville representation on the committee, our kids are trusting you to make a fair decision instead of prioritizing the needs of a few. Thank you.
02/28/2020 8:29 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			the map on 2/25 which received 8 votes should be revisited. 2025 capacity isn't an objective for this committee. Also, 2025 estimations are over projections as most of Springville is already built out BUT BSD is projecting even more growth for SPV than SATO.... totally incorrect... 2025 might be a NON EXISTENT ISSUE IN REALITY. Please don't uproot actual students based on projected students.
02/28/2020 8:31 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Please do not prioritize summa students over general ed students. Any map in whichever configuration, we have seen that if SUMMA stays in Stoller, we can NEVER MEET OBJECTIVE 2 of 90% . Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded school is essential. Findley has the most SUMMA STUDENTS so it makes sense to move it to Timberland. The concentration of JW SUMMA is also in the east which is also considerably close to Timberland. Children in SPV should not bear the burden of the Summa program. Thank you for your consideration.
02/28/2020 8:39 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			ECONOMIC DIVERSITY with ALL OF SPRINGVILLE is the best it could get AT STOLLER.... if SUMMA moves out, economic diversity WILL ONLY GET BETTER FROM HERE. If SPV is moved to FO, this will move out and have a ripple effect on the schools south of 26 which are some of the schools with the highest Free/reduced lunch rates and burden lower income households even more. Moving SPV from Stoller would create two middle schools with the lowest free/reduced rates. This is FAR from equitable. Stoller and Timberland will be schools for the wealthy only. This is not equitable, this is wealthy people getting their way if SPV is separated from the North Bethany community. Thank you for your consideration.
02/28/2020 8:44 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			JUST BECAUSE OUR KIDS RIDE THE BUS, it is not acceptable to make them commute FURTHEST and LONGEST in BSD... there are literally NINE elementary schools closer to FO than SPV. Springville to Five Oaks takes our kids away from our community AND creates a Springville island where our children will not have a majority of their friends within several miles. Considering the transportation data has been determined as incomplete and not scientific according to Mr. Sparks, congestion and traffic issues on 185th will impact our children's lives. Not to mention in the event of inclement weather, this will be a dangerous commute for both students and parents in the SPV community. NO SPLITS FOR SPRINGVILLE. Thank you for your consideration.
02/28/2020 8:48 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			NORTH BETHANY is our community, we shouldn't be separated from our Eastern neighbors at Sato (when we were already divided in 2017) whom we are closely intertwined with. None of the maps have been accurate in showing the land west of SPV as PCC and farmlands with no students. Splitting Springville will effectively create a SPRINGVILLE ISLAND.
02/28/2020 11:46 AM	Beth Rear	bethr@amaa.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please stop posting maps that show Rock Creek going ALONE to Five Oaks! This is NOT an equitable solution!! We have been fighting this since the beginning. Please hear us!!!
02/28/2020 11:57 AM	Beth Rear	bethr@amaa.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		BSD seems intent on leaving Rock Creek kids in the dust. DO NOT SEND US ALONE to a poorly performing school!!!! Every time we think we get this point across the committee publishes another map showing our kids cast out of the community alone. At this point I am willing to DRIVE MY KID ANYWHERE in order for them to get an equitable education to what they are currently getting at Stoller. I also want addressed what happens to legacy students at Stoller. If kids start at Stoller please let them finish. Middle school is rough enough without getting yanked out of your neighborhood school halfway through and shipped across the freeway alone without anyone else in your community. Please don't set up our kids for failure. ROCK CREEK NEEDS TO STAY WITH A NORTHERN NEIGHBORING SCHOOL.
02/28/2020 12:26 PM	Bridget	brgolf@gmail.com		Stoller MS			JW summa students are first JW students and then tagged to become summa!! They have all the right to be in the neighborhood school than being considered special only to be bussed to another one to make space for SPV!!
02/28/2020 9:55 AM	BSD Parent	remainanonymouse@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I am a springville parent. A lot of our communities voices are not being heard because the narrative is tightly controlled by couple of gentlemen. I want the committee to know that I would be very happy to send my child to Timberland instead of Five Oaks. I believe the drugs problem is larger than the 1 mile extra my child has to travel. I also think the sister schools at Timberland will bring diverse perspective to my child unlike Stoller that has >50% asian concentration. Please send SPRINGVILLE intact to TIMBERLAND. BestAnonymous
02/28/2020 8:33 AM	Carol Ben-Ari	carolcheslek@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Please consider the needs of smaller schools! Rock Creek may not be making as much noise at meetings, but our children's needs deserve equal consideration, and they do not deserve to be collateral damage in a battle between bigger schools. My children should not be bused out of their community through the worst traffic on the west side so others have the luxury of walking to school. Rock Creek must stay with at least two other northern neighbor schools to ensure diversity, equity, and a common middle school experience for ALL BSD students! Otherwise, we simply create two publicly funded elite northern middle schools, which we all have to pay for, and no overcrowding issues are solved.
02/28/2020 7:31 AM	chandana	chandanaavrl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
02/28/2020 6:39 PM	Chieko Yoshihara	pdxsalecy@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Thank you for the chance to leave a comment. Dear Committee members, Today, I would just like to say thank you for all your work. I imagine it has been a very challenging work. I really appreciate your volunteering for this months-long boundary adjustment process. Reading all the comments would be extremely time-consuming and tiring. Incorporating all the parents' wants and needs and coming up with a desirable map for everybody is beyond my imagination. Abdulrazaq, Abhijit, Charyse, Crystal, Divya, Jason, Jennifer, John, Kristi, La[?]Parisienne, Marne, Matthew, Michael, Michelle, Monica, Ritika and Yasmin. I am really grateful for your work.

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 4:20 PM	Chiyoung chang	jychang78@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Hi.I'm resident of arbor heights which has been struggle to adjust middle school boundary.My kids are going to jacob wismer and stoller middle school.As far as I know, part of our community are going to findley elementary school. I'd like to suggest to our whole community(whole arbor heights) move into Findley elementary school. I think it is the best option to handle the growing number at stoller middle school and satisfy all residents.
02/28/2020 11:29 AM	Daming Wei	wallace0126@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	For public schools, proximity should be the topmost principle (well, assuming capacity is not an issue) when school boundary is adjusted. SPV is 4 miles to Five Oaks and FE is 4 miles to Timberland. But, the truth of fact is, several Findely communities are next door to Stoller. If one of the schools, among SPV/Sato/JW/FE, needs to be moved to another school, which one should be moved? Isn't it obvious? I am living in Bethany Heights and our family have been attending Stoller social events since we moved into the area. My four year old daughter proudly said Stoller was her school whenever she heard the word "Stoller". She attended Stoller community 5K since she was two years old! How am I going to tell her that , the school, which is just next door to us, about 10 minutes away, where her brothers and sisters went, is not her school anymore? This is just wrong!
02/28/2020 1:11 AM	David Lee	ralliant_kei@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear committee, as a Springville parent we are concerned about the middle school adjustment process and Springville and other schools are not properly represented. When you took away Springville option middle school you left us no choice but to go to Stoller and now you are steer us yet another school. Committee need to look at the Feb25 working map number and see that it works for 2021 and 2025 marginally over only. Other another option is to keep the Springville K8 G6 to G8 open until a new middle school is built in Bethany. Another suggestion is either make Timberland one of Springville option school too or allow Springville to open enrol in Timberland to reliefs Stoller overcrowding. Because simply put there are no school in the NW Bethany and the district should at least consider restoring Springville K8 or open our door to Timberland as our option school otherwise you are pushing Springville to a dead end. If consider either school as Springville option school then Stoller overcrowding issue will no longer be an issue in 2025 and even foreseeable future. Just relocate some Springville elementary students to Sato elementary since Sato is half empty right now and no where near capacity.
02/28/2020 4:54 PM	David Lee	ralliant_kei@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear committee, since Timberland was built with 64 million bond investment I think BSD should utilize its capacity to the fullest instead of 84pct its current shown on latest Feb25 working map. One way to accomplish this is move part of Springville south of Springville street to Timberland? Because Timberland is 5.3 miles from Springville Elementary and FiveOak is 4.3 miles from Springville Elementary, distance relative to Springville Elementary school they are about the same. I am only suggesting driving part of Springville to Timberland thus there is no operating cost concerns. There is a lot of advantage doing so to keep Springville and Findley and Sato close and remains bonded after all we are all part of the extended Bethany community. Doing so will be a very very sustainable and long term solution. Even with the current work map from Feb25, its still feasible to keep if you look at the 2025 projections versus how many students we have in Stoller TODAY, we are nowhere exceeding what we are sending to Stoller today so why is there even any concern? Please do not make your decision based on emotion, use follow the statistics and number projection from PSU and BSD and you will see it is okay to feed all of Springville to Stoller, or alternatively transport part of Springville to Timberland will work equally well.
02/28/2020 3:30 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				To the committee members:PSU forecast of Springville and Jacob Wismer population indicates stable Stoller population.Springville will add 84 kids at 2028-29 while Jacob Wismer will drop by -85 kids.Please do not be too concerned of overpopulation at Stoller at 2025 numbers. We need to only target 90% capacity at 2021. Please consider keeping portables at Stoller in case of fluctuations.Reference: See page 36-38 of report below: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article&#61;1129&context&#61;enrollmentforecasts
02/28/2020 3:32 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				PSU forecast shows BSD fertility rate dropping to 1.6%, below replacement level.In order for population to grow, you'll need a fertility rate of above 2.0%.Please refer to PSU study at: https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article&#61;1129&context&#61;enrollmentforecasts Please keep Springville kids at Stoller. Exploding population is a myth.
02/28/2020 3:40 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				According to PSU study, the following elementary schools are scheduled to slightly decrease in population: Jacob Wismer (-85) , Findley (-100), Terra Linda (-31) , Oak Hills (-20) , Cedar Mill (-19). These are the same schools proposed to be in Timberland Middle School. This presents a good case that there will be more space at Timberland for the SUMMA program.Reference: page 36-37, https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article&#61;1129&context&#61;enrollmentforecasts Please keep our Sato and Springville kids at their nearest middle school - Stoller.
02/28/2020 3:44 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap137@gmail.com	Springville K-8				According to PSU study, the populations for current Five Oak feeders will remain mostly flat. Elmonica (-12), McKinley (-13), Beaver Acres (-32). The negative growth is not enough to fit Rock Creek and Springville into the Five Oaks Middle School.Please send Rock Creek to Meadow Park along with Bethany and Oak Hills.Please keep Sato and Springville in Stoller.Reference: page 36-37, https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article&#61;1129&context&#61;enrollmentforecasts
02/28/2020 4:26 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				According to the PSU study, the feeders for Five Oaks middle school is expected to remain flat. Beaver Acres (-32), Elmonica (-12), McKinley (-13). There is not enough space to add Rock Creek, Bethany, and Springville into Five Oaks.Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany, and Oak Hills in Meadow Park. Please keep Sato and Springville in Stoller. There's enough capacity in Stoller at 2025 if existing portables will remain on site. Please have portables in your recommendations to the Superintendent.Reference: page 36,37 https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article&#61;1129&context&#61;enrollmentforecasts

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 2:58 PM	Dr. Carrie Sillito	carrie.sillito@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Mountain View MS	Mountainside	<p>As we examine the school boundaries, the #1 priority of the district should be to reduce the concentration of poverty at several district middle schools that currently have really high rates of poverty. While I am "most" interested personally in Mountain View Middle (since I have children that go there), it is essential that any boundary changes work to decrease poverty at both Five Oaks Middle School, and at Mountain View Middle School. While boundary discussions have been focused on the [?] overcrowding [?] at Northern schools, the board also needs to consider the poverty concentration, and over-crowding of low-income students at Mountain View Middle and Five Oaks Middle. The high concentration of poverty at a school matters because students who are experiencing poverty have stressors other students don't experience. They may not have access to adequate food at home. They may go through periods of homelessness, or might have parents working multiple jobs, with little time for the children. They are trying to just meet the basic needs of food and shelter, which leaves little energy for things like working on homework, or parental volunteering at the school. When one school has a very high concentration of poverty, having a lot of kids with these types of stressors in classes can push the class past the [?] tipping point [?] where it can be difficult for teachers to maintain a positive learning environment. When we reduce poverty at schools, it helps ALL children because it provides a better learning environment. When schools like Mountain View Middle and Five Oaks, have a high concentration of poverty, wealthier families often choose private schools or [?] option schools [?] to help their children avoid the low-income school. By refusing to address the problems of poverty, we will continue to see upper-income families choose other options, further isolating poverty at the neighborhood schools like Mountain View and Five Oaks. This is shown in that most option schools in our district (except Health & Science with 40% free/reduced lunch, and Aloha Hubert) have "MUCH" lower rates of free/reduced lunch schools than the district average (36% of students in the district qualify for free/reduced lunch). While data was not available on all of the option schools at the Oregon Report Card website (https://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/reports.aspx) I was able to find information on free/reduced lunch rates for several schools. For the option schools the numbers are as follows: The following option schools have free/reduced lunch rates *WELL BELOW* the district average, showing that high-income families are using these schools to [?] opt out [?] of low-income neighborhood schools, while low-income families either don't see the option schools as a real [?] option [?] for them, aren't aware of them, or have other barriers preventing them from accessing the option schools: Arco Spanish Immersion: 6% free/reduced lunch Arts and Communication Magnet Academy: 24% free/reduced lunch International School of Beaverton: 20% free/reduced lunch School of Science and Technology: 19% free/reduced lunch Springfield 6-8: 16% free/reduced lunch In contrast, but Mountain View Middle School and Five Oaks Middle School are TIED for the HIGHEST rates of free/reduced lunch students at all Grade 6-8 schools in the district. At Mountain View Middle, and Five Oaks Middle, 61% of students are experiencing poverty (as measured by qualification for free or reduced-price lunch). This is UNACCEPTABLE to see such a high concentration of poverty at two of the district schools, while the rates of poverty are 50 much lower at the other district middle schools. According to the Oregon Report Card for 2018-2019, the students qualifying for free/reduced lunch at the neighborhood middle schools in the district are as follows: The following schools should be priority, as they are "Well above" the district average of 36% free/reduced lunch: Five Oaks: 61% free/reduced lunch Mountain View: 61% free/reduced lunch Whitford: 55% free/reduced lunch Meadow Park: 44% free/reduced lunch The remaining schools have free/reduced lunch below the district average: Conestoga: 34% free/reduced lunch Highland Park: 31% free/reduced lunch Cedar Park: 27% free/reduced lunch Stoller: 12% free/reduced lunch I was not able to assess from this information how many students are leaving from Five Oaks and Mountain View Middle, but experience within the district shows me that many upper-income families are trying to avoid attending a low-income middle school. Additionally, Sociology research has consistently shown that when option schools are available, upper-income families are more likely to try and go there instead of supporting low-income neighborhood schools (Saporito, 2003). As this researcher noted, [?] The choices of white and wealthier students to avoid schools with high poverty/ non-white students lead to increased racial and economic segregation in the neighborhood schools that these students leave [?] (Saporito, 2003, p. 181). Making sure "ALL" district middle-schools do not have a concentration of poverty will make it so more upper-income families feel like their neighborhood school is an acceptable option. Changing boundaries to eliminate poverty segregation and eliminate the overcrowding of low-income students at Mountain View Middle and Five Oaks is an essential first step to equitable access to education for students in our district. The "BIG" priority needs to be REDUCING POVERTY at poverty-concentrated schools. There is "ABSOLUTELY" no reason "ANY" middle school in our district should have over 50% rates of free/reduced lunch, when other middle schools are well below the district average of 36%. PLEASE make Mountain View Middle School and Five Oaks Middle School priorities. Reduce poverty at these schools in any and all boundary alignments. References: Ayscue, J. B., & Orfield, G. (2015). School district lines stratify educational opportunity by race and poverty. <i>Race And Social Problems</i>, 7(1), 5-20. doi:10.1007/s12552-014-9135-0 Clotfelter, C., Ladd, H. F., Vigdor, J., Wheeler, J., & Duke Univ., D. P. (2006). High Poverty Schools and the Distribution of Teachers and Principals. <i>Terry Sanford Institute Of Public Policy</i>. Eaton, S., & University of Colorado at Boulder, N. C. (2012). Review of &#34;Our Immense Achievement Gap&#34;. National Education Policy Center. Gandara, P. (2010). Overcoming Triple Segregation. <i>Educational Leadership</i>, 68(3), 60-64. Johns, E. (2010, January 3). Downsizing schools, increasing segregation?: Reducing busing and closing some schools were meant to help integrate schools. Such cuts will save money but could isolate schools and limit choices. <i>Star Tribune</i> (Minneapolis, MN). Laosa, L. M., & Educational Testing Service, P. N. (2001). <i>The New Segregation</i>. ETS Policy Notes. Lamanna, M. A. & Riedmann, A. (2011) <i>Marriages, Families, and Relationships: Making Choices in a Diverse Society</i>. 11th edition Wadsworth Publishers/ Cengage Learning ISBN: 978-1-111-30154-5 Orfield, G., Lee, C., & Harvard Civil Rights Project, C. M. (2005). Why Segregation Matters: Poverty and Educational Inequality. <i>Civil Rights Project At Harvard University</i>. Parrett, W., & Budge, K. M. (2011). Turning High-poverty Schools Into High-performing Schools. Alexandria, Va: Assoc. for Supervision and Curriculum Development. Perry, L. B., & McConney, A. (2010). Does the SES of the school matter? An examination of socioeconomic status and student achievement using PISA 2003. <i>Teachers College Record</i>, 112(4), 1137-1162. Quillian, L. (2014). Does segregation create winners and losers? Residential segregation and inequality in educational attainment. <i>Social Problems</i>, 61(3), 402-426. doi:10.1525/sp.2014.12193 Saporito, S. (2003). Private Choices, Public Consequences: Magnet School Choice and Segregation by Race and Poverty. <i>Social Problems</i>, 50(2), 181-203. doi:10.1525/sp.2003.50.2.181 Stetetsky, P. B., & Hogan, M. J. (2005). Segregation and school disorder. <i>The Social Science Journal</i>, 42(3), 405-420. doi:10.1016/j.soscij.2005.06.007</p>
02/28/2020 9:59 PM	Ehoy Lawless Lewerenz	lawlesse1@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.</p>
02/28/2020 3:19 PM	Eric Bolken	bigbolk@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem			<p>If the adjustment committee and BSD staff are going to continue to adhere to the charge and stated goals as set forth by the school board, lets go through them as they pertain to Cooper Mountain ES, outside of goals 1-3 as they do not pertain to CMES and Chehalem: 4. Study and recommend MINOR ADJUSTMENT ... to reconcile split feeder patterns or otherwise IMPROVE THE ALIGNMENT of attendance boundaries between ALL SCHOOL LEVELS. Be feeding Chehalem to Highland Park and Cooper Mountain to Mountain View, you are impacting over 1000 students needlessly and worsening feeding patterns through all levels by having 90% of these students attending a middle school that does not then feed into the resident High Schools. I would say this as a FAILURE to achieve the goal. Policy JC factors- Student body Composition: While the resultant FRLP numbers be lower at each school, the 2/25 diagrams already reflected a reduction at both middle schools: Highland from current 31% to 22% & Mountain View from 61% to 47%. This is already a significant improvement. This is also taking two separate populations and pulling them out of their current communities which provide support for one another and moving them to another neighborhood where connections on multiple levels that had been made. By splitting communities from others which they are geographically co-located is a harm to the student body and population. I would say this is a failure to meet this policy.- Current and future availability of space at a school Based on Jan 16 test map 3, the option of moving Chehalem to Highland Park and Cooper Mountain to Mountain View was already reviewed. The result was an overpopulation at Highland Park (105% of permanent capacity for 2021). I would say this is a failure to meet this policy.- Feeder patterns from elementary, middle to high school As stated above; - Neighborhood proximity and accessibility Neighborhood proximity is not improved by this move but is rather making portions of the populations travel further than current feeding. This move would also add cost to busing for routes serving the Cooper Mountain area as current bus routes picking up Nancy Riles and Hazeldale areas adjacent to Mountainside HS en route to Highland Park. This is again a fail. By EVERY metric that has been set forth for consideration by the committee, the proposal to move Cooper Mountain to Mountain View and Chehalem to Highland Park is a failure. Please maintain the maps from Feb, 25th and reject any other proposal for Cooper Mountain. Thank you for your time.</p>
02/28/2020 7:30 AM	Eric Simantel	ericsimantel@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>As the Rock Creek Community we have done our best to be cordial in our communication with the committee. We haven't boomed at meetings, we haven't brought signs. We've been respectful during this entire process. We thought the committee was hearing us. We thought we were nearing the finish line. I think the collective message you seen from our elementary school was that we genuinely don't care if its Five Oaks, Meadow Park, Stoller, Timberland - that is up for you all to decide - but we've always said - don't send us there alone. Last night, when a map came out that showed Rock Creek heading south to Five Oaks without a community neighbor - caused hearts to drop. Frustration from our community is building. Because we aren't rude, because we don't throw verbal elbows, is our opinion not being heard? Please hear us. Please put us with Bethany or Springfield... just don't make us the sacrificial elementary school. There is a solution to the puzzle that includes keeping us with our neighbors. It was right in front of us. Please please please throw away the map that has us going to Five Oaks as the solo elementary school from the north. Its not fair to be an original school at Stoller, and then because of location, be swept away to the south on our own.</p>
02/28/2020 4:52 PM	Erica Frankel	ericabck@yahoo.com	Findley Elem			<p>Hello, I'm a Findley parent and strongly support not splitting Findley. I thought having all of Findley at Timberland was great! It allows us to remain together through middle and high school with all of our friends. I really hope that we can remain together at Timberland as we were on the February 25th map. We are still open to all being together at Stoller but it doesn't seem that is going to happen. If for some reason you absolutely must split off a portion of the truly walkable Findley please don't include areas from the far outer edges of the so called walkable population of Stoller like Bannister Creek. We can not walk from where we live and want to remain together with our Findley friends. Please keep Findley together! Thank you, Erica Frankel</p>

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 9:35 PM	Gregory Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 9:52 PM	Haoquan Zhang	Liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 10:16 AM	Heba El-Mansy	elmansyh@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		I strongly believe Cooper Mt needs to continue to feed into Highland Park/Mountainside High school. We are long time members of the community as my three daughters have attended all three of these schools and I, myself, attended Cooper Mountain. Moving the current 80% of the Cooper Mountain population that feeds to Highland is extremely disruptive and unfair to the community that purchased houses knowing these were their projective schools. The current middle school boundary changes do not affect South Beaverton who has already seen numerous changes with the new high school. Please do not introduce new and additional and unnecessary changes which ultimately disrupts the majority of cooper mt population.
02/28/2020 4:16 PM	Helen Chang	portlandhelen@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Stop isolating RC ES from other northern neighborhoods. No sending RC ES alone to Five Oaks.
02/28/2020 3:41 PM	INJAM	venky.injam@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Don't separate Jacob Wismer and SUMMA from stroller . It's going to impact connected communities which are having bond from long time . Don't take out walking distance facility . Thanks
02/28/2020 2:46 PM	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please keep JW SUMMA and walking FE with Stoller. Not including these kids at Stoller, the MS to HS feeder will hurt them. Only 17% or less will feed to Sunset. Being left out in HS is a very difficult situation for any kid. I request the committee members to keep it in their mind when making adjustments. Also this split will increase transportation cost, traffic on single lane laidlaw and saltzman road, kids will be on bus for more than 30 mins. Again, 2 growing community should not feed to same middle school. Instead of bussing these WALKABLE kids for 30 Mins, please send the newer and rapidly growing community on the bus, their travel time is less than 25 Mins and many other school kids are spending equal or more amount of time on the bus. Please KEEP WALKABILITY, HS FEEDER, and TAX DOLLARS in your mind when making your decision.
02/28/2020 8:54 AM	Jeff	Jeff.chen2@nike.com	Springville K-8				Having attended the meeting on February 25th, I noticed there seem to be a key piece of information missing - The Assumptions behind PSU[?]s growth projection for a springville and Sato. I think BSD needs to go back to PSU and verify the assumptions of the springville projections are still valid (My Guess is the projected numbers are based on assuming same rate of new developments as Sato in next 1-2 years) which is likely no longer true. If that is the case, it will greatly affect the growth projections of Stoller at 2025. Clarity in this piece of information could shed new light/perspective on committee[?]s concern on over capacity at 2025. Also I felt half of the committee members had gone into the feb meeting already made up their mind that splitting neighborhoods is the only possible way to resolve the issue based on 2025 projections (which are subjected to fluctuations and variations as they are projections). The community has spoken through signed petition to have BSD and committee members consider benefits of having neighborhoods un-split vs Permanently affecting numerous families residing on these [?]fringe[?] areas where splits may occur based on outdated data and assumptions.Thanks for your time reading this and I look forward to hear the results and updated data for the above.Jeff
02/28/2020 11:52 AM	Jeremy Rear	bethrear@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I was encouraged after the last meeting because I felt like for the FIRST time during this process we were being heard. Rock Creek parents understand we cannot stay at Stoller. However, the ONLY proposal we will accept is one that moves us with a northern neighboring school. We need this in order to achieve any kind of equitable education in this process. Unfortunately, the BSD has pulled another fast one on us and published a map that once again shows Rock Creek kids going it alone at Five Oaks. Rock Creek kids cannot be the sacrificial child in this process! They deserve an equal educational opportunity too - and the only way to achieve this is to move us with a northern neighboring school. Do not leave our Rock Creek kids in the dust!!
02/28/2020 12:06 PM	Jeremy Rear	bethrear@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I see the committee has just put out another map - with Rock Creek going it alone at Five Oaks. You have now created not 1 but TWO elite middle schools - while Rock Creek kids are sitting on a bus for a long commute to a severely under-performing school.Thank you for supporting the supposed "Pillars of Learning" that the BSD says it stands for.
02/28/2020 10:23 PM	Jinyoung Park	empris1@hotmail.co	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		move Arbor heights neighborhood to Findley boundary to solve over flow at Stoller.
02/28/2020 4:49 PM	JITHIN	JRATHOD81@GMAIL.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Moving Springville and Rock creek to FO has ramifications that affect multiple schools.To reduce load on Five oaks, It likely splits McKinley and Elmonica. It pushes out Beaver Acres and Aloha Huber to Meadow park. It can overcrowd Meadow park,make it feed 3 high schools and causes the free lunch to skyrocket in Meadow park especiallyif Oak Hills is moved out as well.So better to consider MAP A to avoid all these cascading affects.Instead of making large sacle changes why can't some portion of Jacob Wismer moved toTimberland. It will serve multiple purposes. Proper utilization of Timerland. Also JWcomplaints about not being together with their friends will reduce and they will alsohave SUMMA at Timberland. Finally also reduce the load on STOLLER further more.
02/28/2020 4:51 PM	JITHIN	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHER. RECONSIDER MAP A - Sato and Springville students and families make up the North Bethany community. Splitting some of Springville to go to Five Oaks disrupts this community as we currently share the same parks, trails, and local activities. Stoller is the only neighborhood area middle school for North Bethany students. There are 14 OTHER Elementary schools which are closer to Five oaks compared to Springville. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. PLEASE DONT GIVE PRIORITY TO SUMMA SOVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. The entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller.There was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.SO DOESNT THE VOTE BECOME 9.

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 5:18 PM	jithin	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Looking closer at the 2025 projections by BSD for Springville, you will notice that BSD projections says that Springville has more growth than Sato... which is TOTALLY INCORRECT..... springville is already BUILT OUT our elementary school current enrollments say a totally different story than what BSD has projected. Clearly BSD has OVERPROJECTED just like they did in multiple occasions in the previous years causing the budget fiasco and the high school over projections of Sunset by 12% in the high school boundary process. Lately BSD projected that Scholls heights elementary school will be overcrowded with all the development in that area but in their own words later nothing like that ever happened. With the history of over projection and clear errors in this process too, springville at Stoller with all of Jacob Wismer and Sato MINUS the summa, will in REALITY be TOTALLY SUSTAINABLE IN 2025. PSU studies and projections have been more appropriate and matches more with current enrollment and if you apply those projections it is clear that stoller will be totally fine in 2025 with Springville , Jacob Wismer and SATO.
02/28/2020 8:23 PM	jithin	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The Jacob wismer to Sunset feeder at Stoller is about 18% or over 200 kids in the Feb25th MAP A. Its not a single digit percentage as MISREPRESENTED by one of the Jacob Wismer testimonies. Its well in line with high school feeders across the district. BSD PLEASE TAKE NOTE OF THIS.
02/28/2020 4:49 PM	JITHINR	JRATHOD81@GMAIL.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		STOLLER PROJECTIONS FOR 2025 IS INCORRECTThere is no further growth in Springville. But BSD projection is showing even more growthfor Springville than SATO. How is that possible.Move Jacob wismer SUMMA to Timberland or full of Jacob wismer to Timberland.It will serve multiple purposes:a) Make proper utilization of new middle school Timberland which is ONE OF THE MAJOROBJECTIVE OF BSDb) Jacob wismer complaints about splits and their kids losing friends will be reduced, since they will be with Findely every time SUMMA or not.c) Reduce the load on Stoller.Just for an optional program, lof of time being wasted to talk about retaining it inStoller and going over walkability issues back and forth. Stoller capacity required is 90% for 2021 which is already met by MAP A. For 2025 theprojections are over estimated besides none of the maps A, B nor C drastically reduces Stoller capacity to less than 100% by 2025.
02/28/2020 10:33 PM	Kal Vuppamandla	kal.vuppamandla@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Hello Boundary Adjustment Committee,I would like to commend the committee for taking tough votes in the February 25th meeting. Attending the meeting felt gripping with a lot of drama but I left feeling that committee is making progress towards tough but sensible choices. Severely reducing the number of kids who can walk or bike to school seems going backward which is very likely to happen if any part of JW which includes a lot of Summa kids are moved away from Stoller. Stoller MS is in the community's backyard. This is bad for the environment, inefficient and wasteful. Also moving Summa kids or walking Findley from Stoller MS would have highly imbalanced feeders for JW students. We do not want kids to be eating lunches alone or having tough time finding friends to partner with for projects. We ultimately want an effective and sustainable solution for Stoller. And as the committee agreed through a majority vote one way to make that happen for Stoller is to have some or all of Springville going to a different school. Splitting Sato or JW might not yield the best possible results since they maximize walkability. Thanks for your due consideration!
02/28/2020 9:19 AM	kelly roby	robby.kelly@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee, the purpose of my comment is to bring to light a suggestion around mature neighborhoods versus new neighborhoods. Over the last few years, certain parts of North Bethany have exploded with new home construction. The development of these new neighborhoods has caused major spikes to neighboring school enrollment but specifically at Stoller. This has been one of the hottest topics at most of the meetings throughout this boundary adjustment process. Has the district ever considered working with home developers in the area to suggest that NEW homes in NEW neighborhoods not be guaranteed to be placed in the school closest to their homes until space becomes available? Or until the district can secure land and bond money to build new schools in the areas they are needed. Please consider this suggestion. It can be a temporary way to fill underutilized schools in the south, until the district is able to plan out the north. New home buyers will buy being aware of the schooling situation and will make their purchasing decisions accordingly. Perhaps this can solve some of the overcrowding today and in the future. I don[?]t think It is right as the commitee started proposing is slicing us current established communities so new homes can go into a school in their entirety. Jacob Wismer for example already has a split high school feeder so why is it ok that they also have a split middle school feeder so new home growth doesn[?]t? I ask that logic and Findley expansion experience be used as a reference here. Thanks for your continued dedication to the process. I remain hopeful that our objectives can be met.
02/28/2020 9:55 PM	Kexin Zhang	484888@bsd48.org	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 9:36 PM	Khamouane Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 10:58 PM	kobika	kobika_gu@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SPRINGVILLE STAY STOLLER! JW+Sato+SPV +Minus Summa, meets objective in 2021 and is totally sustainable in 2025
02/28/2020 9:23 AM	kunal	kunalnair1@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Hi Committee,I am a father to a 5 year old who would start his elementary school this fall at Springville. We moved into the Arbor Oaks neighborhood several years ago and have always looked at Springville, Stoller and Westview as his schools. All his friends from day care are split between JW, Findley, Sato and Springville. I am afraid that isolating Springville to Five Oaks will be detrimental to him when he is going through his teens. Not only would he need to start over again but also the commute will be an added stress. Also, I think its not fair to ask a certain community to take up a different school which is outside of the other neighborhood schools just to keep the SUMMA options program. The other downside of this is the disconnect created between neighbors and friends. Some of the comments I read on Nextdoor is just heartbreaking. Its put neighbors against each other. I plead you to show some consideration towards the kids from Springville and treat them the same way we treat kids from every other school.
02/28/2020 5:39 PM	Kyong Zu Park	star217@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Move OUR neighborhood (Arbor heights, Jacob Wismer ease side) out of Jacob Wismer boundary into Findley boundary. THIS WILL SERVE THE ULTIMATE GOAL TO REDUCE STOLLER POPULATION BY MOVING ADDITIONAL 107 STUDENTS OUT OF THEIR BOUNDARY.(based on https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&#61;45745552) I believe this suggestion will move us forward to the solution.
02/28/2020 12:01 AM	Larry Cha	lc20002012@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The UNITED FINDLEY VOICES should NOT represent the Findley families that live in walkable communities such as Oakridge, Bannister Creek, Bannister Hight and Bethany Height. We do NOT support Feb 25's working map. Our kids walk to Stoller MS daily. As taxpayer, we would not want our tax dollars used for busing our students who can walk to middle school. We are willing to accept a split Findley (walkable vs. not) with the former feeding into Stoller. The money saved on transportation would have a better use, such as hiring more teachers in BSD.

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 8:38 AM	Liqiong Wei	zchen8@yahoo.com					support Walkable Findley going to Stoller. This will reduce the traffic, save BSD transportation cost and have environmental benefit.
							Dear Committee - Thank you for your continued efforts to meet the objectives of the MS boundary adjustment process. The future of ALL BSD staff and students are at the mercy of the recommendations that will come from the decisions you make. A few key point to keep in mind as we approach the finish line:1. A majority of you voted YES, that it would be acceptable to consider a split within Springville if it will help achieve the objective of reducing the overcrowding at Stoller. ALL of the data that has been provided to you has shown very clearly that Springville and Sato are the two LARGEST communities in the district, both projected for continued growth in the short and long term. For this reason, I cannot see any way that these two communities can fully feed into the same middle school. Not today, not in 2023 and certainly not in 2025. The only way would be to completely take walkability away and that would be a sincere disservice to ALL.2. It CANNOT be argued that walkability doesn't matter. Walkability means NO INCREMENTAL BUSES are required. It means that money stays in the classrooms, offering students improved educational opportunities and teachers an improved working environment. How can it be justified that investing in buses is smarter than investing in our future citizens? The answer is simple, it cannot.3. Feeding patterns must be protected as much as possible. Please look at splits that are even. If ALL of Findley were moved out of Stoller, that would lead to only 17 percent of JW students feeding to Sunset while the rest feed to Westview. If Summa is then moved out of Stoller, that number will be further depleted into single digits. It is wonderful to see the committee recognize this with Oak Hills East, yet this seems to be a forgotten point with JW. Please be more open to these valid points. Many committee members seem to be holding hard lines and not willing to make any concessions. One member even stated that they would not vote in support of any recommendations that either split Springville or sent them to Five Oaks. If you are going to hold strong with things like this, at least provide valid data and rationale so that the public can understand and attempt to see your point.I remain hopeful that together, we can come to a recommendation that makes sense for ALL. One that puts the best interests of students, staff and the well being of our district first.
02/28/2020 6:25 PM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		
							Dear Committee - I remain hopeful that we can achieve the objectives of this process and I thank you for your patience throughout. I want to point out how important it is to solve the massive overcrowding at Stoller MS. Today and over the last few years, Stoller students and their teachers have had to persevere through larger than average class sizes, 14 UNSAFE portables, students having to sit on the floor in the cafeteria to eat their lunch because there are not enough tables to accommodate them, sharing lockers, crowded hallways, teachers unable to offer conferences to all their students, and so much more. Although they have managed to work through it, we are at a pivotal time with a new middle school to finally offer them some relief. I urge you to please commit to making that happen. Please put personal feelings aside and consider the facts that have been provided. Over the last few months, the committee has not been able to agree on anything to move the process forward. At the 2-25 meeting, the only thing that was agreed upon was that a split within Springville might have to happen, which is a step in the right direction. Please ease the overcrowding and offer students and teachers an opportunity to thrive. I remain optimistic we can get there. Thank you.
02/28/2020 6:48 PM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		
							The watchword for this process should be STABILITY. I appreciate the committee members who are sensitive to the reality that the current map does not solve the medium-term overcrowding at Stoller. Based on the projections the district has, the two largest and fastest growing elementary schools will overfill Stoller by themselves in less than five years. Instead of facing that fact, though, we're still discussing how much more of the neighborhoods directly adjacent to Stoller can be sent miles away to accommodate it. It's unsustainable and sets us up for another unpleasant decision in a short time. It defies logic to bus kids PAST a school they can walk to so you can bus others in. It's what we're doing with parts of Findley and what is being suggested we do even with Jacob Wismer. It's a waste of resources and doesn't actually solve the problem. We need to do better.
02/28/2020 6:25 AM	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley Elem				
02/28/2020 5:04 PM	MadhuR	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				Reality check: The JW to Sunset feeder at Stoller is about 18 percent or over 220 kids in the Feb 25th workmap. Not single digit percentage as misrepresented by one of the testimonies. It is well in line with high school feeders across the district.
02/28/2020 7:20 AM	Maheesha De Silva	maheesha2001@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				I hope the new school boundaries will allow the Springville students to continue attending the closer Stoller middle school instead going to a far middle school. BSD committee, please consider the safety issues that will arise to these students by sending them to a farther middle school. Thank you.
02/28/2020 10:27 PM	Marie Knapp	lmknapp@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHER: Please do not take away the most recent 2/25 map and replace it with a map that splits more elementary communities for middle school. The North Bethany area of Springville/Sato is very much intertwined and should not be separated.
02/28/2020 11:49 AM	Marie Knapp	lmknapp@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Why did we build a new middle school north of highway 26 if the plan was to take the northernmost elementary community and move it south of 26, at the longest distance in the district? We met the 2021 objective with Springville, Sato, and Jacob Wismer in Stoller with Summa moved offsite. This allows the committee to next look at a number of options where no elementary community has to travel a long distance to middle school.
02/28/2020 9:38 PM	Mary Lynn Klafka	Pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 11:23 PM	May	mrwang.npu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Any map in whichever configuration, we have seen that if SUMMA stays in Stoller, we can NEVER MEET OBJECTIVE 2 of 90% . Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded school is essential. Please move Summa out of crowded Stoller!
02/28/2020 11:37 PM	May	mrwang.npu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Our objective is to reach 90% of Stoller capacity in 2021, February 25th map has already met that!114% of Stoller in 2025 is acceptable because the number is going down the next year. Springville has stopped all construction this year, and Sato will finish up by 2025. Currently, Stoller has 168%. Portable is not going to remove anytime soon according to Mr. Sparks. February 25th map is the best for all communities and with reasonable numbers.
02/28/2020 11:31 AM	Meihan	MeihanZ@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Good afternoon BSD,To be human, good, even small ones must be done; evil, even small ones, cannot be done.Please help the children of Springville keep the Bethany community. Please don't split Bethany.Our children have equal rights to school at equal time and after school. There are after-school leisure activities.The map for Conference 25 shows data for 2021 and forecast data for 2025. Stoller is acceptable. Please continue to support! Thank you.
02/28/2020 11:03 PM	meihua	kobika_gu@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Our community is in North Bethany, our kids attend clubs, after school programs with friends in other elementary schools. Don't make SPV in a island! Please choose kids wellbeing over transportation convenience.

02/28/2020 12:36 PM	MI	ma_yza@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				I support the Feb25 map as it does not split our community and SPV is proposed to it's nearest north Bethany Middle school. It also keeps Rockcreek together with a neighboring bethany ES . It has been mentioned several times that the two rapidly growing community should not be together BUT in reality, there is only a small portion of land in SPV boundary where house constructions are still ongoing and our community's growth is becoming more stable. Springville, Sato and JW is a good ES combination to feed Stoller MS. One of the objectives of the boundary change is to fill Timberland and Findley school is one of the ES north of HW26 that is ideally located near the new MS. Summa is a specialized program and has a more challenging curriculum than the regular student population, it can also be considered to move to Timberland to ease Stoller overcrowding.
02/28/2020 10:43 PM	Min Pan-Lee	minni_pan@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear members, I am writing to remind you again that you objective is to reach 90% of Stoller capacity, February 25th map has met that! In that map, 2025 is the peak for Stoller, the population is going down in 2027 with BSD projection. Therefore, 114% of Stoller in 2025 is acceptable because the number is going down the next year. Springville has stopped all construction this year, and Sato will finish up by 2025. Currently, Stoller has 168%. Portable is not going to remove anytime soon according to Mr. Sparks. February 25th is the best for all communities and with reasonable numbers.
02/28/2020 4:10 PM	Monica Li	Ptmyli@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Our Oakridge Estate students should go to Stoller Middle school.First, I don[?]t want to spend my tax money on unnecessary school buses which waste money and cause more traffic jam. Second, sacrifice walkable distance residents to favor far away people is NOT fair!
02/28/2020 5:25 PM	Naveen K Kasam	naveen.kasam@gmail.com	Springville K-8				PLEASE DO NOT MAKE SPRINGVILLE KIDS STRANGERS IN THEIR OWN COMMUNITY Springville and Sato kids went to school together not long ago. West of springville is PCC and huge farms with 0 kids. My kid plays badminton and participates in other activities with other kids in north bethany. Please do not make Springville kids strangers in their own community.
02/28/2020 12:19 PM	Nilima Wijeratne	Nvwijera@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I support all Findley in Stoller and all students living within walkable distance to Stoller should be in Stoller.
02/28/2020 10:13 PM	Nita Chopra	ngchopra@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I cannot believe what I heard in the last meeting. I live in Oakridge Estates. Why is it a good idea to take all of Findley to Timberland and leave one subdivision, Oakridge Estates, at Stoller? Why is this even being suggested? Not only would this subdivision LOOSE it[?]s entire elementary school, it would move from a LESS crowded elementary school (Findley Elementary) to an already CROWDED elementary school (Jacob Wismer), and be a part of a overcrowded middle school (Stoller - especially 2025), instead of Timberland that has room? This does not serve the district or our subdivision. There are other walkable subdivisions, including Kaiser Ridge, Arbor Estates, Sethrich, Bannister Heights, Bronson Creek Estates, and more. Kaiser Ridge, Arbor Estates, and Bannister Heights all SHARE THE SAME bus route that comes through Oakridge Estates subdivision. You do not save hardly any money to save one subdivision; you do not reduce the student population at Stoller; YOU DO exert a drastic change to so many kids in Oakridge Estates. Laidlaw is already a main street. It doesn[?]t make sense to bus the other walkable Findley subdivisions down to Timberland, continue to bus large populations from Springville and Sato to Stoller, and have ONLY ONE of the walkable subdivision (Oakridge Estates) kids cross this busy street? With the increased traffic, with buses going in two directions (one direction from Westview feeder elementary schools to Stoller and second direction from all the other walkable Findley neighborhoods to Timberland) crossing the Laidlaw street for one subdivision is not safe. Also, it[?]s safe for kids to walk in groups (which you removed by sparing only one walkable subdivision). I posted three comments since November, urging walkability. My spouse has posted a few comments too, urging walkability. However, I believe the committee[?]s interpretation of this message is WRONG. Honestly, I believe the committee is misreading our Oakridge Estates, subdivision[?]s call for walkability. It[?]s a call to make a map to bus communities that are farther from Stoller to other middle schools and to keep all of Findley at Stoller and Summa from the area. The only split we thought we might face was to have Findley students from all neighborhoods considered walkable from BSD go to Stoller middle school (Summa and non-Summa students from these areas) and the remaining go to Timberland. It[?]s unbelievably cruel to suggest one subdivision alone to stay to at Stoller. Why would any parent committee suggest or ask such a thing? You save absolutely no reasonable money for such harm to students to keep one subdivision and move the rest. Splits from elementary schools are hard so don[?]t split in such an incredibly ridiculous manner. I wouldn[?]t wish that on any subdivision in the district to be moved in such an isolated fashion. Many families in our Oakridge subdivision respect walkability to a certain point BUT if isolated to just one (or a few) from Findley—many families would rather go to Timberland—go with the Findley Elementary School to Timberland that has room and has students that will go to Sunset. We also thought this was a MIDDLE SCHOOL decision. For what gain do you suggest keeping ONLY ONE subdivision and make them change elementary schools? Again, you do not save any reasonable money and inflict such harm on our students. Sparing only Oakridge Estates for Stoller does NOTHING BUT HARM (for reasons listed above).
02/28/2020 9:40 PM	Olesya Baykalova	Olesyabaykal@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 9:57 PM	Paul Lewerenz	Paul.lewerenz@oregonfirst.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 9:50 AM	Praphun Naenna	naennap@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Please keep Springville at Stoller.We rely on the committees to make good judgement for us. It's hard to tell how accurate the number of 2025 will be. We are told the enrollment prediction in 2019 was not accurate. Last time it was underestimated, next time it might be overestimated. Who knows! Demographic changes all the time and the trend is smaller number of members per household. But your decision impact our kids right away. Springville kids deserve to stay at Stoller. One said Springville is furthest from Stoller when compare to Sato or JW. Yes, that's true. Stoller is already not walkable for us. Imagine sending us further to Five Oaks, our kids will suffer more. Thank you.
02/28/2020 7:07 PM	Prashanth Nair	prash7e7@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	PLEASE DO NOT MAKE SPRINGVILLE KIDS STRANGERS IN THEIR OWN COMMUNITYNorth Bethany is our Community . Please help our kids go to school with North Bethany kids . Sato and Springville are one of the same as Sato was formed from Springville kids . My kid participates in Soccer , DI , Clubs and afterschool with other North Bethany kids . PLease do not let him be a stranger in his own community . We have a huge GEOGRAPHICAL DIVIDE to our West with PCC and the huge farms . BSD's map does not mark PCC Rock Creek and the farms in a different color but you can see that they are all grid codes with zero studentsYES , Springville Kids take the bus Today to Stoller , so is that in any way a free pass to transport them 2.5X further when THERE ARE excellent equitable options ? Springville is the school and area that will bring in ECONOMIC DIVERSITY TO STOLLER . Please do not priortize an OPTIONS program at SToller at the cost of Kids in the NORTHERMOST AREAS of BSD . These kids have only ONE SCHOOL IN THEIR PROXIMITY unlike some other southern areas that have more than one MS available to themThank You Committee members for your commitment and Hard work . Thank You for hearing us through .

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 7:10 PM	Prashanth Nair	prash7e7@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	After months of sleepless nights, it is truly mind boggling what happened on Feb 25th. We started with a map that does a reasonable job of solving a really nasty puzzle with many pieces that do not fit, without any school or community splits. We actually got to a place where we got to 88% of PC in Stoller in 2021 which is the OBJECTIVE. We actually got to a place where over 300 parents from 4 of the Stoller schools agreed on the map with Summa moved to Timberland. We debated the whole time about 2025 numbers WHICH ARE NOT PART OF THE OBJECTIVE.. We then used the 14% number, 150 kids, in one school, 5 years from now, still WAY LOWER THAN TODAY, to tear the whole thing apart, and we are going back to splitting schools and communities??This is not making any sense at all. I hope we return to commonsense. I hope we stop talking about Summa in Stoller. I hope we focus on the actual objectives and not imagined ones like 95% in 2025.
02/28/2020 7:21 PM	Prashanth Nair	prash7e7@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Sunset	Springville K-8	JW and SATO AND SPRINGVILLE MINUS SUMMA is 88% in 2021- objective 2 met right there. Sorry to say this, committee members crossed the line and talked about 2025 hypothetical numbers. Disappointing.
02/28/2020 7:33 PM	Prashanth Nair	prash7e7@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	2025 estimations are over projections as most of Springville is already built out BUT BSD is Projection even more growth for SPV than SATO.... totally incorrect... 2025 might be a NON EXISTENT ISSUE IN REALITY
02/28/2020 7:43 PM	Prashanth Nair	prash7e7@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Any map in whichever configuration, we have seen that if SUMMA stays in Stoller, we can NEVER MEET OBJECTIVE 2 of 90% . Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded school is essential.Findley has the most SUMMA STUDENTS so it makes sense to move it to Timberland. The concentration of JW SUMMA is also in the east which is also considerably close to Timberland
02/28/2020 8:00 PM	Prashanth Nair	prash7e7@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	ECONOMIC DIVERSITY with ALL OF SPRINGVILLE is the best it could get AT STOLLER.... if SUMMA moves out, economic diversity WILL ONLY GET BETTER FROM HERE
02/28/2020 10:15 AM	Preeju Philip	preejususan@gmail.com					PLEASE DO NOT MAKE SPRINGVILLE KIDS STRANGERS IN THEIR OWN COMMUNITY .North Bethany is our Community . Please help our kids go to school with North Bethany kids . Sato and Springville are one of the same as Sato was formed from Springville kids . My kid participates in Soccer , DI , Clubs and afterschool with other North Bethany kids . Please do not let him be a stranger in his own community . We have a huge GEOGRAPHICAL DIVIDE to our West with PCC and the huge farms . BSD's map does not mark PCC Rock Creek and the farms in a different color but you can see that they are all grid codes with zero students .YES , Springville Kids take the bus Today to Stoller , so is that in any way a free pass to transport them 2.5X further when THERE ARE excellent equitable options ? Springville is the school and area that will bring in ECONOMIC DIVERSITY TO STOLLER . Please do not prioritize an OPTIONS program at Stoller at the cost of Kids in the NORTHERMOST AREAS of BSD . These kids have only ONE SCHOOL IN THEIR PROXIMITY unlike some other southern areas that have more than one MS available to them .Thank You COmmittee members for your commitment and Hard work . Thank You for hearing us through .
02/28/2020 6:43 PM	Preeju Philip	preejususan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Based on calculations from the net residents grid codes, there will be 222 SUNSET bound students WITHOUT SUMMA AT STOLLER That does look like a healthy feeder and TOTALLY UNLIKE OAKHILLS SITUATION.
02/28/2020 7:21 PM	Priya Telang	heypriya@gmail.com		Stoller MS			The primary goal of solving current and future overcrowding problem at Stoller is key to upholding its academic standard. By having 2 growing communities SPV and Sato at Stoller, the Committee is proposing a temporary solution to a known and established long term problem. Furthermore, the insistence of some Committee members to move SUMMA out of Stoller, just so that we can bring down the utilization # is plain irresponsible. First, our neighbor growing school refuses to split, second it threatens to drive out an older resident, walking population. Why are Committee members not able to see that even if you split SPV, it literally is the equivalent of 2 small schools!!! The issue cannot be about SUMMA vs regular students. SUMMA students are regular JW students that take some extra classes at their resident MS. But for the Committee, it is all about solving the overcrowding. Moving SUMMA out is essentially displacing such a big% of JW students, only to accommodate these growing communities. Hope the Committee realizes that this will result in creating another Oak Hills East situation, this time around with JW. JW Community strongly opposes it.
02/28/2020 1:14 AM	Priyanka	priyankasep@yahoo.com					Dear committee, have you looked at 2027 numbers? I know you are worried about 2025. But please check 2027, the numbers are falling again after hitting a peak in 2025. So, with Springville+Sato+JW in Stoller, as per current map are totally sustainable. And overcrowding in Stoller, if it ever happens again, in case projections come true, the problem is still very very temporary. For a very uncertain, temporary problem, please don't take the decision of sending Springville half way across the district to Five Oaks or to split our community again. We got split in 2017 when Sato was formed. Now you are planning to split us again in 2021. The testimony from Sato parent in last meeting clearly showed the pain through which our community went during the split. It was elementary then and this is middle school now, but remember these are the same kids who felt the pain in elementary and now they will be experience another painful split in 2021. Please don't do this to the kids. Keep Springville together. The current map proposed by BSD is totally sustainable in the long term. It may have temporary spill over to portable but we will be back to normal. And what if the projections didn't come out to be true? By then it would be too late and we would have perpetrated an unnecessary and painful split for more than 500 kids, just to avoid a projected temporary issue for 1 or 2 years. Please help us. We are at your mercy.
02/28/2020 10:12 AM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Thanks for supporting Oak Hills East move to Timberland. We all agree that 14%(of Meadow park) is too less of students to feed into High School. I would urge the committee to make similar decision for Jacob Wismer students. As it stands, only 17% of Stoller feeds to Sunset. These numbers are too low for a good HS feeder pattern. Middle school to High School transition can be disruptive for kids and is important to have enough friends or known students. This has been reiterated from the public testimony on 02/25 meeting. Please support a healthy feeder pattern for JW Stoller students. Keeping summa to Stoller is one way to improve these numbers. Adding walkable Findley to Stoller also improves the feeder patterns.
02/28/2020 12:36 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee,In the last meeting, I have seen committee members coming up with innovative ways of splitting Jacob Wismer Elementary school boundary to accommodate far off students from other schools. I am glad the committee decided against it at the end and came to sense that splitting JW will not result in meeting the objective of getting Stoller numbers to 90%. With the present map, Stoller has only 17% of students feeding to Sunset. I would focus on improving this feeder pattern rather than re-adjusting boundaries. Keep JW together. Look at the data and the neighborhoods around Stoller to see what is logical and reasonable solution. You all agreed at the end having two growing schools at Stoller is not possible and that splitting Springville is the only way to reach target numbers. I would argue that even that may not achieve the stated goal. Based on the current numbers, JW, Sato, Summa program and/or findley walkable area (given the number of these kids around Stoller) will fill Stoller to capacity.

02/28/2020 11:31 PM	PZ	pzhang.npu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		JUST BECAUSE SPRINGVILLE KIDS RIDE THE BUS , it is not acceptable to make them commute FURTHEST and LONGEST in BSD... Springville to Five Oaks takes our kids away from our community alone..... The congestion and traffic issues on 185th is the worst in Washington County. 185th is one of the most accidents road. It is not safe!
02/28/2020 7:32 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				JW + SATO + SPRINGVILLE MINUS SUMMA = 88% in 2021This meets objective 2 of JC policy. If one expected 95% in 2025 (which is not a JC objective), then Stoller will be significantly underutilized in 2021. There is no other solution which is better than this, the advisory committee should vote for Springville in Stoller, after removing SUMMA.
02/28/2020 7:36 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				The 2025 estimations are over projections, as most of Springville is already built out. However, BSD's projections show even more growth for SPV than SATO, which does not make sense. The over projection for Springville in 2025 might be a NON EXISTENT ISSUE IN REALITY - so it does not make sense to send Springville kids to Five Oaks based on faulty projections. Springville should feed into Stoller, Findley to Timeberland and SUMMA should be moved to a school where there is capacity - this is the most reasonable solution to meet JC objectives.
02/28/2020 7:38 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				In all the maps so far, we have seen that if SUMMA stays in Stoller, we can never meet Objective 2 of being within 90% in 2021. Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded school is essential. Findley has the most SUMMA students, so it makes sense to move it to Timberland. The concentration of JW SUMMA is also in the east which is also considerably close to Timberland. SUMMA should move out of Stoller and relocated where there is capacity.
02/28/2020 7:41 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Springville brings economic diversity at Stoller. If you superimpose the poverty map with a SUMMA map, the disjointedness is self-evident. Moving SUMMA out of Stoller will also increase the economic diversity to Stoller. The actions the committee need to take is very clear - move SUMMA out of Stoller and retain Springville at Stoller.
02/28/2020 7:43 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				DO NOT PRIORITIZE OPTIONS PROGRAM OVER REGULAR MIDDLE SCHOOLStoller is a neighborhood school for many communities, including Springville. The advisory committee should work on fitting these communities, before choosing to fill it with SUMMA students.
02/28/2020 7:43 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				DO NOT MAKE SPRINGVILLE AN ISLANDMap C very cleverly makes the Springville community into an island. The committee has voted on NO TO ISLANDS in the past – they should do this again
02/28/2020 7:44 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				KIDS SHOULD NOT WASTE VALUABLE TIME IN BUSESPlease do not force kids to waste valuable time in buses – we are not talking about options programs, where some kids and parents make the choice to attend a faraway school for their preferred options program. We are talking about letting neighborhood kids attend their neighborhood middle school for a comprehensive program. Otherwise, these kids will be made to choose between time for home work, extra-curriculars or sleep -> none of these are viable options, when there is a clean solution to the problem. Focus on comprehensive middle school first. Then move SUMMA wherever there is availability.
02/28/2020 7:45 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				[?]Alone, we can do so little; together, we can do so much[?] – Helen KellerSpringville is an essential component of NW Bethany and it has only one neighborhood middle school. I am pleading with all our neighboring communities: Please DO NOT PUNISH us by ripping us away from you and sending our kids far away, where they will not know anyone. Our community matters to us, just like you – please get to know us, you will see that we are hard-working folks just like you, with kids who have the similar dreams and aspirations like yours and who long to be ACCEPTED BY THEIR NEIGHBORS. We love Bethany, just as you do. We are not outsiders, who need to be cast away. Please DO NOT ADVOCATE to sending our kids away from their home base.
02/28/2020 7:45 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				PRESERVE COMMUNITY BONDING, MINIMIZE SPLITS & SAVE VALUABLE TIME FOR KIDSPlease advocate for Springville at Stoller. Even if it means 2 extra kids in a class of 30 with commute of 2.5 miles, it is a much better solution than the same Kid commuting to 4.5 miles (average) in a class of 30.
02/28/2020 7:46 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				PORTABLES ARE NOT GOING AWAYBSD has invested hundreds of thousands of dollars building portables in schools. BSD says there is no plan to remove ALL portables from ALL schools. In that case, the advisory committee has to ADVOCATE for using Total Capacity in all their calculations. Even if we come close to 100% capacity in Stoller in 2025 (with a margin of error), it would mean 2 extra kids in a class of 30. This is a manageable solution. Compare it with the other solution, where Springville feeds in to Five Oaks. It will mean breaking community ties, long commutes, no time for extracurriculars, etc. Please ADVOCATE for Springville at Stoller, with the use of PORTABLES.
02/28/2020 7:41 AM	Raghu Yannam	rnryannam@gmail.com		Stoller MS			We all along talked about 2021 and 2025 middle school occupancy goals. Towards the end of last meeting, it was mentioned that 2025 occupancy goal is not important to look at. Are we clear on which specific year school occupancy goals to shoot for? Can we set those goals clearly first and continue the discussion? Can we come with a reasonable and sensible limit on % occupancy number and drive the discussion?One option that makes lot of sense is that if the numbers are above reasonable % occupancy limit for Stoller, adjust the bordering areas to meet that number, instead of feeding the entire Springville school to Five Oaks.
02/28/2020 5:53 PM	Raj Bopardikar	kshipraj@msn.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Springville K-8	I do not support Findley students to not be able to go to Stoller. Stoller will lose diversity and it is not fair for residents around Stoller to be sent to Timberland to accomodate new communities coming up in springville. If Springville people already have bus route it can be extended to Timberland.For residents who are within mile of Stoller and going to Findley, it is ironical to go to TL. Meaning of home school does not seem to be applicable in this case.
02/28/2020 7:55 PM	Raj Kumar Andhole	randhole@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The map that was discussed on Feb 25 has the right combination for Stoller. Without SUMMA Stoller will be at 88% and objective#2 is met right there. There is not much development for the Springville area as there is not much open space. The development is happing in the Sato area.
02/28/2020 11:44 AM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		We are adamantly opposed to being removed from both our Springville neighbors and our Bethany neighbors, as has been proposed in several maps.At Rock Creek, we value community and quality education over everything else. We want to be with our Westview feeding northern community. And we do not want our small elementary school split.Please keep Rock Creek with one of our two closest neighbors in Springville and Bethany.
02/28/2020 11:46 AM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please start considering Rock Creek more. The maps we have seen have shown little to no regard for our community. Please stop sharing maps that propose Rock Creek ES going to Five Oaks alone. This creates a huge academic inequality!! As we stated in the earlier meetings, we want to stay with west Bethany neighbors. Please keep our kids together with their friends. We strongly object proposals that isolate Rock Creek.
02/28/2020 9:37 AM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please stop sharing maps that propose Rock Creek ES going to Five Oaks alone. This creates a huge academic inequality!! As we stated in the earlier meetings, we want to stay with west bethany neighbors. Please keep our kids together with their friends. We strongly object proposals that isolate Rock Creek.

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 9:38 AM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/28/2020 9:42 AM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I'm starting to question that if committee members truly look at those comments. Did you see/know what we said? As a Rock Creek parent, I don't want my children to be kicked out of Strollers and sending to anywhere without other northern neighborhood schools. Why? we are still seeing map posting RC ES was sending alone to Five Oaks.Do you want force RC ES to be like other schools to hold the signs in the meeting in order to hear what we say? Please listen to us! NO sending RC ES alone! NOT alone! NOT alone!
02/28/2020 5:29 PM	Ravali Kasam	ravali_c8@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				JUST BECAUSE SPRINGVILLE KIDS TAKE A BUSJust because springville kids take a bus doesnt mean they can be bussed 2.5 times their current distance. Please remember that BSD has taken away 6-8 option from springville. Stoller is our only proximity school. Please vote for a map that provides equitable commute for all BSD kids. 86 SUMMA kids shouldn't be give priority over nearly 400 regular education kids.
02/28/2020 9:39 PM	Richard Klafka	Pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 10:20 PM	Rowan Wepener	Rowan.wepener@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem		Westview		I support this current map. It is not perfect. The kids from Rock Creek still bear an unreasonably high share of the community burden (long bus time to Meadow Park; being moved from a high performing school to a struggling school). However, this map does address our consistent request for our community to go to school with a northern neighbor—a request that was ignored in so many other maps. I feel like the committee listened to our pleas on this issue, and for that I am grateful. Furthermore, this map does not introduce new splits for any elementary. Where ever Rock Creek is allocated for Middle School please allow us to go with Bethany and Western Oak Hills. Please don't separate our community!Thank you.
02/28/2020 9:20 AM	Ryan Martin	fortlexington@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				RC cannot lift up" Five Oaks alone -- we need some help. BSD would be taking the most economically diverse elementary school north of 26 currently feeding Stoller, and sending them to a middle school with a much higher poverty level (by multiple factors). Opening a new school should benefit everyone, not further increase the economic divide between schools.
02/28/2020 1:43 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			PLEASE DO NOT MAKE SPRINGVILLE KIDS STRANGERS IN THEIR OWN COMMUNITYNorth Bethany is our Community. Please help our kids go to school with North Bethany kids . We have a huge GEOGRAPHICAL DIVIDE to our West with PCC and the huge farms. BSD's map does not mark PCC Rock Creek and the farms in a different color but you can see that they are all grid codes with zero students.YES , Springville Kids take the bus Today to Stoller , so is that in any way a free pass to transport them 2.5X further when THERE ARE excellent equitable options ? Springville is the school and area that will bring in ECONOMIC DIVERSITY TO STOLLER . Please do not prioritize an OPTIONS program at Stoller at the cost of Kids in the northernmost AREAS of BSD. These kids have only ONE SCHOOL IN THEIR PROXIMITY, unlike some other southern areas that have more than one MS available to them.Thank You Committee members for your commitment and hard work. Thank You for hearing us through .
02/28/2020 1:48 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			From our home in Springville to Five Oaks it is 5.5 miles. These long commutes will be a toll on our kids. Some parents will say kids are resilient, some will say they are smart and can finish the homework on the bus, and there are others who will say in some countries, students walked on the unpaved road to school without shoes. Therefore, Springville students should be thankful they are getting bused. Ye,s Springville parents, and kids heard it all. Springville kids are smart, savvy, resilient and independent. But there's no denying that these children will be tired. So, what does this say about equity in education?
02/28/2020 11:16 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: If the committee is okay with having portables at some schools, then Stoller clearly can hit capacity specially when Stoller has some neighborhoods which have ONLY ONE PROXIMAL SCHOOL.In 2021, Jw and Sato and Springville together is 88% in 2021 when SUMMA moves to a brand new school Timberland..... in 2025, in the worst case scenario it will be tad bit over PERMANENT CAPACITY MAYBE.... Maybe is because of uncertain over projections....This is all about kids, please do not put kids in unnecessary issues by isolating them from North Bethany communities and sending them more than 5 miles away
02/28/2020 11:17 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SUMMA SHOULD BE MOVED OUT OF STOLLER. From all the Variants of Maps that have been released till date , One thing is CRYSTAL CLEAR that SUMMA cannot stay in Stoller . It has to be moved out to Timberland probably . Any map with SUMMA in Stoller , wrecks havoc on utilizations . It does not even come near to 90% permanent capacity of Stoller . Moving out SUMMA from Stoller was considered in the past as well as a great way to alleviate overcrowding with minimum impact but at that time Timberland was not available . Now it makes complete sense to move SUMMA out to Timberland and accommodate more regular middle schoolers who do not have another choice nearby . SUMMA is an option program and it is a choice we make knowing the pros and cons of it . Please do not consider an Options program in an area school that has high demand and scarcity of nearby Middle Schools .
02/28/2020 11:20 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		JW and SATO AND SPRINGVILLE MINUS SUMMA is 88% in 2021- objective 2 met right there. KEEP SPRINGVILLE IN STOLLER.
02/28/2020 11:22 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			NORTH BETHANY is our community, we shouldn't be separated from our Eastern neighbors sato whom we are closely intertwined with... On the west there is a big geographical divide with PCC and farmlands making SPRINGVILLE ISLAND. KEEP US IN NORTH BETHANY COMMUNITY. OUR ONLY NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL IS STOLLER.
02/28/2020 11:28 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		JUST BECAUSE OUR KIDS RIDE THE BUS , it is not acceptable to make them commute FURTHEST and LONGEST in BSD... Springville to Five Oaks takes our kids away from our community alone. This is not Equitable education. On top of that, Springville school doesn't have representation in the COMMITTEE, WHERE AS JW HAS MULTIPLE. Not only this is not Equitable, I feel the system is rigged against Springville.

02/28/2020 6:41 AM	Santosh Krishnamurthy	santosh.krishnamurthy@intel.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear BSD Committee members,I am from findley walkable to stoller regions on the map. Here is what needs to be done to ensure a healthy community and schools from getting over crowded. 1. NO ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS SHOULD BE SPLIT. Committee should not consider this as an option as it BREAKS COMMUNITIES by drawing invisible boundaries and ALSO ADVERSELY IMPACTS KIDS PSYCHOLOGICAL HEALTH. This is especially important for kids as they go through the transitional phase from being young kids to young adults during this time. They need all the support and care to ensure they have enriching experience in school.I have following option listed below to address the problem on hand. OPTION 1 : Move Findley/Sato/JW to Stoller and move SPV to FO. Pros: 1. Stoller numbers balance out with this option as 2 growing communities (Sato/SPV) do not feed to Stoller. 2. Findley/JW have high number of walkable students to stellar and they don't need to be busy around. 3. Overall transportation cost is reduced as not all North bethany students will need to use bus transportation to get to their schools. Cons:1. Kids from SPV will need to travel to FO which will add to their existing time.Comment: 1. This option means TL capacity may be under utilized and suitable adjustments need to be made to increase its capacity. 2. This option will also enable SUMMA to be part of Stoller.Map A reviewed in this week's meeting will not work as it doesn't solve STOLLER overcrowding issue Moving 2 GROWING communities to Stoller. We cannot have a solution which still ends up having kids sit in portables. For Stoller over crowding issue to be resolved, SPV will need to be moved out. Also in last meeting there was some discussion on elementary school Boundary re zoning. I disagree with this options. All Elementary schools are well balanced and we shouldn't proliferate this problem there and leave elementary schools rezoning out of the discussion. Regards,Santosh
02/28/2020 11:14 AM	Sara	SaraJ@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD,Please do not separate Springville from Bethany. The data also show Bethany's ability to solve this problem. Please do not increase the burden on other regions. In 2021, Stoller is 88%, and in 2025, it is estimated data. For reference only. The reference is 114%. Stoller is now 168% operational. Why is it estimated that 114% cannot be carried out? Children's physical and mental health and school time are very important. Please do not push Springville children to the highway 26, busy commercial sections and high-incidence accident sites. Because it's too unfair to the children. The cake must be evenly distributed to each child. Do n[?]t eat too much. Some are still starving. Timberland, please make full use of it. What makes Summa special is that it cannot be higher than general education. The population is moving in all regions, and no specific figures have been set for application. But there were wise decisions. Please BSD treat more than 500 children in Springville fairly and fairly. Springville needs your help. Gifts of roses, hand there are lingering fragrance.
02/28/2020 8:58 AM	Satokowatt	Satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear BSD, Please think about equity. 4.5-5 miles or even more are not reasonable distance to the middle school in BSD. One way 25-30 min. will be longest commute in BSD. It is not reasonable distance at all. Please consider 450 students of Springville.
02/28/2020 11:27 AM	Shane Morton	morton_shane@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		Unfortunately, the committee is once again looking to make significant changes to the Cooper Mtn population without notice or time for an acceptable level of discussion. In the last eight minutes of the February 25th meeting, it was decided to look at moving the majority population of Cooper Mtn from Highland Park to Mountain View as we head into the final recommendation meeting. The committee needs to leave the Cooper Mtn population as the map showed. At the 2:38:30 mark of the 2/25 meeting video, a committee member recommends that that you need to look at swapping the Chehalem/Cooper Mtn student population to balance the F & R. This is not part of the four objectives listed for the boundary committee. Equity and inclusion are good topics of discussion, however, making the majority of Cooper Mtn students move schools is not equitable based strictly on F & R. It is not equitable to apply this move to some schools and not all. If the goal is to make changes so that the F & R was equally balanced at all schools, that would be a different conversation. Again, this is not in the primary scope of work for this committee. This also does not fall under a [?]minor adjustment[?] as stated in number four of the committee[?]s objectives for split schools. A [?]minor adjustment[?] would be having the minority Cooper Mtn students going to Mountain View following the majority of their peers to Highland Park MS. Please operate within the objectives as originally stated. When the time comes to make adjustments based on equity and inclusion, make it apply to all schools equally. This is the way to find real [?]balance.[?]
02/28/2020 6:44 AM	Sharleen Ghauri	sharleen.ghauri@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hi,I[?]d like to understand why there is talk of splitting the Springville community but no other? Is there a conflict of interest issue within committee members because they belong to certain schools? The bias seems very apparent. Second, Stoller is not over capacity in 2025 if Suma is not a part of Stoller. Why is Suma - which is an optional program - being given priority at the cost of splitting a community. Again, certain committee members want their kids at Stoller AND have Suma there, and they are willing to do it at the cost of splitting a community which doesn[?]t make sense. Third, when a vote was taken during the last meeting to keep Springville, JW and Sato in Stoller but move Suma, the vote was equal in favor and against. Why did the chair not have a discussion around this option more when all other votes and situations were discussed?
02/28/2020 2:08 AM	Sharon Zell	sharonzell@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		It is 2:00 am and I am awake. I am awake because of the very real threat to my community and my kids. Rock Creek is still not safe from being sent, alone, to Five Oaks. Despite our pleas, it seems this is still a possibility, that Rock Creek can is expendable, that our kids can go from Stoller one year, with its excellent academic reputation and 10-12% poverty rate, to Five Oaks, a school struggling with academic achievement and with a poverty rate more than 5x that of Stoller. How this is fair or equitable is beyond my comprehension, which is why it is 2:00 am and I am awake, writing comments. We have no faith in this process that we will be heard, that our kids matter. We have asked, repeatedly, to have a northern neighbor as we exit Stoller—since we have no hope of staying there, we have, from the beginning, been everyone[?]s fall guy to reduce Stoller numbers—despite being one of the smallest communities in Stoller, with the highest level of poverty for a Stoller-feeding school. And still, Stoller is, in every map, overcrowded. Perhaps it is time to start thinking about putting Rock Creek back in Stoller and moving Springville to Five Oaks. This process has been a monumental failure, BSD.
02/28/2020 9:20 PM	Shauna Rakshe	Shauna.puhl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Please don't split Jacob Wismer in an attempt to shoehorn both Sato and Springville into Stoller. This would be a terrible idea for several reasons. First, feeding both Sato and Springville to a school guarantees overcrowding in the future due to new growth. Second, it is an irresponsible use of public resources to bus kids who are within walking distance of school. Thus it would be shortsighted and fiscally irresponsible to bus the sunset bound portion of jw to Timberland. Similarly, the summa program is made up largely of kids in the vicinity of stoller--this is presumably why, as recently as a few months ago, BSD's website stated that there were no plans to move summa out of stoller. It makes perfect sense to have multiple summa programs, with one at Timberland, one at stoller, and others as needed elsewhere. The summa program could then be beneficial for non-summa students by allowing advanced learners in each subject to take summa classes. However, moving summa from stoller entirely would be a waste of resources, and the idea that moving summa could somehow make room for springville is just laughable. Please keep jw together, wherever we go. Thanks!

2.28.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/28/2020 12:57 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		From our home in Springville to Five Oaks it is 5.3 miles. These long commutes could be costing to our kids. Some parents will say kids are resilient, some will say they are smart and can finish homework in the bus, and there are others who will say in some country students walked on unpaved road to school without shoes. Therefore, Springville students should be thankful they are getting bused. Yes Springville parents and kids heard it all. Springville kids are smart, savvy, resilient and independent. But there's no denying that these children will be tired. So, what does this say about equity in education?
02/28/2020 2:45 PM	shyam kadali	shyam.benegal@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Please don't split our community, we have already faced consequence once by students being split between Springville and Sato. Please keep Springville in stoller middle school keeping in the interest of students convenience.
02/28/2020 9:22 AM	Sirisha P	ha@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				Thank you Mr.Steven Sparks and BSD committee for taking time to do this. We live in Bethany heights and my kid will go to Summa next year. Please note that you have wrongly identified OakRidge estates as the only walkable Findley community to Stoller. Bethany Heights is right next to JW walking community, its pretty much like an extension to it. Our kids interact with kids from JW on a daily basis because of this. Please know that the area east of stoller has safe walking path from within the community on Greenwood dr. This is how JW and Findley kids walk together to Stoller everyday. They have been doing this for years now. Kids dont need to get on laidlaw. Please consider keeping our neighborhood in stoller. It takes kids 10 to 15min to walk to Stoller from our neighborhood. And a lot of kids bike as well. On the other hand, it will take them 20min on a bus to Timberland. This does not make any sense. Also, adding walkable Findley into Stoller improves feeder pattern from MS to HS for Sunset.
02/28/2020 1:09 PM	SM	Misrashikha@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee members,Walkability matters and JW and all walkable Findley should go to Stoller. Sending these two communities to Stoller will maintain a good Sunset feeder pattern. If Summa is sent to TL, then also this balance will be skewed. Our JW Summa kids who will be going to WV will have absolutely no one else from WV in TL. That is not okay!The farthest community from Stoller should be sent on a 10 extra minute bus ride to let the legacy communities feeding to Stoller stay in equilibrium. We should not disrupt so many walking communities to accommodate two expanding communities that will still keep Stoller overcrowded for years to come. This is a no brainer.All our kids deserve better!!Thanks for all your work!
02/28/2020 12:56 PM	SM	Shipanmis@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee members,I wish we could have the passionate teen climate activist Greta Thunberg at our committee meetings. She would be able to convince you that walkability matters. Look at the need of the hour. Walking/biking to school should take precedence over anything else. My son is in 5th grade JW and will be going to Summa in 6th next school year. 100% JW is walkable to Stoller and 100% JW including Summa should go to Stoller. Summa has 50% JW students and more from walkable Findley area. Summa should stay where majority of Summa kids live. Thanks!
02/28/2020 1:28 PM	Soumya Sah	Soumya.sah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I[?]m not sure what direction the committee is taking. It[?]s seems to be open to splitting schools but wants to split schools that can walk to Stoller and move them to another middle school while being absolutely not interested in moving the community that is farthest from Stoller and which can add an additional 5 minutes to their bus drive. There is no concern show for Jacob Wismer 17% feeder ratio which will likely go 9% if Summa moves out while everyone is in unanimous support of Oak Hills 14% feeder ratio to be fixed. Please give importance to where it really matters.
02/28/2020 10:05 AM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Working map presented at the 2/25 meeting offers the best possible solution to the boundary mapping for the following reasons:1) Many communities have come together including Findley, Rockcreek, Bethany ES, Sato and Springville and have all supported this map unilaterally.2) at both the previous meetings, Sato has clearly expressed that they would like to stay with Springville during middle school. Many Springville kids were moved to Sato during the ES boundary adjustment and these kids have longed to at least be together in middle school at Stoller.3) capacity for Stoller for 2021 in this map looks good at 90% with summa moved to Timberland. 2025 projections are arbitrary and BSD has gone wrong with these projections in 2018 leading to a budget deficit. I urge the committee to focus on the 2021 numbers at this time and NOT INTRODUCE SPLIT feeder patterns prioritizing current kids over empty lands. 5) the most wonderful thing about this map is that it decreases the current split feeders from 8 to 5. This map keeps communities together and does not sever communities or friendships,.4) as suggested in the 2/25 meeting, minor adjustments such as swapping Findley walkable area to southern most JW boundary so that walkable Findley feeds into Stoller will satisfy the needs of the Oakridge estates community.Let[?]s please move forward with this working map of 2/25. Thank you.
02/28/2020 10:19 AM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				As expressed by many, map C (all versions) should NOT be brought back to the table as it has many issues including, 1) significant underutilization of Timberland 2) moving Springville and five oaks has many ramifications to schools in the south. 3) Springville kids having to split from the rest of the north Bethany community and having to travel all the way to the south away from the rest of its Bethany community.4) the map overcrowds five oaks at 108% even in 2021 and only pushes the overcrowding problem to the south. And five oaks does not even have portables.For all these reasons, let[?]s not take ten step backwards by revisiting this map C. Working map on 2/25 received 8 votes, let the AC discuss why the map does not work for other members, fix those issues and let[?]s please move forward with the 2/25 map.
02/28/2020 10:23 AM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				BOUNDARIES NEED TO BE CONTINUOUS. Springville community is geographically separated from Five Oaks by PCC Rock Creek, Nurseries and farmlands. Sending Springville to Five Oaks isolates us from the rest of the Bethany community. We do not want our kids to live a life of isolation. Please keep the North Bethany community together.I request that PCC and the farmlands be marked out in the next set of maps so that the committee can readily see these non residential communities. Thank you!
02/28/2020 9:54 AM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				As pointed out by many in the community, I would like to reiterate that Springville is the NINTH FURTHEST school in the Bethany area from FIVE OAKS. The distance to five oaks for the Bethany elementary schools goes in the following order (nearest to furthest):Oak hills (2.0 miles)Rock creek (2.1 miles)Terra Linda (2.4 miles)Bethany (2.4 miles)Cedar mill (3.6 miles)Jacob Wismer (3.7 miles)Findley (3.8 miles)Bonny slope (4.2 miles)Sato (4.3 miles)SPRINGVILLE (4.3 miles)Per BSD's JC factors, PROXIMITY matters. Five oaks is CLEARLY NOT proximal to SPRINGVILLE. So why would we even consider sending Springville kids to Five Oaks?I request the committee to please prioritize proximity of comprehensive MS programs over Summa optional program. Thank you!
02/28/2020 7:31 AM	Srinivas	annamaraju@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
02/28/2020 9:36 PM	Steffany Johnson	Surftide777@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I do not want my child to go to Five oaks middle school this is awful! We bought our house because of the school district. You need to send us with another school at least.

02/28/2020 10:52 PM	Suya Zhang	aiyaan@126.com					All members, I can't believe there are lies during the testimony. Please look at your data and check how many summa MS students in JW? 85!!! Check how many regular MS students in JW? 370. The person during the testimony said JW summa is half JW regular, that's the lie in front of your face. Maybe he thought you don't know 85/370 =23%, and it's 17% of overall JW MS student. If you don't believe, please do the math yourself! And that's only one if the lies. Please don't be fooled with some lies.
02/28/2020 9:38 PM	Tanya Abou-Elmajd	ctanya20@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please keep Jacob Wismer Elementary School feeding into Stoller Middle School. I currently have an 8th grader at Stoller, who is able to walk to school. This cuts down traffic. I also have a 5th grader at JW, and we are able to walk to school as well. If JW kids were to go to a farther middle school, they would have to be bussed, causing an additional expense for the district. This expense could be saved and that money could be spent in other areas needed. Please keep JW feeding into Stoller.In addition, to have JW kids at Stoller go to different high schools is a strain on the kids emotionally and psychologically. Please have JW kids that feed into Stoller go to Westview High School. This allows them to maintain their friendships, minimizing the emotional and psychological negative impact. Please keep JW feeding into Stoller.
02/28/2020 6:49 AM	Teresa Bodtker	teresabodtker@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		The map (In the presentation packet that never got discussed last Tuesday)showing Rock Creek on its own to Five Oaks is heart breaking. From an academic and diversity stand point there is no equity in this map what so ever. As a small school we need our closest neighbors to be able to drive change. Rock Creek on its own is powerless . Please give our children at least an opportunity to go somewhere with their neighbors and do no cast them out on their own.
02/28/2020 12:39 AM	Tiffany Chidester	tiffanychidester@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		Thank you for your time you[?]ve invested in the boundary change process. I feel it is important for you to know that the viewpoint stated at the last two meetings changing Cooper Mountain Elementary boundaries is a minority viewpoint. The Cooper Mountain community has been fine for many years with the way the school has been split to Highland Park and Mt. View and it is hard to find solid reasoning as to why this should change now when one considers the school board stated objectives for the boundary adjustment. Some may see this as an opportunity to speak for equity, and while we ALL would hope that every middle school has an equitable experience, this particular process of boundary adjustment is not the appropriate time to address any equity issues. That is a larger project for a school board committee that would have ample time to properly assess if/where there are actual disparities and formulate a plan for a program and a budget that would be thoughtfully put into place to ensure success for ALL the middle schools and students in the district, not something thrown together quickly in the midst of a process that wasn[?]t intended for that purpose and affect only two elementary schools feeders in the district. Funding needs to come from the school board to ensure the common middle school experience; changing the boundaries can[?]t do it. I ask that you not make this boundary adjustment about anything other than it was intended; you have so many things to consider as it is, I feel adding any additional inquiries into free and reduced lunch considerations seems to be expanding the scope of this committee and adding additional work to your heavy load. Actually, it[?]s confusing why the southern Beaverton school are being affected at all. I understand a slight shift might be needed to some neighborhoods around the feeder schools for the newly built Timberland, but wasn[?]t that the point of building a new school—to take attendance from Stoller so it wouldn[?]t be so overcrowded? I can[?]t see the reasoning for affecting the southern schools. Again, it feels it has gotten to be larger than intended and a simple solution concerning Cooper Mountain would be to keep its feeder patterns as they are currently since the community was fine with them prior to the start of this boundary discussion. Disruption of the vast majority of families from two elementary schools (Cooper Mountain and Chehalem) would be massive and pushing attendance capacity limits seems to be an unnecessary change and certainly not a minor change, as indicated in the objectives of the adjustment process. I know you have a lot to think about and again I genuinely thank you for your time and energy you[?]ve put into this boundary process and I appreciate you reading and truly listening to opinions concerning our students.
02/28/2020 9:41 PM	Tolmachev	4228olenik@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. I am ok with any split or distance as long as our school is academically balanced. This means that any part of us that is moved has to move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically.
02/28/2020 3:18 PM	Trevor Bodtker	tbodtker@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please do not Isolate Rock Creek and push them out of their community on their own
02/28/2020 11:03 PM	Vinod A	Vinod.a@gmail.com					#STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: If the committee is okay with having portables at some schools, then Stoller clearly can hit capacity. Stoller isn't special. Also JW is also using portables for their current over capacity.
02/28/2020 11:07 PM	Vinod a	Vinod.a@gmail.com					It was a GOLD map that didn[?]t get majority votes only says how the committee is looking at this. Either they don[?]t agree with other half or they don[?]t get their reasoning or they have their self interest that[?]s preventing over the greater good. I hope committee gets there senses back before the next meeting. That map had everything and each and every committee gave up something in return.
02/28/2020 6:53 PM	Wei Qiu	wqiu2017@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		BSD, please do not split North Bethany community by sending SPV to Five Oaks. We need to make sure the capacity of the new middle school Timberland is adequately utilized. If we send all Findley plus part of the JW students who attend Sunset high school to Timberland, leave SPV, Sato, and part of the JW students who attend Westview high school at Stoller, will that meet the capacity goal? This keeps the community intact, plus most of the students will stay with their friends from elementary to high school.
02/28/2020 8:08 AM	Wendy Scoles	gregs245@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS			Good Morning, I know this whole process is a little crazy but it seems absurd to send RC to Meadow Park...even though it[?]s with our neighbors, seems like transportation costs would be an issue. FO needs help, sending us and one of our neighbors (Or two) would do that. If we are trying to spread the love and academics and trying [?]bring up[?] other schools in this process that might be lacking then sending us alone would not achieve this. Let[?]s try to help all communities in this process!

02/28/2020 2:23 PM	Wenting	luwenting20@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Dear committees,I would like to add something to my previous comment about prioritizing older/mature communities over the new communities.1. Older communities generally surrounds their neighborhood schools, in this case Stoller. Prioritizing older communities preserves walkability + reduce traffic + bussing cost. This has been elaborated so many times.2. I read something interesting regard prioritization. It has been practiced before at Findley elementary and other places that, new constructions are not guaranteed with enrollment to their 'neighborhood' school, given the school reached capacities. The enrollment would only be open to them if school has opening. Otherwise, they get bussed to other schools. In this case, SPV and SATO clearly are the new exploding ones. Keeping them both in stoller would only means older communities like JW and FE been forced out one by one, or everyone suffers by cramping all under the same roof. This is unfair in either case. Additionally, keeping both SPV and SATO at stoller through removing mature communities, would only further encourage north bethany growth, as the builder can continue sell their expensive fancy new constructions due to 'their new homes get the best school', with no money goes to benefit BSD (hey, money all goes to builder's pocket, while all students go to BSD school), no land reserved for north bethany school (too expensive), stoller filled to beyond capacity, and all new buyer comes with concept they are guaranteed to go to stoller (see how SPV is angry and aggressive at others proposing moving them out) . I like the idea of prioritizing older/walkable communities to fill stoller, then adding new houses into the boundary. The rest of SPV + SATO that don't fall within the boundary will go to next available MS, such as timberland/MP/FO per BSD decision. If stoller still has opening, SPV+ SATO non-stoller families could have the option of applying to being entered to lottery for stoller acceptance. This is more of a dynamic approach, that is adaptive to population changes in these communities in longer term, help keeping stoller under capacity, and keep other MS well utilized, until other solution comes into light.So far this whole boundary adjustment is more than a frustration for everyone, and getting nasty with all the bullies caused by this. I sincerely hope BSD would open up to this dynamic approach that offers a possible solution to reduce stoller overcrowding.
02/28/2020 8:54 AM	Xiaojiao	stella8561@gmail.com	Findley Elem			ISB	I hope everybody can step back a little bit and think for 1 second, what is the root cause of Stoller overcapacity? Isn't it SPV/Sato community expansion? Without SPV/Sato expansion, JW and Findley kids can just gracefully step into Stoller without any thoughts.Shouldn't we solve the problem around the root cause? If FE or JW is to be adjusted, we are victims, we sacrifice and compensate for other people's fault. Sato is closer to Stoller, so SPV needs to be removed from Stoller and please keep Findley in Stoller.
02/28/2020 12:21 AM	Yan Mei	cheyf172@hotmail.com	Findley Elem				We live in Bethany Height neighborhood which is in the walkable distance to Stoller, even our kids go to FE. There are about 39 kids going to middle school in this community in 2021 and will reduce to 10 kids in 2025. In the last discussion map that proposed all the FE students feed to TL. I don't think that's a good proposal for kids in our neighborhood that can walk to Stoller. Our neighborhood is also the farthest distance from TL. It will take more than 15 minutes to bus the kids to TL. In one of the previous maps, our community is feeding to Stoller. Please reconsider this option to make a decision base on proximity and accessibility.
02/28/2020 10:09 AM	Yanbin Luo	Kaibindu@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		My daughter is in Findley and we live in Bethany heights, just 10min walk from Stoller. Please keep walkable your first priority. It's just ridiculous to bus kids who can walk to school away and bus kids far away to Stoller. You don't need multiple hearings to make the decision, listen to your heart and common sense please.I hope everybody can step back a little bit and think for 1 second, what is the root cause of Stoller overcapacity? Isn't it SPV/Sato community expansion? Without SPV/Sato expansion, JW and Findley kids can just gracefully step into Stoller without any thoughts.Shouldn't we solve the problem around the root cause? If FE or JW is to be adjusted, we are victims, we sacrifice and compensate for other people's fault. Sato is closer to Stoller, so SPV needs to be removed from Stoller and please keep Findley in Stoller.
02/28/2020 11:58 PM	Yunfei Wang	yunfeiwangyw@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I don't know how many people still remember the lockdown of Stoller middle school on Nov. 30th, 2018. The Laidlaw Road was jammed bumper to bumper until 8PM by the parents who drove to pick up their kids. however, all the walkable students were home by 6PM. the learning from incident like this reminds us that students within walking distance of Stoller should all go to Stoller. To bus the walkable students to a faraway school might be one of the worst decisions a school planning committee can make. What if there is a heavy snowstorm, what if there is another lock down? we need to keep both Findley and Jacob Wismer feeding to Stoller.

FW: Stoller options for the AC

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Fri 2/28/2020 1:46 PM

To: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (776 KB)

Stoller Overcrowding Options - An Illustration.pdf;

I have included the file into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 28, 2020 1:25 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Stoller options for the AC

⚠ **This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr Sparks,

Attached illustration attempts to highlight the pros and cons of the options being discussed. Could you please get this over to the advisory committee?

Thanks,


Madhu

What do we do about overcrowded Stoller?

- Summa
- Springville
- Sato
- Rock Creek
- Findley
- Jacob Wismer

PCC Rock Creek,
Wetlands, Farms

Springville



Sato



Jacob Wismer




Stoller



Rock Creek

S
P
V



Bethany

Oak Hills

Findley




Timberland

Five Oaks




*This Makes Sense - SPV, Sato, JW in Stoller.
Findley & Summa in Timberland!*

-  Summa
-  Springville
-  Sato
-  Rock Creek
-  Findley
-  Jacob Wismer
-  Bethany



This Does Not Make Sense - Please don't split & isolate communities over 2025 numbers which aren't objectives, and are still way better than 2020



FW: Stoller 2025 Analysis

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Sat 2/29/2020 8:54 PM

To: Vinod Kulkarni <vkpkulkarni@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (43 KB)

Stoller 2025 Analysis.pdf;

Mr. Kulkarni -

I have entered your file into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Vinod Kulkarni <vkpkulkarni@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 28, 2020 6:31 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Stoller 2025 Analysis

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Mr. Sparks,

In the Feb 25th committee meeting, few of the members raised concerns about overcrowding at Stoller in 2025 and onward with the proposed working map.

I would like address some of those concerns with the attached presentation. Please forward it to the committee members.

Thank you,
Vinod


Stoller 2019 - 2026



Data Source:


Beaverton School District
Resident Student Projection
2019-20 Elementary School Boundaries

Springville Declining Population



School	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
SPV BSD (K-5)	717	744	753	769	759	763	744	728


Jacob Wismer Declining Population



School	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Jacob Wismer (K-5)	731	723	714	692	663	672	640	634



SATO Flattening Population



School	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
SATO (K-5)	656	703	764	800	834	835	844	859



Dear Committee Members,



- **SPV & JW** have declining populations based on BSD projections.
 - **SATO** enrollments will be flat and the declining populations in SPV & JW will account for any marginal growth.
 - **Summa** has a very high acceptance rate and Timberland will be a great school (close by) for Stoller Summa.
 - With Summa at Timberland, please be assured the % permanent capacity utilization will fall below 100% YoY starting 2025 !
 - Please avoid splits as it will tear North Bethany neighborhood apart.
-